Level density and gamma strength functions

Sunniva Siem University of Oslo

20th Meeting of the Nuclear Structure and Decay Data (NSDD) Network Kuwait, 28 January 2013



Outline of Talk:

- Our group
- Experimental setup
- The "Oslo method"
- Experimental results for γ-strength functions:
 - Small resonances
 - Low energy enhancement
- Effects on (n,γ) cross section calculations.
- Conclusions and ideas for a database of gamma strength functions





Centre for accelerator-based research and energy (SAFE):

includes:

Nuclear Chemistry PET Chemistry Nuclear Physics Solar Energy



The nuclear physics group in Oslo:

Permanent staff:

- John Rekstad (retires 2013)
- Magne Guttormsen
- Andreas Görgen
- Sunniva Siem

Postdocs: Michaela Meir Ann-Cecilie Larsen Francesca Giacoppo Eda Sahin Gry M. Tveten(from 1/8-2013)

7 PhD students (+1 from Hungary)

Guest researchers: Paul Koehler Geirr Sletten





What is γ -ray strength functions?

- A measure of the average, nuclear electromagnetic response determined by the nuclear structure and the available degrees of freedom
- Directly related to partial decay widths and reduced transition probabilities
- Fruitful concept in the quasi-continuum/continuum region





Experimental setup @ OCL

•Beam: p, d, 3He, α with energies up to 30-45 MeV

•Reactions: (³He,αγ), (³He,³He'γ), (p,p'γ), (d,pγ) and (p,tγ)

• CACTUS: 28 5" x 5" NaI(Tl), $\epsilon \approx 15\% @ E_{\gamma} = 1.33 \text{ MeV}$

• SiRi: 64 Si ΔE-E particle telescopes, Δθ≈2°

•Spin 2-6 ħ









Particle identification, Typical ΔE-E bananas:







The "Oslo-method" : Isolating the primary γ -rays as a function of Ex



Assuming dominance of dipole radiation (E1 and M1)



From the primary gamma spectra: functional form of level densities and γ strength functions



We rely on other nuclear data for normalization!

How well does it really work?

 $P \sim \rho(E_f) \cdot f(E_{\gamma}) \cdot E_{\gamma}^{3}$

²³³Th-data



Blind test of method



Collaboration with Milan Krticka





Thermodynamic properties of atomic nuclei



Small (Pygmy) resonances on the tail of the Giant Dipole Resonance





Strength of pygmy: 1.8 % of the TRK sum rule

no, increase with neutron number as expected

H.Toft et al. PRC 83, 044320 (2011).









S. Goriely Phys. Lett. B 436 (1998)



Small resonances in the strength function can effect the results of abundance calculations





Low energy enhancement of the strength function



Unexpected and so far the physics behind is unexplained





The low energy enhancement was recently confirmed in ⁹⁵Mo

M. Wiedeking, L. A. Bernstein et al., PRL 108, 162503 (2012)



Proton-γ-γ correlations from the ⁹⁴Mo(d,p)⁹⁵Mo reaction (experiment at Berkeley National Lab). Ge detectors. **Completely model-independent!**



How does the enhancement affect neutron capture cross sections?



Assuming the strength functions of Mo isotopes all have the same energy trend



A.C.Larsen et al. PRC (2010)



New data on Cd isotopes

A.C.Larsen et al. Phys. Rev. C 87, 014319 (2013)





We have an accepted proposal at HIE-ISOLDE



Nuclear data for reactor physics:

Level density and strength functions in the actinide region

²³²Th(d,p)²³³Th



Test of Brink-Axel: Two statistical independent data sets 232Th(d,p)



ΔE-E bananas

Several data setts from one experiment ;-) ²³²Th(³He,x)



M. Guttormsen et al.



M. Guttormsen et al PRL (2012)

- The scissor mode in actinides has huge strength of ~18 μ²
- The resonance is located at E_γ ~2–2.5 MeV lower than for rare earth nuclei 2.5–3 MeV, indicating more softness

TABLE II: Scissors mode parameters (see text).

Nuclide	δ	ω_{M1}	B_{M1}	$\omega_{M1}S_{-1}$
_		MeV	μ_N^2	μ_N^2
²³¹ Th	0.183	2.49(20)	11.2(30)	17.4
²³² Th	0.192	2.23(20)	13.8(40)	15.8
²³³ Th	0.200	2.24(10)	15.3(20)	16.0
²³² Pa	0.192	2.14(20)	14.7(40)	15.1
²³³ Pa	0.192	2.29(20)	12.7(30)	16.3

$$B_{M1} = \frac{9\hbar c}{32\pi^2} \left(\frac{\sigma\Gamma}{\omega_{M1}}\right)$$

Future plans: Replace CACTUS detectors with LaBr3 detectors



- Better time and energy resolutionhigh efficiency for high energy gamma rays.
- •Extend Oslo method to Ex above Bn.
- •Study competition between γ and particle decay.
- •Study spin dependence of level density
- •March 2012 exp in Oslo with 6 LaBr3 (3.5"x8") barrowed from the Milano group.

