

```

===== Recent
PROGRAM RECENT                               Recent
VERSION 79-1 (OCTOBER 1979)  CDC-7600         Recent
VERSION 80-1 (MAY 1980)     IBM, CDC AND CRAY VERSION Recent
VERSION 80-2 (DECEMBER 1980) IMPROVED TREATMENT OF UNRESOLVED Recent
                           REGION TO COMPUTE ALL REACTIONS AT Recent
                           THE SAME TIME.                               Recent
VERSION 81-1 (MARCH 1981)   IMPROVED BASED ON USER COMMENTS.      Recent
VERSION 81-2 (AUGUST 1981)  ADDED MONITOR MODE. ADDED SPEED OPTION Recent
                           TO BYPASS BACKWARDS THINNING IF FILE 3 Recent
                           ALLOWABLE ERROR = 0.0 (NOTE THIS OPTION Recent
                           WILL RESULT IN ALL TABULATED POINTS      Recent
                           FROM THE EVALUATION BEING KEPT IN THE    Recent
                           OUTPUT FROM THIS PROGRAM).               Recent
VERSION 82-1 (JANUARY 1982) IMPROVED COMPUTER COMPATIBILITY.      Recent
VERSION 83-1 (JANUARY 1983) *MAJOR RE-DESIGN.                      Recent
                           *PAGE SIZES INCREASED.                  Recent
                           *ELIMINATED COMPUTER DEPENDENT CODING.   Recent
                           *NEW, MORE COMPATIBLE I/O UNIT NUMBERS. Recent
                           *ADDED OPTION TO KEEP ALL RECONSTRUCTED Recent
                           AND BACKGROUND ENERGY POINTS.          Recent
                           *ADDED STANDARD ALLOWABLE ERROR OPTIONS Recent
                           (CURRENTLY 0.1 PER-CENT RECONSTRUCTION Recent
                           AND 0.0 PER-CENT THINNING).              Recent
VERSION 83-2 (OCTOBER 1983) IMPROVED BASED ON USER COMMENTS.      Recent
VERSION 84-1 (JANUARY 1984) IMPROVED INTERVAL HALFING CONVERGENCE. Recent
VERSION 85-1 (APRIL 1985)  *A BRAND NEW PROGRAM WHICH COMPLETELY Recent
                           SUPERCEDES ALL PREVIOUS VERSIONS OF     Recent
                           THIS PROGRAM.                            Recent
                           *UPDATED FOR ENDF/B-VI FORMATS.          Recent
                           *ADDED GENERAL REICH-MOORE FORMALISM     Recent
                           (WITH TWO FISSION CHANNELS).             Recent
                           *DECREASED RUNNING TIME.                 Recent
                           *SPECIAL I/O ROUTINES TO GUARANTEE      Recent
                           ACCURACY OF ENERGY.                    Recent
                           *DOUBLE PRECISION TREATMENT OF ENERGY Recent
                           (REQUIRED FOR NARROW RESONANCES).         Recent
VERSION 85-2 (AUGUST 1985) *FORTRAN-77/H VERSION                  Recent
VERSION 86-1 (JANUARY 1986) *ENERGY DEPENDENT SCATTERING RADIUS   Recent
VERSION 86-2 (JUNE 1986)   *IF FIRST CHANCE FISSION (MT=19)       Recent
                           BACKGROUND IS PRESENT ADD RESONANCE      Recent
                           CONTRIBUTION OF FISSION TO IT.           Recent
VERSION 86-3 (OCTOBER 1986) *MULTI-LEVEL OR REICH-MOORE..CORRECT Recent
                           POTENTIAL SCATTERING CROSS SECTION FOR Recent
                           MISSING AND/OR FICTICIOUS (L,J)         Recent
                           SEQUENCES.                               Recent
VERSION 87-1 (JANUARY 1987) *IMPROVED COMBINING FILE 2+3          Recent
VERSION 87-2 (MARCH 1987)  *CORRECTED ADLER-ADLER CALCULATIONS.   Recent
VERSION 88-1 (JULY 1988)   *UPDATED REICH-MOORE ENDF/B-VI FORMAT Recent
                           TO BE THE SAME AS REICH-MOORE FORMAT      Recent
                           IN EARLIER VERSIONS OF ENDF/B FORMAT.   Recent
                           *CHECK FOR PRELIMINARY ENDF/B-VI        Recent
                           REICH-MOORE FORMAT (NOW ABANDONED)       Recent
                           AND TERMINATE EXECUTION IF DATA IS     Recent
                           IN THIS FORMAT.                          Recent
                           *CALCULATE CHANNEL RADIUS OR SET IT      Recent
                           EQUAL TO THE SCATTERING RADIUS.          Recent
                           *IMPLEMENTED HYBRID R-FUNCTION WITH THE Recent
                           FOLLOWING RESTRICTIONS                   Recent
                           - ONLY INELASTIC COMPETITION (NO         Recent
                             CHARGED PARTICLES)                     Recent
                           - NO TABULATED FILE 2 BACKGROUND         Recent
                           - NO TABULATED OPTICAL MODEL PHASE      Recent
                             SHIFT                                   Recent
                           *PROGRAM EXIT IF GENERAL R-MATRIX IN    Recent
                           THE EVALUATION (THIS FORMALISM WILL     Recent
                           BE IMPLEMENTED ONLY AFTER THE AUTHOR     Recent
                           RECEIVES REAL EVALUATIONS WHICH USE     Recent
                           THIS FORMALISM...UNTIL THEN IT IS        Recent
                           IMPOSSIBLE TO ADEQUATELY TEST THAT      Recent

```

	THE CODING FOR THIS FORMALISM IS	Recent
	CORRECT).	Recent
	*INCREASED MAXIMUM NUMBER OF RESONANCES	Recent
	FROM 1002 TO 4008.	Recent
	*DOUBLE PRECISION RESONANCE REGION	Recent
	LIMITS.	Recent
	*FILE 2 AND FILE 3 ENERGIES WHICH ARE	Recent
	NEARLY EQUAL ARE TREATED AS EQUAL	Recent
	(I.E., SAME TO ABOUT 9 DIGITS).	Recent
	*CHECK FILE 3 BACKGROUND CROSS SECTIONS	Recent
	IN EDIT MODE.	Recent
	*OPTION...INTERNALLY DEFINE FILENAMES	Recent
	(SEE SUBROUTINE FILEIO FOR DETAILS).	Recent
VERSION 89-1 (JANUARY 1989)	*PSYCHOANALYZED BY PROGRAM FREUD TO	Recent
	INSURE PROGRAM WILL NOT DO ANYTHING	Recent
	CRAZY.	Recent
	*UPDATED TO USE NEW PROGRAM CONVERT	Recent
	KEYWORDS.	Recent
	*CORRECTED MULTILEVEL, REICH-MOORE AND	Recent
	HYBRID R-FUNCTION POTENTIAL SCATTER	Recent
	TO ACCOUNT FOR REPEATED J-VALUES FOR	Recent
	THE SAME TARGET SPIN AND L-VALUE.	Recent
	*ADDED LIVERMORE CIVIC COMPILER	Recent
	CONVENTIONS.	Recent
	*UPDATED TO USE NEW ENDF/B-VI	Recent
	CONVENTION TO ALLOW UNRESOLVED	Recent
	RESONANCE CONTRIBUTION TO ALREADY	Recent
	BE INCLUDED IN THE FILE 3 CROSS	Recent
	SECTIONS (INFINITELY DIULUTE	Recent
	CONTRIBUTION).	Recent
VERSION 90-1 (JUNE 1990)	*UPDATED BASED ON USER COMMENTS	Recent
	*ADDED FORTRAN SAVE OPTION	Recent
	*NEW MORE CONSISTENT ENERGY OUTPUT	Recent
	ROUTINE	Recent
VERSION 91-1 (JULY 1991)	*NEW UNIFORM TREATMENT OF ALL RESONANCE	Recent
	FORMALISMS (SEE, COMMENTS BELOW)	Recent
	*NEW REICH-MOORE ALGORITHM	Recent
	*MORE EXTENSIVE ERROR CHECKING AND	Recent
	ERROR MESSAGE EXPLANATIONS	Recent
VERSION 92-1 (JANUARY 1992)	*MAJOR RESTRUCTING TO IMPROVE ACCURACY	Recent
	AND COMPUTER INDEPENDENCE.	Recent
	*INCREASED ENERGY POINT PAGE SIZE FROM	Recent
	1002 TO 4008.	Recent
	*NO MORE THAN 2 ENERGY POINTS WHERE	Recent
	CROSS SECTION IS ZERO AT BEGINNING	Recent
	OF A SECTION FOR EACH REACTION,E.G.,	Recent
	THRESHOLD FISSION.	Recent
	*PROCESS ONLY A PORTION OF RESONANCE	Recent
	REGION - SEE EXPLANATION BELOW	Recent
	*ALL ENERGIES INTERNALLY ROUNDED PRIOR	Recent
	TO CALCULATIONS.	Recent
	*COMPLETELY CONSISTENT I/O AND ROUNDING	Recent
	ROUTINES - TO MINIMIZE COMPUTER	Recent
	DEPENDENCE.	Recent
VERSION 93-1 (MARCH 1993)	*UPDATED REICH-MOORE TREATMENT TO USE	Recent
	L DEPENDENT SCATTERING RADIUS (APL)	Recent
	RATHER THAN SCATTERING RADIUS (AP)	Recent
	(SEE, ENDF/B-VI FORMATS AND	Recent
	PROCEDURES MANUAL, PAGE 2.6)	Recent
	*INCREASED PAGE SIZE FROM 4008 TO	Recent
	20040 DATA POINTS.	Recent
	*INCREASED MAXIMUM NUMBER OF RESONANCES	Recent
	FROM 4008 TO 20040.	Recent
VERSION 94-1 (JANUARY 1994)	*VARIABLE ENDF/B DATA FILENAMES	Recent
	TO ALLOW ACCESS TO FILE STRUCTURES	Recent
	(WARNING - INPUT PARAMETER FORMAT	Recent
	HAS BEEN CHANGED).	Recent
	*CLOSE ALL FILES BEFORE TERMINATING	Recent
	(SEE, SUBROUTINE ENDIT)	Recent
VERSION 94-2 (AUGUST 1994)	*CORRECTED ADDJ FOR ENERGY DEPENDENT	Recent
	(TABULATED) SCATTERING RADIUS CASE.	Recent

