

THE AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY

Proposal Inclusion of absolute atomic radiation energies and emission probabilities in decay data sets

Tibor Kibèdi (ANU) and Alejandro Sonzogni (NNDC, BNL)

Tibor Kibèdi, Dep. of Nuclear Physics, Australian National University

NSDD April 2017, Berkeley

Atomic Data in ENSDF



BrIccEmis (De # AUGER ele # Trans Auger tot Auger tot Auger KLL Auger KLX Auger KLX Auger KXY Auger Ltot Auger LLM Auger LLX Auger LMM Auger LMM Auger LXY Auger Mtot Auger Mtot Auger MXY Auger NNN Auger NNN Auger NNX Auger NXY	ec-2014) ectrons ======= AUGER transition Energy[eV] 1125.49 20318.39 19222.36 22461.82 25631.33 2306.76 32.20 229.91 2580.25 3054.43 3531.82 244.28 94.58 304.87 24.10 17.89 17.30 55.37	lectrons: 59 on types: Prob.[/deca 5.912E+00 1.548E-01 1.067E-01 4.384E-02 4.321E-03 1.189E+00 4.729E-02 1.288E-01 8.166E-01 1.852E-01 1.086E-02 2.975E+00 8.572E-01 2.118E+00 1.593E+00 7.272E-01 5.928E-01 2.732E-01	911659 482 ay] 700 600 500 500 200 100	$\frac{2.8049 \text{ d}}{92^{2}}$
Transit Aug X-r	ions: jer 300 k a ay: 17.4 k	of 453 ty of 55 ty	vpes Des	 Need to Contain energy and intensity for the users Readable by computer codes Use standard notation

Tibor Kibèdi, Dep. of Nuclear Physics, Australian National University



Uncertainties of atomic radiations from nuclear decay



Action: report experimental Xray and Auger energies and intensities in ENSDF Uncertainties should be evaluated from

 ΔE : nuclear transition energies (CE only) and atomic binding energies calculated for ionic systems <u>NOTE</u>: RAINE tend to overestimate binding energies (magnitude depends on Z and shell)

 $\Delta RI:$ nuclear transition (γ , EC) intensities, conversion coefficients and transition rates from EADL **NOTE1:** Accuracy of EADL

□ K, L:15% (Auger) 10% (X-ray)

M, N ..: unknown (Auger), 100% (KC-Auger), 30% (X-ray below 100 eV)

<u>NOTE2</u>: EADL calculated for single initial vacancies! <u>NOTE3</u>: To propagate uncertainties BrIccEmis need to be modified significantly

At present we will not able report uncertainties

Tibor Kibèdi, Dep. of Nuclear Physics, Australian National University

NSDD April 2017, Berkeley





Comment	X-rays	Auger electrons
 Notation: from IUPAC International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry Based on initial and final atomic levels involved 	K-L ₃	K-L ₁ -L ₂
 Group sub-shells to reduce number of transitions Summed decay rates Use the mean transition energy for the group 	$eq:linear_line$	KLL (for K-L ₁ -L ₁ , K-L ₃ -L ₃) KLX (X=M ₁ ,N ₁) KXY (X&Y=M ₁ ,N ₁)



```
111CDSAD AKtot=20.298 [0.1526]$AKLL=19.223 [0.1058]$AKLX=22.464 [0.0428]$
```

111CDSXD Xtot=10.843 [1.855]\$XKtot=23.706 [0.836]\$XKL2=23.058 [0.2415]\$

111CD L 0.0 1/2+ STABLE

- New ENSDF record type "D" (col. 8) and "S" (col. 6) with "A" (Auger) and "X" (X-ray) in column 7; 16 records for ¹¹¹In
- Only appears in DECAY data sets just before the ground state level record
- Each entry: (a) Radiation group ("Aktot="); (b) Mean energy in keV;
 (c) Intensity (on the same scale as gammas; "[RI]")
- Intensities cut off: 0.0001/decay
- > Detailed spectra (list and figure) stored on the ENSDF file server
- > Uncertainties: need further study how to deduce



NS_RadList

- □ Reads and validates ENSDF input
- Calculates primary vacancy distribution following EC (1995SCZX, 1998Sc28) and IC (2008KI07)
- Calculates full Auger and X-ray spectra using BrIccEmis data base (in preparation); generates new ENSDF cards, calculation report files and optional plots using GnuPlot
- □ Second pass to merge new ENSDF cards (same as BrIcc)

