Memo CP-D/199

7 November 1989

To:

Distribution

From:

M. Lammer

Subject: Fission Yield Nuclear Data

Configsions and Recommendations concerning EXFOR from the Consultants' Meeting on the Compilation and Evaluation of Fission Yield Nuclear Data.

The Consultants' Meeting (CM) on the Compilation and Evaluation of Fission Yield Nuclear Data issued conclusions and recommendations concering EXFOR and the Computation Format, which were reviewed at the 1989 NRDC Meeting and resulted in some actions.

Clearance: J.J. Schmidt

Distribution:

S. Pearlstein, NNDC

N. Tubbs, NEA-DB

V.N. Manokhin, CJD

F.E. Chukreev, CAJAD

A. Hashizume, RIKEN

V. Varlamov, CDFE

M. Ciba, Study Group

Cai Dunjiu, IAE-CP

NDS: V. Goulo

M. Lammer

H.D. Lemmel

M. de Moraes Cunha

K. Okamoto

V. Osorio

J.J. Schmidt

O. Schwerer

M. Seits

Wang Dahai

3 spare copies

The CM's conclusions and recommendations are summarized below. A preliminary list of information to be included in fission yield EXFOR entries is given in <u>Appendix 1</u>, where the recommendations of the CM (see 3. below) are supplemented by items from my own experience. The final list will be issued after receipt of evaluators' contributions by the end of 1989.

Appendix 2 shows, as example, a listing of the entry EXFOR30768. This entry contains pertinent information requested in Appendix 1, or comments about missing information and a critique on the analysis as presented by the authors. The latter have been asked for a clarification and to provide us with the missing information.

1. General recommendations:

EXFOR is now accepted as the format for the compilation and exchange of experimental fission yield data, and will be used by all evaluators.

It is recommended that EXFOR be advertised at meetings, in publications, etc., dealing (among other topics) with fission yield data.

EXFOR entries are recommended to be <u>recognized as publications</u>, which can be quoted as references by the evaluators, provided that all detailed information pertinent to evaluators is included (see below).

2. Completeness of the EXFOR data base and conversion of the Rider file:

The compilation of fission yield data into EXFOR started only in the late seventies. However, the completeness of the EXFOR data base with respect to fission yield data is essential for evaluators. Therefore a special effort has been started to convert Rider's file of experimental data, which is regarded as being complete with respect to pre-1980 data, into a quasi-EXFOR format. This effort, as described in Memo 4C-3/328, has come close to completion, and the following actions are still pending:

- V. McLane will transmit the last batch of (roughly) post 1975 data in quasi-EXFOR format to the other centers for completion.
- The 4 Neutron Centers will complete these entries.
- Wang Dao will complete the entries assigned to him and send the pre-1960 entries to NNDC and the other entries to NDS for transmission to the other centers.

After completion of this special effort a <u>completeness cross-check</u> should be made between CINDA, EXFOR and the evaluators' files and all data still found missing in EXFOR should be compiled.

New publications containing fission yield data should be compiled without delay.

3. Information to be included in EXFOR entries:

It was noted that 2 participants, who are measurers of fission yields, have not received author-proof copies for EXFOR entries of their measurements from NEA-DB. It is strongly recommended, that <u>author-proof</u> copies should be sent for all compiled fission yield data.

All <u>information about an experiment</u> important to evaluators for a judgement of the experiment, correction of data and assignment of uncertainties should be included in EXFOR entries. Evaluators will send a complete "wish list" of such information to M. Lammer who will distribute it to other centers as 4C-Memo. During the meeting, the following pieces of information to be provided by measurers and included EXFOR entries were agreed:

- detailed error information (including the types of errors);
- information on data analysis, such as:
 - * which corrections were applied during data analysis;
 - * whether data used by authors for data analysis are given or not;
 - * numerical data used for data analysis, in particular decay data and their uncertainties;
 - * precursors considered;
 - * product isomers considered;
- in addition for independent yield measurements:
 - * delay times (for on-line measurements);
 - * specify whether the data are before or after delayed neutron emission;
 - * delayed neutron data used (if relevant);
 - * spins of product isomers considered.

If this and other information needed by evaluators is not included in publications, it should be <u>requested from authors</u> by the compilers.