VERSION 96-1 (JANUARY 1996)	*COMPLETE RE-WRITE	Recent
	*IMPROVED COMPUTER INDEPENDENCE	Recent
	*ALL DOUBLE PRECISION	Recent
	*ON SCREEN OUTPUT	Recent
	*UNIFORM TREATMENT OF ENDF/B I/O	Recent
	*IMPROVED OUTPUT PRECISION	Recent
	*ALWAYS INCLUDE THERMAL VALUE	Recent
	*DEFINED SCRATCH FILE NAMES	Recent
VERSION 97-1 (APRIL 1997)	*OPTIONAL MAKE NEGATIVE CROSS	Recent
	SECTION = 0 FOR OUTPUT	Recent
	*INCREASED PAGE SIZE FROM 20040 TO	Recent
	120000 DATA POINTS.	Recent
	*INCREASED MAXIMUM NUMBER OF RESONANCES	Recent
	FROM 20040 TO 120000.	Recent
VERSION 99-1 (MARCH 1999)	*CORRECTED CHARACTER TO FLOATING	Recent
	POINT READ FOR MORE DIGITS	Recent
	*UPDATED TEST FOR ENDF/B FORMAT	Recent
	VERSION BASED ON RECENT FORMAT CHANGE	Recent
	*UPDATED CONSTANTS BASED ON CSEWG	Recent
	SUBCOMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS	Recent
	*GENERAL IMPROVEMENTS BASED ON	Recent
	USER FEEDBACK	Recent
VERSION 99-2 (JUNE 1999)	*IMPLEMENTED NEW REICH-MOORE FORMALISM	Recent
	TO ALLOW DEFINITION OF (L,J,S) FOR	Recent
	EACH SEQUENCE.	Recent
	*ASSUME ENDF/B-VI, NOT V, IF MISSING	Recent
	MF=1, MT=451.	Recent
VERS. 2000-1 (FEBRUARY 2000)	*GENERAL IMPROVEMENTS BASED ON	Recent
	USER FEEDBACK	Recent
VERS. 2002-1 (MAY 2002)	*OPTIONAL INPUT PARAMETERS	Recent
(SEPT. 2002)	*OUTPUT RESONANCE WITH 9 DIGITS	Recent
	*TO BE C AND C++ COMPATIBLE OUTPUT	Recent
VERS. 2004-1 (JAN. 2004)	*ADDED INCLUDE 'recent.h'	Recent
	*MADE ENDF/B-VII READY	Recent
	*UPDATED FOR NEW REICH-MOORE LRF=7	Recent
	PARAMETERS WITH COMPETITION	Recent
	*ADDED COULOMB PENETRATION FACTORS FOR	Recent
	LRF=7 COMPETITIVE CHANNELS.	Recent
	*EXTENDED DEFINITIONS OF PENETRATION	Recent
	FACTOR, LEVEL SHIFT FACTOR, AND	Recent
	POTENTIAL SCATTERING PHASE SHIFT	Recent
	ABOVE L = 5 TO INFINITY.	Recent
	*ADDED QUICK CALCULATION - IF THE	Recent
	INPUT ALLOWABLE ERROR IS 1.0 OR MORE	Recent
	(100 % OR MORE) THERE IS NO ITERATION	Recent
	TO CONVERGENCE - CROSS SECTION ARE	Recent
	QUICKLY CALCULATED ONLY AT A FIXED	Recent
	SET OF ENERGY POINTS, BASED ON THE	Recent
	ENERGY AND WIDTH OF ALL RESONANCES.	Recent
	THIS CAN BE USED TO QUICKLY "SEE"	Recent
	NEW EVALUATIONS THAT MAY CONTAIN	Recent
	ERRORS, THAT WOULD OTHERWISE CAUSE	Recent
	THIS CODE TO RUN FOR AN EXCESSIVELY	Recent
	LONG TIME.	Recent
OWNED, MAINTAINED AND DISTRIBUTED BY		Recent
-----		Recent
THE NUCLEAR DATA SECTION		Recent
INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY		Recent
P.O. BOX 100		Recent
A-1400, VIENNA, AUSTRIA		Recent
EUROPE		Recent
ORIGINALLY WRITTEN BY		Recent
-----		Recent
DERMOTT E. CULLEN		Recent
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA		Recent
LAWRENCE LIVERMORE NATIONAL LABORATORY		Recent
L-159		Recent
P.O. BOX 808		Recent
LIVERMORE, CA 94550		Recent