- NS_Radlist based on NS_Lib, shared routines with BrIcc, TRuler, UncTools. Comprehensive error checking of the ENSDF file. Runs on all operating systems
- BrIccEmis data base: calculated for Z=70, ~40 Mb binary data
 To be completed in late 2017 (ANU)



Header

Program version: NS_RadList v1.0 (10-May-2017)# BrIccEmis: BrIccEmis (18-Apr-2017)

- # NSR Key: 2012Le09
- # ENSDF file: 103Pd_EC.ens
- # Parent: 103PD# Daughter: 103RH
- # DecayMode: EC# Half Life: 16.991 D

List of Gamma-rays and CEs – in development



Auger electrons

<pre># AUGER elect</pre>	rons =====		===============================
<pre># Transition</pre>		Energy [keV]	Probability
#	Mean	95% Confidence range	[per 100 decays]
Auger_Tot	0.6537	[0.0020 : 2.6570]	1.257E+03
Auger_Ktot	17.7580	[16.3210 : 21.9800]	1.824E+01
Auger_KLL	16.8571	[16.3210 : 17.1390]	1.276E+01
Auger_KLX	19.6269	[19.1830 : 20.2040]	5.019E+00
Auger_KXY	22.3323	[21.9800 : 22.9530]	4.618E-01
Auger_Ltot	2.0301	[0.0480 : 2.7680]	1.908E+02
CK_LLM	0.0462	[0.0090 : 0.0560]	9.229E+00
CK_LLX	0.1428	[0.0310 : 0.3930]	1.418E+01
Auger_LMM	2.2358	[1.8420 : 2.4960]	1.400E+02
Auger_LMX	2.6045	[2.3730 : 2.8250]	2.613E+01
Auger_LXY	2.9813	[2.8510 : 3.2660]	1.329E+00
Auger_Mtot	0.1997	[0.0360 : 0.3730]	5.014E+02
CK_MMX	0.0937	[0.0110 : 0.1760]	1.456E+02
Auger_MXY	0.2431	[0.1460 : 0.4040]	3.558E+02
Auger_Ntot	0.0184	[0.0010 : 0.0410]	5.461E+02
SCK_NNN	0.0183	[0.0010 : 0.0400]	5.038E+02
CK_NNX	0.0185	[0.0020 : 0.0600]	4.232E+01

Tibor Kibèdi, Dep. of Nuclear Physics, Australian National University



X-rays

# X-ravs =====			=========================
# Transition	I	Energy [keV]	Probability
#	Mean	95% Confidence range	[per 100 decays]
X-ray tot	18.6729	[2.7030 : 22.7880]	8.634E+01
X-ray Ktot	20.6614	[20.1340 : 23.2330]	7.682E+01
X-ray KL2	20.1340	[20.1340 : 20.1340]	2.220E+01
X-ray KL3	20.2790	[20.2790 : 20.2790]	4.197E+01
X-ray KM	22.7806	[22.7630 : 22.7880]	1.056E+01
X-ray KM2	22.7630	[22.7630 : 22.7630]	3.551E+00
X-ray KM3	22.7880	[22.7880 : 22.7880]	6.955E+00
X-ray KN	23.2371	[23.2330 : 23.2390]	2.086E+00
X-ray KN2	23.2330	[23.2330 : 23.2330]	7.095E-01
X-ray KN3	23.2390	[23.2390 : 23.2390]	1.372E+00
X-ray Ltot	2.7511	[2.3780 : 3.1180]	9.074E+00
X-ray Mtot	0.3321	[0.1860 : 0.5700]	2.504E-01
X-ray Ntot	0.0599	[0.0350 : 0.0780]	1.980E-01





Current record created by BrIcc

71GE G 23.438 15 0.0226 13 M2 208 71GES G KC=169.5 25\$LC=32.7 5\$MC=5.03 8 71GES G NC=0.265 4

No CE energy given

Modified records, ICC ratios not changed

71GES G KC=12.33 [169.5 25]\$LC=22.07 [32.7 5]\$MC=23.27 [5.03 8]\$

ICC DICC

Energy Given to the precision of the g-ray For L, M, etc shells weighted using Icc

Tibor Kibèdi, Dep. of Nuclear Physics, Australian National University