²³²Th – Comments on evaluation of decay data by A. Arinc

This evaluation was completed in September 2008 and has a literature cut off date of April 2008. The weighted mean was applied to determine recommended values throughout the evaluation where the data were in statistical agreement. Where the data were not in statistical agreement, the Limitation of Relative Statistical Weights (LRSW) was used.

1. Decay Scheme

The nuclide ²³²Th disintegrates by alpha emission to two excited levels and to the ground state of ²²⁸Ra. The spin, parity, half-life of first excited state, multipolarities and level energies of ²²⁸Ra are based on the mass-chain evaluation of A. Artna-Cohen (1997Ar08).

Spontaneous fission and cluster decay of $^{24-26}$ Ne have been observed by R. Bonetti (1995Bo18) with a partial half-life of 1.22 10^{21} years for the spontaneous fission and a partial half-life greater than 5.04 10^{21} years for the cluster decay. However, these decay modes were not taken into account in this evaluation.

2. Nuclear data

The Q(a) value of 4081.6 (14) keV is taken from the evaluation of Audi *et al.* (2003Au03). The effective Q-value calculated from decay scheme data is 4070 (70) keV.

The experimental half-life values are given in table 1.

Reference	Half-life (10 ¹⁰ years)	Comments
1963Le21	1.401 (7)	
1960Fa07	1.410 (14)	
1956Ma43	1.45 (5)	Rejected by Chauvenet's criterion
1956Pi42	1.39 (3)	
1956Se17	1.42 (7)	
1938Ko01	1.39 (3)	
Recommended value	1.402 (6)	

Table 1. Experimental half-life values of ²³²Th

The value of R. Macklin (1956Ma43) was excluded from the data analysis by Chauvenet's criterion. The data set is consistent and the recommended value, which is the weighted average of 5 remaining values, is 1.402 (6) 10^{10} years. The reduced chi-square value is 0.18 which is smaller than the critical value 3.32.

2.1 Alpha Transitions and emissions

The alpha transition and emission energies have been determined from the Q-value and level energies. Published alpha emission energies are given in table 2.

Transition	a _{0,0}	a _{0,1}	a _{0,2}
1954Philbert ¹	4014 (20)	3939 (20)	
1957Ha08 ²	4012.3 (50)		
1961Ko11 ²	$4013.6(50)^4$	3950 (8)	3825 (10)
1962Ko12 ²	4013.4 (50)		
1989Sa01	4012.3 (14)	3947.2 (20)	
Mean experimental emission values	4012.4 (14)	3947.3 (20)	3825 (10)
Calculated values ³	4011.2 (14)	3948.5 (14)	3810.0 (14)
Recommended Values	4011.2 (14)	3948.5 (14)	3810.0 (14)

Table 2. Published alpha emission energies (keV)

¹ The values were adjusted by the evaluator for changes in the calibration energy.

² The values were adjusted as suggested by A. Rytz (1991Ry01)

³Calculated from alpha transition energies taking into account the recoil of the alpha particle

⁴ For the $a_{0,0}$ transition, the value from 1961Ko11 was not taken into account as the same author published an updated value in 1962Ko12

Alpha hindrance factors were calculated using the ALPHAD computer program. A summary of the adopted level, alpha transition and emission values is presented in table 3.

T 1 1 2 4 1	. 11 1	1 1	. 1		1		
Table 3. Ado	pted level,	alpha	particle	transition	and	emission	energies

Transition	Level Energy (keV)	Alpha Transition Energy (keV)	Alpha Emission Energy (keV)	HF
a _{0,0}	0.0	4081.6 (14)	4011.2 (14)	1.000
a _{0,1}	63.823 (20)	4017.8 (14)	3948.5 (14)	1.02 (7)
a _{0,2}	204.68 (3)	3876.9 (14)	3810.0 (14)	16 (5)

2.2 Gamma Transitions and Internal Conversion Coefficients

The recommended $\gamma_{1,0}$ transition energy of 63.811 (10) keV was calculated by taking the weighted mean of 63.81 (7) keV (1973Ta25), 63.81 (1) keV (1983Mi30) and 63.84 (6) keV (1989Sa01). The recommended $\gamma_{2,1}$ transition energy of 140.880 (10) keV was calculated by taking the weighted mean of 140.88 (1) keV (1983Mi30) and 140.83 (15) keV (1989Sa01).

Internal conversion coefficients were calculated using the BrIcc code (T.Kibédi, 2005KiZW), which uses interpolated values of Band *et al.* (2002Ba85).