U.S.A.	Recent
TELEPHONE 925-423-7359	Recent
E. MAIL CULLEN1@LLNL.GOV	Recent
WEBSITE HTTP://WWW.LLNL.GOV/CULLEN1	Recent
Acknowledgement (Version 2004-1)	Recent
=====	Recent
The author thanks Nancy Larson, ORNL, for providing her SAMRML	Recent
code for comparison to RECENT output for Reich-Moore evaluations,	Recent
in particular to verify results for the new LFR=7 evaluations. I	Recent
also thank her for providing guidance to help me understand and	Recent
implement this new treatment for Reich-Moore parameters.	Recent
	Recent
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT (VERSION 92-1)	Recent
=====	Recent
THE AUTHOR THANKS SOL PEARLSTEIN (BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LAB) FOR	Recent
SIGNIFICANTLY CONTRIBUTING TOWARD IMPROVING THE ACCURACY AND	Recent
COMPUTER INDEPENDENCE OF THIS CODE - THANKS, SOL	Recent
=====	Recent
AUTHORS MESSAGE	Recent
=====	Recent
THE REPORT DESCRIBED ABOVE IS THE LATEST PUBLISHED DOCUMENTATION	Recent
FOR THIS PROGRAM. HOWEVER, THE COMMENTS BELOW SHOULD BE CONSIDERED	Recent
THE LATEST DOCUMENTATION INCLUDING ALL RECENT IMPROVEMENTS. PLEASE	Recent
READ ALL OF THESE COMMENTS BEFORE IMPLEMENTATION, PARTICULARLY	Recent
THE COMMENTS CONCERNING MACHINE DEPENDENT CODING.	Recent
	Recent
AT THE PRESENT TIME WE ARE ATTEMPTING TO DEVELOP A SET OF COMPUTER	Recent
INDEPENDENT PROGRAMS THAT CAN EASILY BE IMPLEMENTED ON ANY ONE	Recent
OF A WIDE VARIETY OF COMPUTERS. IN ORDER TO ASSIST IN THIS PROJECT	Recent
IT WOULD BE APPRECIATED IF YOU WOULD NOTIFY THE AUTHOR OF ANY	Recent
COMPILER DIAGNOSTICS, OPERATING PROBLEMS OR SUGGESTIONS ON HOW TO	Recent
IMPROVE THIS PROGRAM. HOPEFULLY, IN THIS WAY FUTURE VERSIONS OF	Recent
THIS PROGRAM WILL BE COMPLETELY COMPATIBLE FOR USE ON YOUR	Recent
COMPUTER.	Recent
	Recent
PURPOSE	Recent
=====	Recent
THIS PROGRAM IS DESIGNED TO RECONSTRUCT THE RESONANCE CONTRIBUTION	Recent
TO THE CROSS SECTION IN LINEARLY INTERPOLABLE FORM, ADD IN ANY	Recent
LINEARLY INTERPOLABLE BACKGROUND CROSS SECTION AND OUTPUT THE	Recent
RESULT IN THE ENDF/B FORMAT. THE CROSS SECTIONS OUTPUT BY THIS	Recent
PROGRAM WILL BE LINEARLY INTERPOLABLE OVER THE ENTIRE ENERGY RANGE	Recent
	Recent
THE RESONANCE CONTRIBUTION IS CALCULATED FOR TOTAL (MT=1),	Recent
ELASTIC (MT=2), CAPTURE (MT=102) AND FISSION (MT=18), ADDED	Recent
TO THE BACKGROUND (IF ANY) AND OUTPUT. IN ADDITION, IF THERE	Recent
IS A FIRST CHANCE FISSION (MT=19) BACKGROUND PRESENT THE RESONANCE	Recent
CONTRIBUTION OF FISSION WILL BE ADDED TO THE BACKGROUND AND	Recent
OUTPUT. IF THERE IS NO FIRST CHANCE FISSION (MT=19) BACKGROUND	Recent
PRESENT THE PROGRAM WILL NOT OUTPUT MT=19.	Recent
	Recent
IN THE FOLLOWING FOR SIMPLICITY THE ENDF/B TERMINOLOGY--ENDF/B	Recent
TAPE--WILL BE USED. IN FACT THE ACTUAL MEDIUM MAY BE TAPE, CARDS,	Recent
DISK OR ANY OTHER MEDIUM.	Recent
	Recent
PROCESSING DATA IN THE ENDF/B-VI FORMAT	Recent
=====	Recent
IT HAS NOW BEEN CONFIRMED (PRIVATE COMMUNICATION, CHARLES DUNFORD,	Recent
APRIL, 1991) THAT THE PROPER PROCEDURE TO FOLLOW WHEN THERE ARE	Recent
MISSING OR DUPLICATE J VALUES IS TO IN ALL CASES ADD A SEQUENCE	Recent
WITH NO RESONANCES TO ACCOUNT FOR THE CONTRIBUTION OF THE SEQUENCE	Recent
TO THE POTENTIAL SCATTERING CROSS SECTION.	Recent
	Recent
THIS IS THE PROCEDURE WHICH WAS FOLLOWED BY ALL VERSIONS OF RECENT	Recent
SINCE 86-3 AND WILL CONTINUE TO BE THE PROCEDURE.	Recent
	Recent
INPUT ENDF/B FORMAT AND CONVENTIONS	Recent
=====	Recent
ENDF/B FORMAT	Recent



THIS PROGRAM DOES NOT UPDATE THE REACTION INDEX IN MF=1, MT=451. RECENT  
 THIS CONVENTION HAS BEEN ADOPTED BECAUSE MOST USERS DO NOT RECENT  
 REQUIRE A CORRECT REACTION INDEX FOR THEIR APPLICATIONS AND IT WAS RECENT  
 NOT CONSIDERED WORTHWHILE TO INCLUDE THE OVERHEAD OF CONSTRUCTING RECENT  
 A CORRECT REACTION INDEX IN THIS PROGRAM. HOWEVER, IF YOU REQUIRE RECENT  
 A REACTION INDEX FOR YOUR APPLICATIONS, AFTER RUNNING THIS PROGRAM RECENT  
 YOU MAY USE PROGRAM DICTIN TO CREATE A CORRECT REACTION INDEX. RECENT

#### OUTPUT FORMAT OF ENERGIES

-----  
 IN THIS VERSION OF RECENT ALL FILE 3 ENERGIES WILL BE OUTPUT IN RECENT  
 F (INSTEAD OF E) FORMAT IN ORDER TO ALLOW ENERGIES TO BE WRITTEN RECENT  
 WITH UP TO 9 DIGITS OF ACCURACY. IN PREVIOUS VERSIONS THIS WAS AN RECENT  
 OUTPUT OPTION. HOWEVER USE OF THIS OPTION TO COMPARE THE RESULTS RECENT  
 OF ENERGIES WRITTEN IN THE NORMAL ENDF/B CONVENTION OF 6 DIGITS RECENT  
 TO THE 9 DIGIT OUTPUT FROM THIS PROGRAM DEMONSTRATED THAT FAILURE RECENT  
 TO USE THE 9 DIGIT OUTPUT CAN LEAD TO LARGE ERRORS IN THE DATA RECENT  
 JUST DUE TO TRANSLATION OF ENERGIES FROM THEIR INTERNAL (BINARY) RECENT  
 REPRESENTATION TO THE ENDF/B FORMAT. RECENT

#### ACCURACY OF ENERGY

-----  
 IN ORDER TO ALLOW ENERGIES TO BE ACCURATELY OUTPUT TO 9 DIGITS RECENT  
 ON SHORT WORD LENGTH COMPUTERS (E.G. IBM) ALL ENERGIES AND RECENT  
 ENERGY DEPENDENT TERMS ARE READ AND TREATED IN DOUBLE PRECISION. RECENT

#### OUTPUT OF RESONANCE PARAMETERS

-----  
 A SPECIAL CONVENTION HAS BEEN INTRODUCED REGARDING RESONANCE RECENT  
 PARAMETERS. IN ORDER TO ALLOW THE USER TO DOPPLER BROADEN AND/OR RECENT  
 SELF-SHIELD CROSS SECTIONS THE RESONANCE PARAMETERS ARE ALSO RECENT  
 INCLUDED IN THE OUTPUT WITH THE EVALUATION. IN ORDER TO AVOID THE RECENT  
 POSSIBILITY OF ADDING THE RESONANCE CONTRIBUTION A SECOND TIME RECENT  
 TWO CONVENTIONS HAVE BEEN ADOPTED TO INDICATE THAT THE RESONANCE RECENT  
 CONTRIBUTION HAS ALREADY BEEN ADDED TO THE FILE 3 CROSS SECTIONS, RECENT

(1) WHEN THE DATA IS PROCESSED BY THIS PROGRAM LRP (IN MF=1, RECENT  
 MT=451) IS SET EQUAL TO 2. THIS IS A CONVENTION WHICH HAS BEEN RECENT  
 ADOPTED AS A STANDARD CONVENTION IN ENDF/B-VI, BUT IS ONLY TO BE RECENT  
 USED FOR PROCESSED DATA, AS OPPOSED TO THE ORIGINAL EVALUATIONS. RECENT  
 IN EVALUATIONS WHICH CONTAIN MF=1, MT=451 LRP CAN BE USED TO RECENT  
 DETERMINE IF THE MATERIAL HAS BEEN PROCESSED. RECENT

(2) THE LRU FLAG IN EACH SECTION OF FILE 2 DATA IS CHANGED TO RECENT  
 LRU=LRU+3. FOR EXAMPLE WHEN READING AN ENDF/B EVALUATION LRU=0 RECENT  
 (NO RESONANCES), =1 (RESOLVED) OR =2 (UNRESOLVED) INDICATES THAT RECENT  
 THE DATA IS IN THE ORIGINAL ENDF/B FORM. LRU=3 (NO RESONANCES), RECENT  
 =4 (RESOLVED) OR =5 (UNRESOLVED) INDICATES THAT THE RESONANCE RECENT  
 CONTRIBUTION HAS ALREADY BEEN ADDED TO THE FILE 3 DATA. THIS RECENT  
 SECOND CONVENTION HAS BEEN ADOPTED AS INSURANCE THAT THE RESONANCE RECENT  
 CONTRIBUTION WILL NOT BE ADDED TWICE, EVEN FOR EVALUATIONS WHICH RECENT  
 DO NOT CONTAIN MF=1, MT=451 (EVALUATIONS WHICH CONTAIN MF=1, RECENT  
 MT=451 ARE COVERED BY CONVENTION (1), DESCRIBED ABOVE). RECENT

