



BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY
ASSOCIATED UNIVERSITIES, INC.

Upton, Long Island, New York 11973

National Nuclear Data Center
Bldg. 197D

(516) 282-2901, 2902
FTS 666

CP-C/183

DATE: April 6, 1989
TO: Distribution
FROM: V. McLane *VM*
SUBJECT: Redundant information in EXFOR

I would like to ask the other data centers to try and eliminate redundant lines of information from their EXFOR entries. These now take up many thousands of records in our already very large data bases. Since they contribute no useful information, they are an unnecessary waste of space, time and money.

Some examples of frequently-entered redundant lines are:

1. PART-DET. When the particle detected is evident from the reaction code or is coded under DECAY-DATA this keyword need not be used.
2. EN-SEC. When the particle to which the secondary energy refers is evident from the REACTION code, this keyword need not be coded (similarly, EMS-SEC, MOM-SEC).
3. RAD-DET. If the information about the radiation detected is given under DECAY-DATA, this keyword need not be coded. (also see memo CP-D/180).
4. HISTORY. As with all other keywords, the information coded in subentry 1 need not be repeated in the other subentries.

Charles L. Dunford
Charles L. Dunford

Distribution:

F. E. Chukreev
V. Vlarmov
V. Manokhin
S. Pearlstein

J. J. Schmidt
A. Hashizume
N. Tubbs
NNDC (5)

distributed

13/4/89