

## Excitation Energy for Inclusive Reaction (SF3=X)

(N. Otsuka, O. Schwerer, CP-D/813 Rev.2, 2014-03-04 and updated)

### Introduction – E2135.002

In some EXFOR double-differential cross section (DDX) data sets, the excitation energy is defined for an inclusive reaction, for example,

```

SUBENT          E2135002   20130309
...
REACTION        ( 28-NI-58 ( P, X ) 0-NN-1 , , DA/DE )
EN-SEC          ANG-CM is polar angle (c.m.) between beam and neutron
                ( E-EXC , 29-CU-58 )
...
EN              EN-RSL      ANG-CM
MEV             MEV         ADEG
  198 .         0 . 3       0 .
...
E-EXC          DATA-CM
MEV             MB/SR/MEV
  -3.02210     0.00077
...

```

The incident proton energy ( $E_p=198$  MeV) is enough higher than the (p,2n) reaction threshold ( $E_p=22$  MeV), and therefore the data set must be treated as  $^{58}\text{Ni}(p,n+x)$  rather than  $^{58}\text{Ni}(p,n)^{58}\text{Cu}$  in general. But the energy spectrum is given as a function of the excitation energy of  $^{58}\text{Cu}$  calculated by the two body kinematics, and the heading E-EXC is related with  $^{58}\text{Cu}$  (see EN-SEC) even though this nuclide is not always produced by the reaction process expressed by the REACTION code.

It should be noted that

- without the EN-SEC entry, E-EXC would refer to 0-NN-1 in SF4 (!);
- even with the EN-SEC entry, this way of coding introduces an inconsistency, as the coding ( P, X ) 0-NN-1 implies that there is no single heavy reaction product defined, and therefore the data should not depend on a parameter of  $^{58}\text{Cu}$ .

A similar data set has been sometimes coded in a different way. For example, Dr. K. Miki (EXFOR E2382 [1]) informed JCPRG that his ( $t, ^3\text{He}+x$ ) DDX data sets ( $E_t=300$  MeV/A,  $\theta\sim 0$ ) must be related with the two body ( $t, ^3\text{He}$ ) reaction because he regards that the direct process is dominant. This opinion is taken into account in this EXFOR entry, for example,

```
( 40-ZR-90 ( T, HE3 ) 39-Y-90 , , DA/DE )
```

instead of

```
( 40-ZR-90 ( T, X ) 2-HE-3 , , DA/DE ) .
```

It could be difficult for EXFOR compilers to make a right decision (especially when the authors are not available). For example, EXFOR F1183 [2] gives angular distributions ( $25 \text{ deg} < \theta_{\text{cm}} < 165 \text{ deg}$ ) of DDX for  $^{65}\text{Cu}(\alpha, p+x)$  at  $E_\alpha=24.4$  MeV and a constant excitation energy of  $^{68}\text{Zn}$  derived by the two body kinematics. The threshold energy of the ( $\alpha, 2p$ ) reaction is about 13 MeV.

## Proposal

A possible solution could be use of a new branch code (say, ICL), for example

(28-NI-58(P,N)29-CU-58, ICL, DA/DE, N/RSD).

For E2135.002. The new branch code expresses that the data are not corrected for other channels emitting the same outgoing particle considered. When necessary, one may also propose a similar code

(ICL): uncertain if the data sets are corrected for the many body final states.

## Dictionary 31 (Branch codes)

ICL Not corrected for other channels emitting the same particle

## Dictionary 236 (Quantities)

ICL, DA/DE, \*/RSD Double diff. cross section  $d^2/dA(*)/dE$ (residual nucleus) not corrected for other channels emitting the same particle

Quantity	Reaction Type	Dimension	Subentry
ICL, DA/DE, */RSD	DAE	DAE	E2135.002-008 F1183.002-005,010-011 O0223.002-009

## Addition to LEXFOR “Production and Emission Cross sections”

### Production Cross Section defined with Excitation Energy

Sometimes the production cross section is given as a function of the excitation energy of the reaction product from a specific process (*e.g.*, two body reaction). This may happen when the contribution of the direct process is expected to be dominant (*e.g.*, data at forwarded angle). In this case the production cross section may be coded with the specific process considered by the author under REACTION with a branch code ICL.

### *Example:*

(28-NI-58(P,N)29-CU-58, ICL, DA/DE, N/RSD)

Double differential cross section  $d\sigma/d\Omega_n dE_x(^{58}\text{Cu})$  for  $^{58}\text{Ni}(p,n+x)$  reaction.

### Problematic entries

In this occasion, I went through EXFOR entries where

- REACTION SF3=X and SF6=\*DE\* and the secondary energy is coded under E-EXC
- REACTION SF3=X and the secondary energy is coded under Q-VAL.

Problematic entries are summarized at the end of this memo with proposed corrections.

### References

- [1] K. Miki et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **108** (2012) 262503 (EXFOR E2382).
- [2] A.V. Smirnov et al., Izv. Akadem. Nauk. USSR, Ser. Fiz. **49** (1985) 138 (EXFOR F2135).

*Many data sets listed in Memo CP-D/813 have been already corrected, and deleted in this working paper (2014-04-29)*

**Error list 1:** REACTION SF3=X and SF6=\*DE\* and the secondary energy is coded under E-EXC

		<b>REACTION</b>	<b>Proposed correction</b>
C0728	002	(2-HE-3(P,X)0-NN-1,,DA/DE)	E-EXC → E-RL;
	003	(2-HE-3(P,X)0-NN-1,,POL/DA/DE,,ANA)	add (E-RL,P+P+P) under EN-SEC. (Excitation energy measured from 3 proton mass energy)
J1601	002	(6-C-12(PIP,X)1-KP-0,,IPA/DE)	? The current Z-S-A formalism does not support hyper nuclei (e.g., ${}^{12}_{\Lambda}\text{C}$ )

**Error list 2:** REACTION SF3=x and the secondary energy is coded under Q-VAL.

		<b>REACTION</b>	<b>Proposed correction</b>
22077	014	(29-CU-0(N,X)0-NN-1,PAR,DA)	Use (29-CU-0(N,INL)29-CU-0,PAR,DA)??
	029	(22-TI-0(N,X)0-NN-1,PAR,DA)	Use (22-TI-0(N,INL)22-TI-0,PAR,DA)??
	044	(40-ZR-0(N,X)0-NN-1,PAR,DA)	Use (40-ZR-0(N,INL)40-ZR-0,PAR,DA)??