#### UNIFORM TREATMENT OF RESONANCE FORMALISMS

##### NORMALIZATION

-----  
 ALL OF THE RESONANCE FORMALISMS INCLUDE A FACTOR OF,

$$PI*(FRACTIONAL\ ABUNDANCE)/(K^{*2})$$

THIS FACTOR HAS BEEN REMOVED FROM THE CALCULATION OF EACH TYPE RECENT  
 OF RESONANCE FORMALISM AND IS APPLIED AS A FINAL NORMALIZATION RECENT  
 AFTER THE CALCULATION, ONLY ONE PLACE IN THIS PROGRAM. RECENT

FOR SIMPLICITY THIS TERM IS NOT INCLUDED IN THE FOLLOWING RECENT  
 DERIVATIONS - IN ALL CASES THE ACTUAL CROSS SECTION IS A PRODUCT RECENT  
 OF THE ABOVE FACTOR TIMES THE RESULTS PRESENTED BELOW. RECENT

#### SIMILARITIES

```

=====
FOR THE RESOLVED RESONANCE REGION, EXCEPT FOR SINGLE LEVEL BREIT
WIGNER, PARAMETERS ALL OF THE FORMALISMS DEFINE THE CROSS SECTIONS
IN AN EQUIVALENT FORM,

TOTAL      = 2*GJ*REAL(1 - U)
            = 2*GJ*(1 - REAL(U))
ELASTIC    = GJ*(1 - U)**2
            = GJ*((1 - 2*REAL(U)) + (REAL(U)**2 + IM(U)**2))
            = 2*GJ*(1 - REAL(U)) - GJ*(1 - (REAL(U)**2 + IM(U)**2))

SINCE THE FIRST TERM IS THE TOTAL, THE SECOND TERM MUST BE
ABSORPTION. SO WE FIND,

ABSORPTION = GJ*(1 - (REAL(U)**2 + IM(U)**2))

IN ALL CASES U IS DEFINED IN THE FORM,

U          = EXP(-I*2*PS)*((1-X) - I*Y)

WHERE (X) AND (Y) ARE RELATED TO THE SYMMETRIC AND ANTI-SYMMETRIC
CONTRIBUTIONS OF THE RESONANCES, RESPECTIVELY. ONLY THE DEFINITION
OF (X) AND (Y) WILL BE DIFFERENT FOR EACH RESONANCE FORMALISM.
BELOW WE WILL SHOW THAT WHAT MIGHT APPEAR TO BE A STRANGE CHOICE
OF DEFINITION OF THE SIGN OF (X) AND(Y) HAS BEEN SELECTED SO THAT
FOR BREIT-WIGNER PARAMETERS (X) AND (Y) CORRESPOND EXACTLY TO THE
SYMMETRIC AND ANTI-SYMMETRIC CONTRIBUTION OF THE RESONANCES.

U          = (COS(2*PS) - I*SIN(2*PS))*((1-X) - I*Y)
            = ((1-X)*COS(2*PS) - Y*SIN(2*PS))
            = -I*((1-X)*SIN(2*PS) + Y*COS(2*PS))

REAL(U)    = ((1-X)*COS(2*PS) - Y*SIN(2*PS))
IM(U)      = -((1-X)*SIN(2*PS) + Y*COS(2*PS))

R(U)**2    = ((1-X)*COS(2*PS))**2 + (Y*SIN(2*PS))**2
            - 2*(1-X)*Y*COS(2*PS)*SIN(2*PS)
I(U)**2    = ((1-X)*SIN(2*PS))**2 + (Y*COS(2*PS))**2
            + 2*(1-X)*Y*COS(2*PS)*SIN(2*PS)

THE TERMS 2*(1-X)*Y*COS(2*PS)*SIN(2*PS) CANCEL AND UPON USING
THE IDENTITY COS(2*PS)**2 + SIN(2*PS)**2 = 1,

SUM        = (1-X)**2 + (Y)**2

WE NOW HAVE ALL THE QUANTITIES THAT WE NEED TO DEFINE THE CROSS
SECTIONS,

ELASTIC
=====
ELASTIC    =GJ*(1 - 2*REAL(U) + (REAL(U)**2 + IM(U)**2))
            =GJ*(1 - 2*((1-X)*COS(2*PS)-Y*SIN(2*PS))+(1-X)**2+(Y)**2)

THIS CAN BE WRITTEN AS A SUM OF 2 SQUARES,

ELASTIC    =GJ*(COS(2*PS) - (1-X))**2 + (SIN(2*PS) + Y)**2
            =GJ*((COS(2*PS))**2 - 2*(1-X)*COS(2*PS) + (1-X)**2) +
              (SIN(2*PS))**2 + 2*Y*SIN(2*PS) + (Y)**2)

AGAIN USING THE IDENTITY COS(2*PS)**2 + SIN(2*PS)**2 = 1, WE CAN
SEE THAT THE DEFINITION AS THE SUM OF 2 SQUARES IS IDENTICAL TO
THE PRECEDING DEFINITION OF THE ELASTIC.

ELASTIC    =GJ*(COS(2*PS) - (1-X))**2 + (SIN(2*PS) + Y)**2
            =GJ*((COS(2*PS)-1) + X)**2 + (SIN(2*PS) + Y)**2)

USING THE IDENTITY (1 - COS(2*PS))) = 2*SIN(PS)**2, WE OBTAIN
THE FINAL FORM FOR THE ELASTIC,

ELASTIC    =GJ*(2*SIN(PS)**2 - X)**2 + (SIN(2*PS) + Y)**2)

```

=====

SINCE PHYSICALLY THE ABSORPTION CANNOT BE NEGATIVE WE CAN SEE THAT (X) MUST BE POSITIVE AND  $2 * X$  MUST BE GREATER THAN  $(X)**2 + (Y)**2$ , FOR ALL OF THE FORMALISMS.

\_\_\_\_\_

```

TOTAL      = 2*GJ*REAL(1 - U)
            = 2*GJ*(1 - (((1-X)*COS(2*PS) - Y*SIN(2*PS)))
            = 2*GJ*((1 - COS(2*PS))*(1-X) - (1-X) + Y*SIN(2*PS))
            = 2*GJ*(2*SIN(PS)**2*(1-X)      - (1-X) + Y*SIN(2*PS))

            = 4*GJ*SIN(PS)**2 +
              2*GJ*((X-1) - 2*X*SIN(PS)**2 + Y*SIN(2*PS))

```

THIS IMPLIES THAT IF A GIVEN SET OF RESONANCE PARAMETERS ARE USED WITH THIS DEFINITION THEY WILL PRODUCE EXACTLY THE SAME TOTAL CROSS SECTION - WHETHER WE CLAIM THE PARAMETERS HAVE BEEN PRODUCED USING A SINGLE OR MULTI-LEVEL FIT. THIS RESULT COULD BE VERY MISLEADING, IF THIS RESULT FOR THE TOTAL IS IMPLIED TO MEAN THAT ONE INTERPRETATION OR THE OTHER WILL NOT HAVE ANY EFFECT ON THE INDIVIDUAL CROSS SECTIONS.

```
ABSORPTION =GJ*(2*X - ((X)**2 + (Y)**2))
```

THE SINGLE LEVEL ABSORPTION IS,

ABSORPTION =GJ\*( 2\*X)

THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE TWO IS  $-2GJ^*(X^{**2} + Y^{**2})$ , SO THAT REGARDLESS OF HOW WE DEFINE (X) AND (Y) THE INCLUSION OF THIS TERM WILL ALWAYS DECREASE ABSORPTION. SINCE THE TOTAL CROSS SECTION IS THE SAME IN BOTH CASE, THIS MEANS THAT THE ELASTIC HAS BEEN INCREASED BY THIS AMOUNT.

AGAIN, THESE RESULTS ARE BASED ON STARTING FROM EXACTLY THE SAME PARAMETERS - IN ANY ACTUAL CASE THE PARAMETERS BASED ON A SINGLE OR MULTI-LEVEL FIT WILL BE QUITE DIFFERENT - THE POINT THAT WE WANT TO STRESS HERE IS THAT YOU SHOULD NEVER USE PARAMETERS WHICH HAVE BEEN DEFINED BY A FIT USING ONE FORMALISM - IN THE EQUATIONS FOR A DIFFERENT FORMALISM - AND ASSUME THAT THE RESULTS WILL BE CONSISTENT - AND NEVER USE THE TOTAL CROSS SECTION TO SEE WHETHER OR NOT A SET OF SINGLE LEVEL PARAMETERS CAN BE USED WITH A MULTI-LEVEL FORMALISM.

