LEXFOR "Fitting Coefficients" – LEG/RS0 and LEG/RSD

(N. Otsuka, 2020-12-24, Memo CP-D/1007)

Note added to this Working Paper:

Addition of the zero-th order coefficient is proposed to the formulae of LEG/RS0 and LEG/RSD in LEXFOR "Fitting Coefficients".

LEXFOR "Fitting Coefficients" defines DA, LEG/RS0 and DA, LEG/RSD as follows:

 $DA_{,,LEG/RS0} = B_{l}$ (units NO-DIM) where:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(E,\theta) = \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(E,0^{\circ}) \sum_{l=1}^{n} a_{l}(E) P_{l}(\cos\theta)$$

DA,,LEG/RSD = B_1 (units NO-DIM) where:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(E,\theta) = \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(E,90^{\circ}) \sum_{l=1}^{n} a_{l}(E) P_{l}(\cos\theta)$$

Due to absence of the *l*=0 term, the integration of the right-hand side over the whole solid angle gives zero. This is strange! I found they were defined differently in an old LEXFOR entry (IAEA-NDS-3 Rev.96/11):

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial R}(E,\Theta) = a_{\ell} (\text{no dimension}) \text{ where:}$$

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial R}(E,\Theta) = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial R}(E,O^{\circ}) \sum_{\ell=0}^{n} a_{\ell}(E) P_{\ell}(\cos \Theta)$$

$$DA_{1}, LEG/RSD = a_{\ell} (\text{no dimension}) \text{ where:}$$

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial R}(E,\Theta) = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial R}(E,\Theta) \int \left[1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} a_{\ell}(E) P_{\ell}(\cos \Theta)\right]$$

For DA, LEG/RSD, however, I do not see a reason to fix the 0th order term to 1 (*i.e.*, the angular integrated cross section is not necessary to be 4π times the 90 deg angular differential cross section in general.). I propose the following definitions:

DA,,LEG/RS0 = a_l (units NO-DIM) where:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(E,\theta) = \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(E,0^{\circ}) \left[a_0 + \sum_{l=1}^n a_l(E) P_l(\cos\theta) \right]$$

DA,,LEG/RSD = a_l (units NO-DIM) where:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(E,\theta) = \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(E,90^{\circ}) \left[a_0 + \sum_{l=1}^n a_l(E) P_l(\cos\theta) \right]$$

There are many data sets compiled with one of these quantities without the 0th order coefficient, and they are suspicious. I checked such questionable entries and found that LEG/RS0 or LEG/RSD are often wrongly used for the coefficients for the expansion $W(\theta)=1+a_1P_1+a_2P_2+...$ which must be coded with LEG/RS. Below is a summary from my quick review, and the originating centre is asked to check each case against the source article before correction and retransmission.

SF8 must be LEG/RS.

41027.003, 41136.003, 41140.004, 41615.004, 41660.002+004, 41684.002-003, 41685.005+006, A1156.008+009, A1358.004, C1361.004, C1499.0004-005, F0216.004, F0360.005, F0397.003+005, F0475.002, M0283.013-022, O1347.004.

<u>SF8 must be probably LEG/RS</u> (though the expansion formula is not given in the article or wrong). 40354.003, A1357.004, M0879.003-004

SF8 must be RSD. 41616.008-011

<u>SF8 must be COS/RS.</u> F0013.004

<u>Not clear</u> 23411.002-003, 30455.003, 40449.002, O1195.004