

MEMO CP-C/68

Date: December 20, 1979  
From: V. McLane, T.W. Burrows <sup>TWB</sup>  
Subject: NRDC Meeting EXFOR Conclusions

We agree to the EXFOR conclusions reached at the 4th NRDC meeting in Karlsruhe with the exceptions noted below.

Reference Coding

We do not agree to restricting the formalism of multiple-reference identification in the form of

REFERENCE (( ))=( )

to reports and conferences. We think this should be left as a general rule to be used in any case to which it may apply. We have already used it for the case of a thesis which is given a laboratory report code, and it may apply to the case where a conference proceedings is published in a journal.

Example:

REFERENCE ((R,CEA-N-1149,69)=(T,RIBON,69))

Excited States

We agree to drop this for the present. In the future, if data are to be coded, which cannot be uniquely specified by reaction and secondary energy, the discussion should be reopened; the data should not be coded into the present format with only free text explanation.

Flagging of Different Data Types

Since NNDC has been using the N3 field on the ENTRY record of neutron data entries, the proposal should be reworded to state that this convention is not used for NND entries.

We suggest the following:

Note: For NND entries the N3 is considered free text.

We will change our format and programs over the next year in case we may want to adopt this system in the future.

Spin Projection Data

This item was reworded at the last minute and we need clarification of the final wording before we can agree to any proposal.

December 20, 1979

*Charles D. Sanford*  
Sol Pearlstein

VMcL/Th

Distribution:

H. Behrens  
F.E. Chukreev  
G. Dearnaley  
V. Manokhin  
A. Marcinkowski  
H. Muenzel  
S. Pearlstein  
J.J. Schmidt ✓  
H. Tanaka  
N. Tubbs  
NNDC

cc. *D. Cullen*  
*N. Day Day*  
*H. Hendrickson*  
*G. Laumer*  
*H.D. Lemmel*  
*K. Okamoto*  
*J.J. Schmidt*  
*O. Scherer*  
*R. Seitz*