[illegible]

FAR FROM RESONANCES (X) AND (Y) WILL BE SMALL AND THE ELASTIC CROSS SECTION REDUCES TO,



$$\begin{aligned} \text{ELASTIC} &= \text{GJ} * (2 * \sin(\text{PS}) ** 2) ** 2 &+ (\sin(2 * \text{PS})) ** 2 \\ &= \text{GJ} * 4 * (\sin(\text{PS}) ** 4 &+ \sin(2 * \text{PS}) ** 2 \end{aligned}$$

USING THE IDENTITY  $\sin(2 * \text{PS}) = 2 * \sin(\text{PS}) * \cos(\text{PS})$

$$\begin{aligned} &= 4 * \text{GJ} * (\sin(\text{PS}) ** 4 &+ (\sin(\text{PS}) * \cos(\text{PS})) ** 2) \\ &= 4 * \text{GJ} * \sin(\text{PS}) ** 2 * (\sin(\text{PS}) ** 2 + \cos(\text{PS}) ** 2) \\ &= 4 * \text{GJ} * \sin(\text{PS}) ** 2 \end{aligned}$$

WHICH IS THE POTENTIAL CROSS SECTION. NOTE THAT THIS RESULT IS INDEPENDENT OF THE FORMALISM USED, AS IT MUST PHYSICALLY BE, AND AS SUCH ALTHOUGH AS YET WE HAVE NOT DEFINED IT, WE CAN NOW SEE THAT IN ALL CASES (PS) MUST BE THE PHASE SHIFT AND FOR CONSISTENCY IT MUST BE DEFINED USING EXACTLY THE SAME DEFINITION IN ALL CASES.

IN ADDITION SINCE PHYSICALLY FOR EACH L VALUE WE EXPECT TO OBTAIN A POTENTIAL CROSS SECTION,

$$4 * (2 * L + 1) * \sin(\text{PS}) ** 2$$

OBVIOUSLY FOR CONSISTENCY WE MUST HAVE,

$$(2 * L + 1) = (\text{SUM OVER J}) \text{ GJ}$$

ONLY IN THIS CASE WILL THE RESULTS BE CONSISTENT - THIS POINT WILL BE DISCUSSED IN DETAIL BELOW.

WHAT ARE THIS TERMS (X) AND (Y)
   
 =====
   
 (X) AND (Y) CAN BE EASILY IDENTIFIED BY CONSIDERING THE SINGLE AND MULTI-LEVEL BREIT WIGNER FORMALISMS. IN THESE CASES WE WILL FIND THAT,

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \text{GAM}(N) * \text{GAM}(T) / 2 / \text{DEN} \\ Y &= \text{GAM}(N) * (E - E_R) / \text{DEN} \\ \text{DEN} &= ((E - E_R) ** 2 + (\text{GAM}(T) / 2) ** 2) \end{aligned}$$

EXTREME CARE HAS TO BE USED TO PROPERLY DEFINE (Y) SUCH THAT IT IS NEGATIVE FOR E LESS THAN ER AND POSITIVE FOR E GREATER THAN ER. I WILL MERELY MENTION THAT THE EQUATIONS FOR ALL FORMALISMS IN ENDF-102 DO NOT CONSISTENTLY USE (E - ER) - IN SOME CASES THIS IS WRITTEN AS (ER - E), WHICH CAN LEAD TO AN INCORRECT SIGN IN THE DEFINITION OF THE (Y) THAT WE REQUIRE.

THE INTERFERENCE TERMS CAN BE WRITTEN IN TERMS OF,

1) LEVEL-SELF INTERFERENCE = THE CONTRIBUTION OF EACH LEVEL INTERFERRING WITH ITSELF

2) LEVEL-LEVEL INTERFERENCE = THE CONTRIBUTION OF EACH LEVEL INTERFERRING WITH ALL OTHER LEVELS

WE WILL REFER TO THESE TWO AS (L-S) AND (L-L),

$$\begin{aligned} X ** 2 &= (\text{GAM}(N) * (\text{GAM}(T) / 2) ** 2 / (\text{DEN}) ** 2 &+ (L-L) \\ &= (\text{GAM}(N) ** 2 * ((\text{GAM}(T) / 2) ** 2) / (\text{DEN}) ** 2 &+ (L-L) \\ Y ** 2 &= (\text{GAM}(N)) ** 2 * ((E - E_R) ** 2 / (\text{DEN}) ** 2 &+ (L-L) \end{aligned}$$

$$X ** 2 + Y ** 2 = \text{GAM}(N) ** 2 * \text{DEN} / (\text{DEN}) ** 2 = \text{GAM}(N) ** 2 / \text{DEN} + (L-L)$$

TO SEE THE EFFECT OF INCLUDING MULTI-LEVEL INTERFERENCE WE CAN CONSIDER OUR GENERAL EXPRESSION FOR ABSORPTION,

$$\text{ABSORPTION} = \text{GJ} * (2 * X - ((X) ** 2 + (Y) ** 2))$$

AND NOTE THAT FOR BOTH SINGLE AND MULTI-LEVEL BREIT WIGNER THE ENDF-102 SAYS TO TREAT ABSORPTION IN A SINGLE LEVEL APPROXIMATION I.E., IGNORE LEVEL-LEVEL INTERFERENCE. IF ALL INTERFERENCE IS IGNORED THIS IS EQUIVALENT TO COMPLETELY IGNORING  $X ** 2 + Y ** 2$  AND DEFINING,

$$\text{ABSORPTION} = \text{GJ} * 2 * X$$

```

=2*GJ*GAM(N)*GAM(T)/DEN
Recent
Recent
WHICH IS INCORRECT - SINCE THIS SEEMS TO INDICATE EVERYTHING IS
Recent
ABSORBED. IN ORDER TO OBTAIN THE CORRECT EXPRESSION WE CANNOT
Recent
COMPLETELY IGNORE INTERFERENCE - WE CAN IGNORE LEVEL-LEVEL
Recent
INTERFERENCE, BUT WE MUST INCLUDE LEVEL-SELF INTERFERENCE,
Recent
Recent
X**2+Y**2= GAM(N)**2/DEN
Recent
Recent
ABSORPTION =GJ*(2*X - ((X)**2 + (Y)**2))
Recent
=GJ*GAM(N)*(GAM(T)-GAM(N))/DEN
Recent
=GJ*GAM(N)*GAM(A)/DEN
Recent
Recent
SUMMARY
Recent
=====
Recent
AN IMPORTANT POINT TO NOTE IS THE DEFINITION OF (X) AND (Y)
Recent
WHICH IN ALL CASES WILL CORRESPOND TO THE SYMMETRIC AND
Recent
ANTI-SYMMETRIC CONTRIBUTION OF THE RESONANCES. IN PARTICULAR
Recent
DEFINING (U) IN TERMS OF (1-X) INSTEAD OF (X) IS EXTREMELY
Recent
IMPORTANT. NOTE, THAT THE DEFINITION OF THE ELASTIC AND
Recent
ABSORPTION ONLY INVOLVE (X), NOT (1-X). FAR FROM RESONANCES
Recent
(X) CAN BE EXTREMELY SMALL, THEREFORE (1-X) WILL BE VERY CLOSE
Recent
TO (1). IF THE CALCULATION PROCEEDS BY FIRST CALCULATING (1-X)
Recent
AND THEN DEFINING (X) BY SUBTRACTING (1), EXTREME ROUND-OFF
Recent
PROBLEMS CAN RESULT. THESE PROBLEMS CAN BE AVOIDED BY IN ALL
Recent
CASES DEFINING (X) DIRECTLY, WITHOUT ANY DIFFERENCES.
Recent
Recent
IN EACH FORMALISM THE DEFINITION OF (X) AND (Y) MAY BE DIFFERENT
Recent
BUT ONCE WE HAVE DEFINED (X) AND (Y) WE CAN IMMEDIATELY WRITE
Recent
THE CROSS SECTIONS USING A UNIFORM DEFINITION,
Recent
Recent
ELASTIC =GJ*(2*SIN(PS)**2 - X)**2 + (SIN(2*PS) + Y)**2)
Recent
Recent
ABSORPTION =-GJ*(2*X + (X)**2 + (Y)**2)
Recent
Recent
AND DEFINE THE TOTAL AS THE SUM OF THESE 2 PARTS.
Recent
Recent
RELATIONSHIP TO SINGLE LEVEL
Recent
=====
Recent
HOW DO THE SINGLE AND MULTI-LEVEL FORMALISMS COMPARE. TO SEE,
Recent
STARTING FROM OUR GENERAL DEFINITION OF THE ELASTIC IN THE FORM,
Recent
Recent
ELASTIC =GJ*(2*SIN(PS)**2 + X)**2 + (SIN(2*PS) + Y)**2)
Recent
=GJ*(4*SIN(PS)**4 - 4*X*SIN(PS)**2 + X**2
Recent
+ SIN(2*PS)**2 + 2*Y*SIN(2*PS) + Y**2)
Recent
Recent
=4*GJ*SIN(PS)**2 +
Recent
GJ*(X**2 + Y**2
Recent
-4*X*SIN(PS)**2
Recent
+2*Y*SIN(2*PS))
Recent
Recent
AND OUR SPECIFIC DEFINITIONS OF (X) AND (Y) FOR MULTI-LEVEL BREIT-
Recent
WIGNER PARAMETERS,
Recent
Recent
X = GAM(N)*GAM(T)/2/DEN
Recent
Y = GAM(N)*(E-ER)/DEN
Recent
DEN = ((E-ER)**2 + (GAM(T)/2)**2)
Recent
Recent
X**2+Y**2= GAM(N)**2/DEN + (L-L)
Recent
Recent
WE CAN RECOGNIZE X**2 AND Y**2 AS THE INTERFERENCE - (L-S) + (L-L)
Recent
TERMS IN THE MULTI-LEVEL FORMALISM. IN ORDER TO OBTAIN THE SINGLE
Recent
LEVEL EQUATION WE CAN ASSUME THAT EACH LEVEL DOES NOT INTERFERE
Recent
WITH ANY OTHER LEVEL - THEREFORE THE (L-L) CONTRIBUTION IS ZERO.
Recent
Recent
ELASTIC =4*GJ*SIN(PS)**2 +
Recent
GJ*GAM(N)*(GAM(N)
Recent
-2*GAM(T)*SIN(PS)**2
Recent
+2*(E-ER)*SIN(2*PS))/DEN
Recent
Recent
WHICH IS THE FORM THAT IT APPEARS IN ENDF-102, EXCEPT FOR TWO
Recent