The γ -ray transition energies, multipolarities and electron internal conversion coefficients are presented in table 4.

Table 4.	Energies,	multipolarities	and electron	internal	conversion	coefficients	for gamma	transitions
	0 /	1					0	

Transition	Transition Energy (keV)	Multipolarity	a _T	a _K	a _L	a _M
g ,0	63.811 (10)	E2	80.4 (12)	-	59.1 (9)	16.05 (23)
g ,1	140.880 (10)	E2	2.26 (4)	0.283 (4)	1.450 (21)	0.394 (6)

3. Alpha particle emissions

The alpha particle emission intensities were deduced from the decay scheme and can be viewed in table 5.

Transition	Emission Energy (keV)	Emission intensity (%)
a _{0,0}	4012.4 (14)	78.9 (13)
a _{0,1}	3947.3 (20)	21.0 (13)
a _{0,2}	3810.0 (14)	0.068 (20)

Table 5. Alpha particle emission energies and probabilities

The values calculated using the balancing of the decay scheme are in good agreement with the experimental values (table 6) but the former values have been used as they are more precise.

Table 6: Reported values on alpha particle emission intensities

Reference	a _{0,0}	a _{0,1}	a _{0,2}	Comments
1952Du12		24 (3)		See note 1)
1956A130		22 (2)		See note 1)
1959Ko58		23 (3)	0.20 (8)	See note 2)
1961Ko11	77	23	0.2	No uncertainties. See note 2)
1983Mi30	77 (3)	23 (2)	0.066 (7)	See 3)
1989Sa01	100	33 (5)		

Notes:

- 1) The values found in the publications of D. Dunlavey (1952Du12) and G. Albouy (1956Al30) represent the percentage of conversion electron accompanying alpha decays ($a_{0.1}$ and $a_{0.2}$).
- 2) The values published by G. Kocharov in 1959Ko58 and 1961Ko11 appear to be from the same experiment.
- 3) The values from T. Mitsugashira (1983Mi30) are deduced by the author from the gamma emission probabilities measured by the author.

4. Gamma-ray emissions

The published data for the gamma-ray emissions can be viewed in table 7.

Table 7: Experimental data on gamma-ray emission probabilities

Doforonaa	Absolute value	es (%)	Ratio of
Kelefence	63 keV	140 keV	140 keV/63 keV
1982Sa36	$0.29^{1}(2)$		
1983Mi30	0.24 (3)	0.018 (2)	0.075 (13)
1983Ro23	0.247^2 (15)		0.102 (9)
1989Sa01			0.055 (10)

¹Value recalculated using the new DDEP recommended value for $\gamma_{1,0}(84 \text{ keV})$ of ²²⁸Th decay. ²Value recalculated using the new DDEP recommended value for $\gamma_{2,0}(238 \text{ keV})$ of ²¹²Pb decay.

The recommended 63 keV emission intensity of 0.259(15) % was calculated by taking the weighted mean of 0.29 (2) % (1982Sa36), 0.24 (3) % (1983Mi30) and 0.247 (15) % (1983Ro23).

The recommended ratio 140 keV/63 keV of 0.080 (22) was calculated by taking the weighted mean of 0.075 (13) (1983Mi30), 0.102 (9) (1983Ro23) and 0.055 (10) (1989Sa01). The spread in the results is

Comments on evaluation

quite significant and the reduced chi-square is larger than the critical chi-square. This may be due to the low probability of the gamma combined with the low specific activity of 232 Th. The recommended emission probability for the 140 keV line, calculated from the above ratio and the 63 keV emission probability, is 0.021 (6) %.

Transition	Recommended Values	Gamma-ray emission intensity (%)	a _T
gg ,0	63.811 (10)	0.259 (15)	80.4 (12)
g ,1	140.880 (10)	0.021 (6)	2.26 (4)

5. Atomic data

The values of ω_K , ω_L and n_{KL} relative probabilities of the X-ray and Auger emissions are from Schönfeld and Janßen (1996Sc06).

The energies and relative emission probabilities of the X-ray and Auger electrons have been calculated by using the computer code EMISSION.

