## 7. Goals and Scope

A general discussion took place in which each participant identified the areas in which they could further contribute, or where they felt that new emphasis was required. Following this discussion three main topics were outlined:

- i) k<sub>0</sub>-software: recent improvements were acknowledged and gratefully appreciated, but the inclusion of correct uncertainty propagation in the calculation of peak areas and energies would be very useful, as will be the tutorial,
- ii)  $k_0$ -database: a complete, unified database containing consistent  $k_0$ ,  $Q_0$  and  $\gamma$ -ray emission probability values is required, which will probably require further  $k_0$  and  $Q_0$  measurements,
- iii)  $k_0$ -calculation methodology: the definition of a methodology for calculating  $k_0$  values from differential data is necessary, as well as an understanding of the effect that differential data have on final  $k_0$  values. Thus, in conjunction with an appropriate database of consistent differential data, new facilities could apply the  $k_0$  method (with the  $k_0$ -IAEA software) more easily.

## 8. Measurements and Facilities

Z. Révay had already presented (see Section 2.8) two lists of "suspicious"  $k_0$  and/or  $Q_0$  values which require re-measurement. Following extensive discussion on the contents of these two lists, a set of values requiring re-measurement, or further investigation, was formulated and those participants with the capability to perform such measurements were identified. Table 2 gives the main details of the measurement facilities, and Table 3 summarizes the outcome of the discussion on the problematic nuclei.

Facility	Country	f-value	Transit time
CNEA	Argentina	20-100	20-30s
CNEN	Brazil	24	120s
JSI	Slovenia	15-30	1s
CERT	Nigeria	20-50	180s
KFKI	Hungary	$\infty$	1µs
TUD	Netherlands	30 (60)	>20s (>600s)

Table 2: Available k<sub>0</sub>-NAA facilities and their relevant characteristics

Table 3: Problematic nuclei requiring further investigation/measurement

Nuclide	Value	Method	Capability exists/comments
<sup>115</sup> Cd	k <sub>0</sub> ,Q <sub>0</sub>	Two channel Arribére, Jonah, Jaćimović	
<sup>192</sup> Ir	$\mathbf{k}_0$		$k_0$ value missing <sup>†</sup> from ADNDT 85 (2003) <sup>*</sup>
<sup>197</sup> Hg	k <sub>0</sub> ,Q <sub>0</sub>		Arribére, Jonah
<sup>75</sup> Se	k <sub>0</sub> ,Q <sub>0</sub>		Kennedy, Jaćimović
$^{153}Gd/^{153}Sm^{\ddagger}$	$\mathbf{k}_0$		Kennedy, Jonah
<sup>159</sup> Gd	$\mathbf{k}_0$		Kennedy, Jonah
<sup>131</sup> Ba	$k_0, Q_0$	Two channel/Cd covers	Arribére, Jonah
<sup>109</sup> Pd	$\mathbf{k}_0$	Beam chopper	Révay, Kennedy
<sup>116m,n</sup> In	$k_0, Q_0$	Two channel	Révay, Jaćimović
<sup>134m</sup> Cs	$k_0, Q_0$	Two channel	Révay, Jonah
<sup>36</sup> S <sup>#</sup>	$\mathbf{k}_0$	Beam chopper/enriched sample	Révay, Jonah

## Extract from the Summary Record of the 2<sup>nd</sup> RCM

<sup>49</sup> Ca <sup>#</sup>	k <sub>0</sub> Beam chopper	Révay, Jonah
<sup>95</sup> Zr	k <sub>0</sub> ,Q <sub>0</sub>	all participants could undertake measurements
<sup>90m</sup> Y	k <sub>0</sub> ,Q <sub>0</sub>	Blaauw
<sup>58</sup> Fe	$k_0, Q_0$	problem when compared with the resonance
$^{186}W$	${k_{0},Q_{0} \atop k_{0},Q_{0}}$ }	integral value from differential data

 $^{\dagger}$  k<sub>0</sub> value was not included in the original publication, but was thought to have been measured.

- \* Frans De Corte and András Simonits, *Recommended nuclear data for use in the*  $k_0$  *standardization of neutron activation analysis*, Atomic Data and Nuclear Data Tables <u>85</u> (2003) 47–67, doi:10.1016/S0092-640X(03)00036-6
- <sup>‡</sup> listed together as often only available as a mixed source.

<sup>#</sup> requires extension of the energy efficiency curve beyond the usual upper energy limit, which could be achieved using a locally produced <sup>24</sup>Na source (has a  $\gamma$ -ray at 3.75 MeV).

## 9. Deliverables, Outputs and Tasks

The overall objectives and outputs of the CRP were well defined at the start of the project. Following the discussions during the meeting, they were restated for clarity.

- 1. The CRP will result in a selected set of newly measured  $k_0$  and  $Q_0$  values. These new values will be tested in the analysis of reference materials, and recommended to the wider  $k_0$  community. (When conflicts arise between  $k_0$  and  $Q_0$  values measured at different facilities, an attempt will be made to reconcile them by means of the methodology described by A. Trkov.)
- 2. The CRP will produce a comparison database where the  $k_0$ ,  $Q_0$  and half-life values are compared with values in other databases. These results will be added to the Evaluated Gamma-ray Activation File (EGAF).
- 3. A  $k_0$  consistent differential cross-section database of recommended data will be produced.

In order that the above can be achieved, a more detailed breakdown of the required tasks was defined.

- a. A proficiency test of efficiency calibration in a summing free environment will be led by Z. Révay.
- b. A list of monitor nuclides and a template for experimental results will be supplied to the participants by A. Trkov.
- c. All participants will report their experimental results (three independent measurements) to A. Trkov.
- d. The neutron spectrum shape for each facility will be derived by A. Trkov.
- e. Selected participants will report experimental results for the suspicious reactions (based on three separate measurements) as listed in Table 3, to A. Trkov.
- f.  $k_0$  and  $Q_0$  values will be derived from the experimental results, and discrepancies reconciled as necessary by A. Trkov.
- g. Newly determined  $k_0$  and  $Q_0$  values will be tested by M. Blaauw.
- h. A letter to IUPAC will be written by M.A. Kellett informing them of this  $k_0$  activity.