```

$$-2 * \text{GAM}(T) * \text{SIN}(PS) ** 2$$

WHICH IN ENDF-102 IS WRITTEN.

$$-2*(\text{GAM}(\text{T})-\text{GAM}(\text{N}))*\text{SIN}(2*\text{PS})**2$$

## PROGRAM CONVENTIONS

=====

### MINIMUM INPUT DATA

-----

FOR EACH MATERIAL TO BE PROCESSED THE MINIMUM INPUT DATA ARE THE  
RESONANCE PARAMETERS IN FILE 2. IF THERE ARE NO FILE 2 PARAMETERS  
IN A GIVEN MATERIAL THE ENTIRE MATERIAL WILL SIMPLY BE COPIED.  
NEITHER THE HOLLERITH SECTION (MF=1, MT=451) NOR THE BACKGROUND  
CROSS SECTION (SECTIONS OF MF=3) NEED BE PRESENT FOR THIS PROGRAM  
TO EXECUTE PROPERLY. HOWEVER, SINCE THE CONVENTIONS USED IN  
INTERPRETING THE RESONANCE PARAMETERS DEPENDS ON ENDF/B VERSION  
USERS ARE STRONGLY RECOMMENDED TO INSURE THAT MF=1, MT=451 IS  
PRESENT IN EACH MATERIAL TO ALLOW THE PROGRAM TO DETERMINE THE  
ENDF/B FORMAT VERSION.

### RESONANCE PARAMETERS

-----

RESONANCE PARAMETERS MAY BE REPRESENTED USING ANY COMBINATION  
OF THE REPRESENTATIONS ALLOWED IN ENDF/B.

### (1) RESOLVED DATA

- (A) SINGLE LEVEL BREIT-WIGNER  
(B) MULTI-LEVEL BREIT-WIGNER  
(C) ADLER-ADLER  
(D) REICH-MOORE  
(E) HYBRID R-FUNCTION

## (2) UNRESOLVED DATA

- (A) ALL PARAMETERS ENERGY INDEPENDENT  
(B) FISSION PARAMETERS ENERGY DEPENDENT  
(C) ALL PARAMETERS ENERGY DEPENDENT

THE FOLLOWING RESOLVED DATA FORMALISMS ARE NOT TREATED BY THIS  
VERSION OF THE CODE AND WILL ONLY BE IMPLEMENTED AFTER EVALUATIONS  
USING THESE FORMALISMS ARE AVAILABLE TO THE AUTHOR OF THIS CODE  
FOR TESTING IN ORDER TO INSURE THAT THEY CAN BE HANDLED PROPERLY

- ### (A) GENERAL R-MATRIX

### CALCULATED CROSS SECTIONS

-----

THIS PROGRAM WILL USE THE RESONANCE PARAMETERS TO CALCULATE THE TOTAL, ELASTIC, CAPTURE AND POSSIBLY FISSION CROSS SECTIONS. THE COMPETITIVE WIDTH WILL BE USED IN THESE CALCULATIONS, BUT THE COMPETITIVE CROSS SECTION ITSELF WILL NOT BE CALCULATED. THE ENDF/B CONVENTION IS THAT ALTHOUGH A COMPETITIVE WIDTH MAY BE GIVEN, THE COMPETITIVE CROSS SECTION MUST BE SEPARATELY TABULATED AS A SECTION OF FILE 3 DATA.

## RESOLVED REGION

-----

IN THE RESOLVED REGION THE RESOLVED PARAMETERS ARE USED TO  
CALCULATE COLD (0 KELVIN), LINEARLY INTERPOLABLE, ENERGY DEPENDENT  
CROSS SECTIONS.

## SCATTERING RADIUS

-----

FOR SINGLE OR MULTI LEVEL BREIT-WIGNER PARAMETERS THE SCATTERING RADIUS MAY BE SPECIFIED IN EITHER ENERGY INDEPENDENT (CONSTANT) OR ENERGY DEPENDENT FORM (A TABLE OF ENERGY VS. RADIUS AND AN ASSOCIATED INTERPOLATION LAW). IN ALL OTHER CASE ONLY AN ENERGY INDEPENDENT SCATTERING RADIUS IS ALLOWED.

FOR ANY ONE MATERIAL (I.E. MAT) IF ENERGY DEPENDENT SCATTERING RADII ARE GIVEN THE TOTAL NUMBER OF INTERPOLATION REGIONS AND TABULATED VALUES FOR THE ENTIRE MATERIAL CANNOT EXCEED.

[illegible]