In addition, the meeting also issued a <u>recommendation to measurers</u> to send details on their measurements to EXFOR compilers and publish them at least in laboratory reports, if journal editors do not accept too lengthy descriptions.

<u>Evaluators</u> should send their <u>comments</u> about measurements and the corrections applied to results to <u>EXFOR</u> compilers, who should include them in the respective <u>EXFOR</u> entries with appropriate comments and flagging.

4. <u>Customer services</u>:

Meeting participants using the Saclay <u>on-line retrieval</u> system for EXFOR entries found the present <u>indexing system</u> for fission yields not detailed enough. The index should be designed to enable the retrieval on:

- target nucleus (REACTION SF1)
- fission product (A for SF4=MASS, Z/A for SF4=ELEM/MASS)
- type of yield (particularly: SF5=IND,CUM,CHN and SF6=FY)
- incident neutron energy.

Also, NEA-DB is asked to improve the "help section" for customers.

5. Computation format:

A computation format for data compiled in EXFOR would be useful to evaluators for inputting these data into their computer programs for evaluation.

For the sake of a cooperation between evaluators and for a better comparison of data bases, it is desirable that the Neutron Data Centers agree on a common computation format.

V. McLane will send a proposed computation format to evaluators before the end of October 1989. The evaluators will return their comments to V. McLane by December 1989. V. McLane will send the proposed format to M. Lammer for inclusion in the summary report of the meeting. M. Lammer will also distribute it to other centers as 4C-Memo for discussion.

During the meeting, the following <u>improvements</u> to the present computation format used By NNDC and NEA-DB were proposed:

- method codes should be included;
- product spin values should be included;
- the format should allow for 3 different ways of sorting and listing the data according to 3 different types of yield data, namely:

absolute yields - relative yields - R-values.

Enclosures

APPENDIX 1: Preliminary list of information to be included in EXFOR entries.

A. Information needed by evaluators

Evaluators need (qualitative and quantitative) information on experiments for different purposes. The detailed information to be compiled in EXFOR for these different purposes (marked a, b, ...) is identefied by, e.g., "==>(a,b)" at the left hand margin in part C. below.

a. Basic information

The basic information for inputting the data into an evaluation are: target, reaction, incident neutrons, errors and results.

b. Judgement of the experiment

For a judgement of the experiment generally only qualitative information is needed, except for correction factors and nuclear data used for the analysis.

c. Correction of author's data

Correction factors, nuclear data used for the analysis, information on incident neutrons and decay times are needed for corrections (if possible) to be applied by evaluators.

d. Assignment of errors

Evaluators have to judge the errors assigned by experimenters and, if deemed necessary, to assign their own errors.

e. Calculation of correlations and covariances

Any correlations given by the authors, as well as information on facility, detectors, methods, correction data used, that may be common to different experiments from the same institute.

B. Information to be compiled (in general)

Irradiation conditions and decay times

Method description (use codes; if not available, propose a new code)

Detector used, calibration, corrections

Sample composition, dimensions and treatment after irradiation

Analysis of raw data (including nuclear data used)

Error analysis, correlations

If important information is not given in the publication(s), this should also be stated and requested from the author(s).

C. Specific pieces of information

1. What data were measured

for fragment mass and charge measurements: ==>(b,c) data before or after prompt neutron emission (REACTION)

for independent yield measurements: ==>(b,c) data before or after delayed neutron emission

2. Facility

==>(b,e) use codes, including the lab-code for the location

3. Irradiation and measurement

long term irradiations (reactors, fission spectrum, accelerators)

times:

- ==>(c) irradiation time
- ==>(c) decay time before start of measurement
- ==>(c) duration of measurement
- ==>(c) value of neutron flux

neutron spectrum:

thermal reactor:

- ==>(a,c) Maxwellian temperature or mean energy
- ==>(b,c) fraction of epithermal neutrons (Westcott r-factor)

```
fast reactor:
==>(a,b,c)
                  mean energy or other spectral index
              fission spectrum:
==>(a,b,c)
                  source of fission neutrons
              monoenergetic neutrons:
==>(a,b)
                  neutron source description
==>(b,d)
                  spectral shape
      on-line measurements
==>(b,c)
            delay times
==>(c)
            duration of measurement
   4. Sample
==>(a,b)
            sample composition
==>(b,c)
            if relevant (self-shielding): dimensions of irradiated sample
==>(b,c)
                                          and of flux monitor
==>(b,c)
          (relevant) dimensions of measured sample (self-absorption)
   5. Method
            radiochemistry: sample dissolution and fission product extraction
                   procedure applied (qualitative)
==>(b,e)
==>(b)
                   chemical yield
==>(b)
                   any losses reported by authors
            mass-spectrometry
==>(b,e)
                   (type of) spectrometer
                   (chemical compounds measured)
==>(b)
==>(b)
                   were fission products separated chemically before measurement
==>(b)
                   (spike data, if applicable)
        determination of the number of fissions
            neutron flux measurements:
==>(b-d)
                   monitor and fission cross section values used
                   correct use of spectrum-averaged cross section (formula)
==>(b-d)
            summation method:
==>(c)
                   values of interpolated and extrapolated yields
==>
                   should be coded as "relative" data
```

Detector(s)

```
==>(b,d,e) (type of) detector(s) used
==>(b,d,e) efficiency calibration, including (source of) nuclear data used
==>(b,d) corrections taken into account
```

7. Analysis of raw data

==>(b-d)	corrections applied, correction factors (if relevant)						
==>(b-d)	precursors considered						
==>(b-d) ==>(a)	product isomers considered, and spins of isomers (iclude in data table) for independent yields						
==>(b-d) ==>(b-d) ==>(b,d) ==>(b-d)	<pre>nuclear data used (if applicable) and their uncertainties: neutron capture cross sections decay data for corrections (half-life, branching fraction) decay data for spectrum analysis (beta-, gamma-ray data) delayed neutron data</pre>						
==>(b,d)	<pre>gamma-ray spectrometry: information on spectrum analysis, interfering peaks (if given by authors)</pre>						
==>(b,d)	beta-ray spectrometry: information on spectrum decomposition						
==>(b,d) ==>(b,d)	<pre>mass-spectrometry (if reported in the paper): mass discrimination effects incomplete collection (of gaseous fission products)</pre>						

8. Error analysis, correlations

==>(b,d)	number of samples measured and standard deviation
==>(d)	counting satistics (or equivalent)
==>(b,d)	other (sources of) errors considered and their types (ERR-ANALYSIS)
==>(d)	values of errors considered (DATA table)
==>(e)	correlations, correlation coefficients
==>	statement on missing information

APPENDIX 2: Example of an EXFOR entry on fission yields

ENTRY SUBENT	30768 8712 30768001 8712		3076800000001
BIB	17	74	3076800100001
TITLE	- •	OME FISSION PRODUCTS IN THE 14 MEV	3076800100002
	NEUTRON INDUCED FISS		
AUTHOR	(S.RAM, N.L.SINGH, S.K		3076800100004 3076800100005
INSTITUTE	(3INDBHU)	.bose, o. R. RAO)	3076800100005
REFERENCE	(J,NIM/B,24/25,501,8	704)	3076800100006
SAMPLE	ABOUT 1 MG OF SOLID		3076800100007
MONITOR		OF THE NUMBER OF FISSIONS: AQUEOUS	
		ITRATE IN A THIN GLASS TUBE	3076800100009
	CONTAINING THE FISSI		3076800100010
FACILITY	(VDG)	on being (beauty).	3076800100011
	(D-T) FLUX=2.26*10E+	9 N/CM2/S	3076800100012
METHOD	TRACK-ETCH-CUM-GAMM		3076800100013
		IATED TOGETHER WITH A MONITOR TUBE	
		NEUTRON BEAM DIRECTION.	3076800100016
		COUNTED WITH AN OPTICAL MICROSCOPE.	
	THE TRACK DENSITY		3076800100018
	DIRECT GAMMA-RAY SPE	CTROSCOPY OF UNSEPARATED FISSION	3076800100019
		ING THE SOLID SAMPLE.	3076800100020
DETECTOR	(TRD) LEXAN PLASTIC	FISSION TRACK DETECTOR	3076800100021
	THE EFFICIENCY FOR	TRACK REGISTRATION IN SOLUTION HAS	3076800100022
	BEEN DETERMINED BY	COMPARISON WITH ANOTHER LEXAN	3076800100023
	DETECTOR ON WHICH	A KNOWN AMOUNT OF SOLUTION WAS	3076800100024
	EVAPORATED.		3076800100025
		D, RESOLUTION: 2 KEV AT 1.33 MEV,	3076800100026
	COUPLED TO A MULTI		3076800100027
		IENCY FOR THE MEASURED FISSION	3076800100028
		IS GIVEN IN TABLE 1 OF NUCL.INSTR.	3076800100029
	METH.PHYS.RES. B24		3076800100030
ANALYSIS		FISSIONS WAS DERIVED FROM THE	3076800100031
		ITY TAKING INTO ACCOUNT:	3076800100032
	- WEIGHT OF THE FI		3076800100033
		RACK REGISTRATION IN SOLUTION	3076800100034
		TARGET MATERIAL IN SOLUTION	3076800100035
		IN THE MAIN PUBLICATION	3076800100036
		ERIVED FROM MEASURED ACTIVITIES	3076800100037
		F FISSIONS USING A STANDARD FORMULA	
		FOR DECAY DURING IRRADIATION,	3076800100039
	COMPLIEDS COMMENTS TO		3076800100040
	ANY PRECURSORS IN I	HE FORMULA DOES NOT ACCOUNT FOR	3076800100041
ERR-ANALVS	NO INFORMATION		3076800100042
	((1.)42-MO-99,66.02H		3076800100043
DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF	((2.)48-CD-115,53.38I		3076800100044
	((3.)48-CD-117-M,3.3)		3076800100045
	((4.)51-SB-126,12.4D)		3076800100046
	((5.)51-SB-128-G,9.11		3076800100047 3076800100048
	((6.)52-TE-131-M,30.0		3076800100048
	,== == === 1.,50.		30/0000100049