References

1938Ko01	A.F.Kovarik, N.I.Adams, Jr., Phys.Rev. 54 (1938), 413 [half-life]
1952Du12	D.C.Dunlavey, G.T.Seaborg, Phys.Rev. 87 (1952), 165
1954Philbert	G.Philbert, J.Genin, L.Vigneron, J.phys. et radium, 15 (1954), 16 [alpha energy]
1956A130	G.Albouy, Ann.Phys. 1 (1956), 99 [alpha probability]
1956Ma43	R.L.Macklin, H.S.Pomerance, J.Nuclear Energy 2 (1956), 243 [half-life]
1956Pi42	E.Picciotto, S.Wilgain, Nuovo Cimento 4 (1956), 1525 [half-life]
1956Se17	F.E.Senftle, T.A.Farley, N.Lazar, Phys.Rev. 104 (1956), 1629 [half-life]
1957Ha08	B.G.Harvey, H.G.Jackson, T.A.Eastwood, G.C.Hanna, Can.J.Phys.35 (1957), 258 [alpha energy]
1959Ko58	G.E.Kocharov, A.P.Komar, G.A.Korolev, Zhur.Eksptl.i Teoret.Fiz. 36 (1959), 68; Soviet Phys.JETP 9 (1959), 48
1960Be25	R.E.Bell, S.Bjornholm, J.C.Severiens, Kgl.Danske Videnskab.Selskab, Matfys.Medd. 32 (1960), No.12 [half-life]
1960Fa07	T.A.Farley, Can.J.Phys. 38 (1960), 1059 [half-life]
1961Ko11	G.E.Kocharov, G.A.Korolev, Izv.Akad.Nauk SSSR, Ser.Fiz. 25 (1961), 237; Columbia Tech.Transl. 25 (1962), 227 [alpha energy, alpha probability]
1962Ko12	G.A.Korolev, G.E.Kocharov, Izv.Akad.Nauk SSSR, Ser.Fiz. 26 (1962), 235; Columbia Tech.Transl. 26 (1963), 233 [alpha energy]
1963Le21	L.J.LeRoux, L.E.Glendenin, Natl.Conf.Nucl.Energy, Application of Isotopes and Radiation, Pretoria, South Africa, F.L.Warren, Ed., Atomic Energy Board, Pelindaba, South Africa (1963), p.83 [half-life]

1973Ta25	H.W.Taylor, Int.J.Appl.Radiat.Isotop. 24 (1973), 593
1982Sa36	S.Sadasiyan, V.M.Raghunath, Nucl.Instrum.Methods 196 (1982), 561
17020420	[gamma prohability]
1983Mi30	T.Mitsugashira, M.Maki, S.Suzuki, Y.Shiokawa, Radiochem Radioanal Lett. 58 (1983).
1,001,110,0	199
	[gamma probability and energy, alpha probability]
1983Ro23	JC.Roy, L.Breton, JE.Cote, J.Turcotte, Nucl.Instrum.Methods 215 (1983), 409
	[gamma probability]
1989Sa01	S.K.Saha, S.M.Sahakundu, J.Phys.(London) G15 (1989), 73
	[gamma probability and energy, alpha probability and energy]
1990Ho28	N.E.Holden, Pure Appl.Chem. 62 (1990), 941
	[half-life evaluation]
1991Ry01	A.Rytz, At.Data Nucl.Data Tables 47 (1991), 205
•	[alpha probability and energy evaluation]
1995Bo18	R.Bonetti, C.Chiesa, A.Guglielmetti, R.Matheoud, G.Poli, V.L.Mikheev, S.P.Tretyakova,
	Phys.Rev. C51 (1995), 2530
	[Spontaneous fission probability, cluster decay]
1996Sc06	E.Schonfeld, H.Janssen, Nucl.Instrum.Methods Phys.Res. A369 (1996), 527
	[atomic data]
1997Ar08	A.Artna-Cohen, Nucl.Data Sheets 80 (1997), 723
	[spin, parity, energy level, multipolarity]
2002Ba85	I.M.Band, M.B.Trzhaskovskaya, C.W.Nestor, Jr., P.O.Tikkanen, S.Raman, At.Data
	Nucl.Data Tables 81 (2002), 1
	[ICC]
2003Au03	G.Audi, A.H. Wapstra, C. Thibault, Nucl. Phys. A729 (2003) 337.
	[Q value]
2005KiZW	T.Kibedi, T.W.Burrows, M.B.Trzhaskovskaya, C.W.Nestor, Jr.,
	Proc.Intern.Conf.Nuclear Data for Science and Technology, Santa Fe, New Mexico, 26
	September-1 October, 2004, R.C.Haight, M.B.Chadwick, T.Kawano, P.Talou, Eds., AIP
	Conf.Proc. (2005) 769
	[ICC]