200 - INTERPOLATION REGIONS Recent  
500 - TABULATED VALUES Recent  
IF THESE LIMITS ARE EXCEEDED THE PROGRAM WILL PRINT AN ERROR Recent  
MESSAGE AND TERMINATE. Recent  
Recent  
IF YOU REQUIRE A LARGER NUMBER OF INTERPOLATION REGION AND/OR Recent  
TABULATED VALUES, Recent  
(1) INTERPOLATION REGIONS - INCREASE THE DIMENSION OF NBRHO AND Recent  
INTRHO IN COMMON/TABRHO/ THROUGHOUT THE PROGRAM AND CHANGE MAXSEC Recent  
IN SUBROUTINE RDAP (MAXSEC = MAXIMUM NUMBER OF INTERPOLATION Recent  
REGIONS). Recent  
(2) TABULATED VALUES - INCREASE THE DIMENSION OF ERHOTB, RHTAB Recent  
AND APTAB IN COMMON/TABRHO/ THROUGHOUT THE PROGRAM AND CHANGE Recent  
MAXRHO IN SUBROUTINE RDAP (MAXRHO = MAXIMUM NUMBER OF TABULATED Recent  
VALUES). Recent  
Recent  
RESOLVED REICH-MOORE AND MULTI-LEVEL BREIT-WIGNER PARAMETERS Recent  
----- Recent  
CROSS SECTIONS FOR REICH-MOORE PARAMETERS ARE CALCULATED ACCORDING Recent  
TO THE EQUATION (1) - (8) OF SECTION D.1.3 OF ENDF-102. IN ORDER Recent  
TO CALCULATE CROSS SECTIONS FROM MULTI-LEVEL PARAMETERS IN A Recent  
REASONABLE AMOUNT OF TIME THIS PROGRAM EXPRESSES THE CROSS SECTION Recent  
IN TERMS OF A SINGLE SUM OVER RESONANCES (SEE, ENDF-102, SECTION Recent  
D.1.2, EQUATIONS 6-7), RATHER THAN AS A DOUBLE SUM (SEE, ENDF-102 Recent  
SECTION D.1.2, EQUATION 1-2). IN ORDER FOR THE ENDF-102 EQUATIONS Recent  
TO BE CORRECT THE PARAMETERS MUST MEET THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS, Recent  
Recent  
(1) FOR EACH L STATE ALL PHYSICALLY POSSIBLE J SEQUENCES MUST BE Recent  
PRESENT. ONLY IN THIS CASE WILL THE CONTRIBUTIONS OF THE Recent  
INDIVIDUAL J SEQUENCES ADD UP TO PRODUCE THE CORRECT POTENTIAL Recent  
SCATTERING CONTRIBUTION FOR THE L STATE (SEE, ENDF-102, Recent  
SECTION D.1.2, EQUATIONS 6-7). IF ANY J SEQUENCE IS MISSING Recent  
THE PROGRAM WILL PRINT A WARNING AND ADD THE J SEQUENCE WITH Recent  
NO RESONANCE PARAMETERS IN ORDER TO ALLOW THE POTENTIAL Recent  
SCATTERING TO BE CALCULATED CORRECTLY (THIS IS EQUIVALENT TO Recent  
ASSUMING THAT THE EVALUATOR REALIZES THAT ALL J SEQUENCES MUST Recent  
BE AND ARE PRESENT AND THAT THE EVALUATION STATES THAT THERE Recent  
ARE NO RESONANCES WITH CERTAIN PHYSICALLY POSSIBLE J VALUES... Recent  
IN THIS CASE POTENTIAL CONTRIBUTION MUST STILL BE CONSIDERED). Recent  
Recent  
EXAMPLE Recent  
===== Recent  
AN EXAMPLE OF WHERE THIS OCCURS AND IS IMPORTANT TO CONSIDER Recent  
IS U-238 IN ENDF/B-IV AND V LIBRARIES WHERE FOR L=1 THERE IS Recent  
ONLY A J=1/2 SEQUENCE. NOT INCLUDING THE J=3/2 SEQUENCE LEADS Recent  
TO UNDERESTIMATING THE POTENTIAL SCATTERING AND PRODUCES Recent  
MINIMA IN THE ELASTIC CROSS SECTION WHICH ARE AN ORDER OF Recent  
MAGNITUDE LOWER THAN THE CROSS SECTIONS OBTAINED BE INCLUDING Recent  
THE J=3/2 SEQUENCE. Recent  
Recent  
(2) FOR A GIVEN TARGET SPIN AND L VALUE THERE MAY BE 2 POSSIBLE Recent  
MEANS OF OBTAINING THE SAME J VALUE. WHEN THIS OCCURS IN Recent  
ORDER TO CALCULATE THE CORRECT POTENTIAL SCATTERING CROSS Recent  
SECTION IT IS IMPORTANT TO INCLUDE THE EFFECT OF BOTH Recent  
POSSIBLE J SEQUENCES, EVEN THOUGH FROM THE ENDF/B DATA IT IS Recent  
NOT POSSIBLE TO DETERMINE WHICH OF THE 2 POSSIBLE SEQUENCES Recent  
ANY GIVEN RESONANCE BELONGS TO. IN THIS CASE THIS PROGRAM Recent  
TREAT ALL RESONANCES WITH THE SAME J VALUE AS BELONGING TO Recent  
THE SAME J SEQUENCE (TO ALLOW INTERFERENCE) AND WILL ADD AN Recent  
ADDITIONAL J SEQUENCE WITH NO RESONANCES IN ORDER TO ALLOW Recent  
THE POTENTIAL CROSS SECTION TO BE CALCULATED CORRECTLY. WHEN Recent  
THIS OCCURS A WARNING MESSAGE IS PRINTED, BUT BASED ON THE Recent  
ENDF/B DATA THERE IS NOTHING WRONG WITH THE DATA AND THERE IS Recent  
NOTHING THAT THE USER CAN DO TO CORRECT OR IN ANY WAY MODIFY Recent  
THE DATA TO ELIMINATE THE PROBLEM. Recent  
Recent  
EXAMPLE Recent  
===== Recent  
FOR A TARGET SPIN =1 AND L=1 THE 2 RANGES OF PHYSICALLY Recent  
POSSIBLE J ARE 1/2, 3/2, 5/2 AND 1/2, 3/2. BY CHECKING THE Recent  
ENDF/B DATA IT IS POSSIBLE TO INSURE THAT THE 3 POSSIBLE Recent

(3) EACH RESONANCE MUST HAVE AN ASSIGNED, PHYSICALLY POSSIBLE J VALUE. PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE OR AVERAGE J VALUES CANNOT BE UNIQUELY INTERPRETED USING THE EQUATIONS IN ENDF-102 AND THEIR USE WILL USUALLY RESULT IN PHYSICALLY UNRELIABLE CROSS SECTIONS. THIS PROGRAM WILL CHECK ALL J VALUES AND IF ANY ARE FOUND TO BE PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE (BASED ON TARGET SPIN AND L VALUE) AN ERROR MESSAGE WILL BE PRINTED TO INDICATE THAT THE RECONSTRUCTED CROSS SECTIONS WILL BE UNRELIABLE AND THE PROGRAM WILL CONTINUE. IN AN ATTEMPT TO CALCULATE THE CORRECT POTENTIAL SCATTERING CROSS SECTION THIS PROGRAM WILL SUBTRACT THE POTENTIAL SCATTERING CONTRIBUTION DUE TO ALL FICTICIOUS J SEQUENCES AND ADD THE CONTRIBUTION OF ALL PHYSICALLY POSSIBLE J SEQUENCES (AS DESCRIBED ABOVE).

=====

- UNRESOLVED RESONANCE REGION

ARE USED TO CALCULATE INFINITELY DILUTE AVERAGE CROSS SECTIONS. NOTE, IT IS IMPORTANT TO UNDERSTAND THAT FROM THE DEFINITION OF THE UNRESOLVED PARAMETERS IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO UNIQUELY CALCULATE ENERGY DEPENDENT CROSS SECTIONS. ONLY AVERAGES OR DISTRIBUTIONS MAY BE CALCULATED.

-----

13

ENDF/B-IV AND EARLIER CONVENTION OF INTERPOLATING PARAMETERS LEADS TO COMPLETELY CONSISTENT RESULTS.

#### INTERNAL REPRESENTATION OF UNRESOLVED PARAMETERS

ANY OF THE THREE POSSIBLE REPRESENTATIONS OF UNRESOLVED PARAMETERS CAN BE UNIQUELY REPRESENTED IN THE ALL PARAMETERS ENERGY DEPENDENT REPRESENTATIONS WITH THE APPROPRIATE (ENDF/B VERSION DEPENDENT) INTERPOLATION LAW. THIS IS DONE BY THE PROGRAM WHILE READING THE UNRESOLVED PARAMETERS AND ALL SUBSEQUENT CALCULATIONS NEED ONLY CONSIDER THE ALL PARAMETERS ENERGY DEPENDENT REPRESENTATION.

#### RESONANCE RECONSTRUCTION STARTING ENERGY GRID

AS IN ANY ITERATIVE METHOD THE WAY TO SPEED CONVERGENCE IS TO TRY TO START CLOSE TO THE ANSWER. THIS PROGRAM ATTEMPTS TO DO THIS BY STARTING FROM AN ENERGY GRID WHICH IS A GOOD APPROXIMATION TO A SIMPLE BREIT-WIGNER LINE SHAPE,

$$\text{SIGMA}(X)=1.0/(1.0+X*X)$$

WHERE X IS THE DISTANCE FROM THE PEAK IN HALF-WIDTHS

SUBROUTINE SUBINT HAS A BUILT-IN TABLE OF NODES WHICH ARE THE HALF-WIDTH MULTIPLES TO APPROXIMATE THE SIMPLE BREIT-LINE SHAPE TO WITHIN 1 PER-CENT OVER THE ENTIRE INTERVAL 0 TO 500 HALF-WIDTHS

BETWEEN ANY TWO RESOLVED RESONANCES THE STARTING GRID IS BASED ON THE HALF-WIDTHS OF THE TWO RESONANCES. FROM THE LOWER ENERGY RESONANCE UP TO THE MID-POINT BETWEEN THE RESONANCES (MID-POINT IS DEFINED HERE AS AN EQUAL NUMBER OF HALF-WIDTHS FROM EACH RESONANCE) THE HALF-WIDTH OF THE LOWER ENERGY RESONANCE IS USED. FROM THE MID-POINT UP TO THE HIGHER ENERGY RESONANCE THE HALF-WIDTH OF THE UPPER ENERGY RESONANCE IS USED.