Page 10

	((7.)56-	BA-140.12.7	789D,DG,537.	2.0.2361		3076800100050	
			55D,DG,145.0			3076800100050	
•	((9.)61-	3076800100051					
	((10.)63	3076800100052					
	DATA TAK	3076800100054					
			DITION, 1978.		DDD OF	3076800100054	
COMMENT				ORMATION PER	תיואיי ייט	3076800100055	
				FICIENT IN T		3076800100057	
	- EXACT	3076800100057					
		3076800100058					
		RTAINTIES 1		MIERFERING F	DAKO,	3076800100060	
				TIONCUIDE. D	EMATIC ON DE	3076800100061 E3076800100062	
		YIELDS WERE		IIONSHIPS; D	EIAILS ON TH		
				MERICAL VALU	EC OF	3076800100063	
						3076800100064 3076800100065	
CRITIQUE						D3076800100065	
0111200				VALUES ARE			
			BY 10E+5 Y		DOUBTFOL):	3076800100067	
					DDD DV DDDA	3076800100068 3076800100069	
						.3076800100070	
				TO BE TOO H		3076800100071	
				TO BE TOO H. ASTABLE STAT!		3076800100072	
			LARIFICATIO		Ľ.	3076800100073	
STATUS						3076800100074	
HISTORY	(871204C		FROM TABLE	1 OF FIRST R	EFERENCE	3076800100075	
ENDBIB	(8/12040					3076800100076	
COMMON		74	2			3076800100077	
EN		1	3			3076800100078	
MEV						3076800100079	
14.						3076800100080	
ENDCOMMON		2				3076800100081	
ENDSUBENT		3				3076800100082	
SUBENT	20760	81				3076800199999	
	30768		214			3076800200001	
BIB	/00 TT 00	2	2			3076800200002	
REACTION			MASS, CUM, FY)		3076800200003	
FLAG	(I.) SEE	'CRITIQUE'				3076800200004	
ENDBIB		2				3076800200005	
NOCOMMON		_				3076800200006	
DATA		7	10			3076800200007	
ELEMENT	MASS	ISOMER	DATA	DATA-ERR	DECAY-FLAG	3076800200008	
FLAG						3076800200009	
NO-DIM	NO-DIM	NO-DIM	PC/FIS	PC/FIS	NO-DIM	3076800200010	
NO-DIM						3076800200011	
42.	99.		5.84	0.29	1.	3076800200012	
data		 uncated, as	it is unimp	ortant			
•		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		·			
63.	157.		0.11	0.01	10.	3076800200030	
						3076800200031	
ENDDATA		24				3076800200032	
ENDSUBENT		31				3076800299999	
ENDENTRY		2				3076899999999	