•We recieved funding for first 2 LaBr3 in 2012 ;-)





Example of difference in energy resolution:

















Future outlook and challenges:

- Ongoing analysis: ¹⁰⁵⁻¹⁰⁸Pd, ^{59,60}Ni, ⁹⁰⁻⁹²Zr, ^{105,106,111,112}Cd, ^{233,234,235}U, ^{237,238}Np, and ⁷⁴Ge
- > ¹⁹⁷Au(d,p) and ¹⁹⁷Au(³He, x) Nov/Dec. 2012 (F. Giacoppo)
- > What is the origin of the enhancement of low energy γ emission of exited nuclei.
- Impact of this enhancement/pygmy resonances on large network calculations of formation of elements in stars.
- Go to higher spin, investigate the level density as a function of both spin and temperature.
- Accepted proposal: Oslo method in inverse kinematics to study neutron rich nuclei at HIE-ISOLDE, CERN





Initiative to Evaluate of Reaction γ-ray Data

R.B. Firestone, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory S. Siem, Oslo University

Result of Rick spending sabbatical in Oslo fall 2012



Motivations

Measurements of particle/ γ -ray data has become a major activity of the nuclear structure community.

- **Statistical properties** of the nucleus at high level densities level density, photon strength, spin/parity
- Surrogate reaction measurements of nuclear cross sections
- Nuclear transport calculations RIPL
- Nuclear astrophysics calculations nucleosynthesis

These data are not evaluated in ENSDF or other data files

- These data would **complete ENSDF** coverage
- ENSDF would be the **natural repository** for this data
- The Oslo Cyclotron group is interested in leading this effort

OCL data compilation

Published level density and γ-strength data:

^{43,44,45}Sc, ^{44,45,46}Ti, ^{50,51}V, ^{56,57}Fe, ⁹³⁻⁹⁸Mo, ^{116-119,121,122}Sn, ^{148,149}Sm, ¹⁶⁰⁻¹⁶⁴Dy, ^{166,167}Er, ¹⁷⁰⁻¹⁷²Yb, ²⁰⁵⁻²⁰⁸Pb, ²³¹⁻²³³Th, ^{232,233}Pa,

In analysis or under peer review:

^{56,57}Fe, ^{59,60}Ni, ^{73,74}Ge, ⁹⁰⁻⁹²Zr, ^{105,106,111,112}Cd, ¹⁰⁵⁻¹⁰⁸Pd, ^{143,144,146,147}Sm, ¹⁹⁵⁻¹⁹⁷Pt, ¹⁹⁷Au, ^{233,235,238}U, ²³⁸Np

For references and to download the published data, see http://www.mn.uio.no/fysikk/english/research/about/infrastructure/OCL/compilation/



Different gamma strength - experiments

- Photonuclear reactions (above B_n)
- Primary transitions following neutron capture (around B_n)
- Nuclear resonance fluorescence (γ,γ'), electron scattering, proton scattering, ... (below B_n)
- Two-step cascade spectra following neutron/proton capture (below B_n)
- Primary γ spectra (below B_n)



Gamma strength – some experiments disagree, why?



Next Steps

- Workshop in Oslo in May (2013)
 Discussions/ establish a working group
- IAEA Consultants Meeting (2013) Scope the need and feasibility of this evaluation effort
- IAEA CRP on the Evaluation of Continuum Particle/γray data

Develop formats and procedures for these evaluations

Seek independent funding

Establish a lead evaluator position at UiO

Integration into the IAEA/NSDD evaluation effort
 Coordination with RIPL, ENSDF, EGAF,



Collaboration: The γ -ray strength function of ⁷⁴Ge is being measured in several complementary experiments.



•Berkeley: ⁷⁴Ge(p,p') STARS-LIBERACE (July 2011) •Oslo: ⁷⁴Ge(³He, α ',), (³He,³He') (February 2012) •iThemba: ⁷³Ge(α , α ') (November 2012) •ELBE: (γ , γ ') (July 2012, + again 2013) •FRM II (Promt gamma) in 2013



4th Workshop on Nuclear Level Density and Gamma Strength

Oslo, May 27 - 31, 2013

Navigation:

Home

Accommodation

Travel

Venue







Senter for Akseleratorbasert Forskning og Energifysikk



Welcome to Oslo!



http://tid.uio.no/workshop2013



Collaborators

- A.Bürger*, T.K.Eriksen, F.L.Bello Garrote, F. Giacoppo, A.Görgen, M.Guttormsen, P.Koehler, M.Klintfjord, A.C.Larsen, H.T.Nyhus, J.Rekstad, T.Renstrøm, S.J.Rose, E. Sahin, S.Siem, H.K.Toft*, G.M.Tveten, T.Wiborg-Hagen, University of Oslo, Norway
- T. Tornyi, Debrecen, Hungary
- G.Mitchell, North Carolina & TUNL, USA
- L.Bernstein, D.Bleuel, Lawrence Livermore NL, USA
- M.Wiedeking, iTemba labs South Africa
- **A.Schiller***, **A.Voinov**, Ohio University, USA
- S.Goriely, Brussel, Belgium
- J.Wilson, IPN Orsay, France
- F. Gunsing, CEA Saclay, France
- M. Krticka, Charles University, Prague
- R. Firestone, Berkeley national Lab
- U. Agvaanluvsan, Stanford Univ./MonAme Scientific Research Center
- E. Algin, Eskisehir Osmangazi University



*left for industry

Thank you for your attention!