WITH THIS ALOGORITHM CLOSELY SPACED RESONANCES WILL HAVE ONLY A FEW STARTING NODES PER RESONANCE (E.G. U-235). WIDELY SPACED RESONANCES WILL HAVE MORE NODES PER RESONANCE (E.G. U-238). FOR A MIX OF S, P, D ETC. RESONANCES THIS ALOGORITHM GUARANTEES AN ADEQUATE DESCRIPTION OF THE PROFILE OF EVEN EXTREMELY NARROW RESONANCES (WHICH MAY IMMEDIATELY CONVERGENCE TO THE ACCURACY REQUESTED, THUS MINIMIZING ITERATION).

#### BACKGROUND CROSS SECTIONS

THE PROGRAM WILL SEARCH FOR BACKGROUND CROSS SECTIONS FOR TOTAL (MT=1), ELASTIC (MT=2), FISSION (MT=18), FIRST CHANCE FISSION (MT=19) AND CAPTURE (MT=102).

- (1) THE BACKGROUND CROSS SECTIONS (FILE 3) CAN BE PRESENT OR NOT PRESENT FOR EACH REACTION.
- (2) IF FOR A GIVEN REACTION THE BACKGROUND CROSS SECTION IS PRESENT, IT WILL BE ADDED TO THE RESONANCE CONTRIBUTION AND THE RESULT WILL BE OUTPUT.
- (3) IF FOR A GIVEN REACTION THE BACKGROUND IS NOT PRESENT THE PROGRAM WILL,
  - (A) IF THE INPUT TO THE PROGRAM SPECIFIES NO OUTPUT FOR REACTIONS WITH NO BACKGROUND THERE WILL BE NO OUTPUT.
  - (B) IF THE INPUT TO THE PROGRAM SPECIFIES OUTPUT FOR REACTIONS WITH NO BACKGROUND,
    - (I) THE RESONANCE CONTRIBUTION TO TOTAL, ELASTIC OR CAPTURE WILL BE OUTPUT.
    - (II) IF ALL FISSION RESONANCE PARAMETERS ARE ZERO THE FISSION CROSS SECTION (MT=18) WILL NOT BE OUTPUT. OTHERWISE THE RESONANCE CONTRIBUTION OF THE FISSION (MT=18) WILL BE OUTPUT.
    - (III) THERE WILL BE NO OUTPUT FOR FIRST CHANCE FISSION (MT=19).

#### COMBINING RESONANCES AND BACKGROUND CROSS SECTIONS

- (1) IF THE BACKGROUND IS NOT LINEARLY INTERPOABLE, LINEARIZE THE BACKGROUND (E.G., USE PROGRAM LINEAR).
- (2) IF THE BACKGROUND IS NOT GIVEN AT 0 KELVIN, DOPPLER BROADEN THE RESONANCE (NOT BACKGROUND) CONTRIBUTION TO THE SAME TEMPERATURE AS THE BACKGROUND (E.G., USE PROGRAM SIGNAL).

THE RECONSTRUCTION OF THE RESONANCE CONTRIBUTION TO THE CROSS SECTION CAN BE QUITE EXPENSIVE (IN TERMS OF COMPUTER TIME). SINCE THE RECONSTRUCTION IS PERFORMED BEFORE THE BACKGROUND CROSS SECTIONS ARE READ, THE ABOVE CONVENTIONS HAVE BEEN ADOPTED IN ORDER TO AVOID LOSE OF COMPUTER TIME INVOLVED IN RECONSTRUCTING THE RESONANCE CONTRIBUTION.

THIS PROGRAM WILL RECONSTRUCT THE RESONANCE CONTRIBUTION TO THE TOTAL, ELASTIC, FISSION AND CAPTURE CROSS SECTIONS ALL ON THE SAME ENERGY GRID. EACH REACTION WILL THEN BE COMBINED WITH ITS BACKGROUND CROSS SECTION (IF ANY) AND OUTPUT WITHOUT ANY FURTHER THINNING. IF THERE ARE NO BACKGROUND CROSS SECTIONS, OR IF THE BACKGROUND CROSS SECTION FOR ALL FOUR REACTIONS ARE GIVEN ON A COMMON ENERGY GRID, THE OUTPUT FROM THIS PROGRAM WILL BE ON A COMMON ENERGY GRID FOR ALL FOUR REACTIONS.

IF THE RESONANCE REGION SPANS THERMAL ENERGY (0.0253 EV) THIS POINT IS ALWAYS INCLUDED IN THE COMMON ENERGY GRID USED FOR ALL REACTIONS AND WILL ALWAYS APPEAR IN THE OUTPUT DATA.

SINCE THIS PROGRAM USES A LOGICAL PAGING SYSTEM THERE IS NO LIMIT TO THE NUMBER OF POINTS IN ANY SECTION, E.G., THE TOTAL CROSS SECTION MAY BE REPRESENTED BY 200.000 DATA POINTS.

THE PROGRAM SELECTS MATERIALS TO BE PROCESSED BASED EITHER ON MAT (ENDF/B MAT NO.) OR ZA. THE PROGRAM ALLOWS UP TO 100 MAT OR ZA RANGES TO BE SPECIFIED. THE PROGRAM WILL ASSUME THAT THE ENDF/B TAPE IS IN EITHER MAT OR ZA ORDER, WHICHEVER CRITERIA IS USED TO SELECT MATERIALS, AND WILL TERMINATE WHEN A MAT OR ZA IS FOUND THAT IS ABOVE THE RANGE OF ALL REQUESTS.

THE RECONSTRUCTION OF LINEARLY INTERPOLABLE CROSS SECTIONS FROM RESONANCE PARAMETERS CANNOT BE PERFORMED EXACTLY. HOWEVER IT CAN BE PERFORMED TO VIRTUALLY ANY REQUIRED ACCURACY AND MOST IMPORTANTLY CAN BE PERFORMED TO A TOLERANCE THAT IS SMALL COMPARED TO THE UNCERTAINTY IN THE CROSS SECTIONS THEMSELVES. AS SUCH THE CONVERSION OF CROSS SECTIONS TO LINEARLY INTERPOLABLE FORM CAN BE PERFORMED WITH ESSENTIALLY NO LOSS OF INFORMATION.

THE ALLOWABLE ERROR MAY BE ENERGY INDEPENDENT (CONSTANT) OR ENERGY DEPENDENT. THE ALLOWABLE ERROR IS DESCRIBED BY A TABULATED FUNCTION OF UP TO 20 (ENERGY,ERROR) PAIRS AND LINEAR INTERPOLATION

DEFAULT ALLOWABLE ERROR

### INTERVAL HALVING ALGORITHM

## DISTANT RESONANCE TREATMENT

## PROGRAM OPERATION

RECONSTRUCTION MODE

FOR EACH REQUESTED MATERIAL

AFTER THE RESONANCE CONTRIBUTION HAS BEEN RECONSTRUCTED EACH OF	Recent
THE FIVE REACTIONS (MT=1, 2, 18, 19, 102) IS CONSIDERED SEPARATELY	Recent
FOR COMBINATION WILL THE BACKGROUND CROSS SECTION, IF ANY, AS	Recent





[illegible]

			= 1 - OUTPUT RESONANCE CONTRIBUTION.	Recent
			THIS OPTION IS USEFUL WITH PARTIAL EVALUATION	Recent
			(E.G. ENDF/B-V DOSIMETRY LIBRARY) WHERE ONLY	Recent
			ONE OR MORE OF THE REACTIONS ARE OF ACTUAL	Recent
			INTEREST.	Recent
			WARNING...THE USE OF THIS FIELD HAS BEEN	Recent
			CHANGED. THIS FIELD WAS PREVIOUSLY USED TO	Recent
			DEFINE THE PRECISION OF THE CALCULATION AND	Recent
			OUTPUT. THE FORMER DEFINITION OF THIS FIELD	Recent
			WAS...	Recent
			MINIMUM ENERGY SPACING FLAG	Recent
			= 0 - 6 DIGIT MINIMUM ENERGY SPACING.	Recent
			STANDARD 6 DIGIT E11.4 OUTPUT.	Recent
			= 1 - 9 DIGIT MINIMUM ENERGY SPACING.	Recent
			STANDARD 6 DIGIT E11.4 OUTPUT.	Recent
			= 2 - 9 DIGIT MINIMUM ENERGY SPACING.	Recent
			VARIABLE 9 DIGIT F FORMAT OUTPUT.	Recent
			FROM EXPERIENCE IT HAS BEEN FOUND THAT	Recent
			FAILURE TO SET THIS OPTION TO 2 CAN RESULT	Recent
			IN LARGE ERRORS IN THE FINAL DATA. THEREFORE	Recent
			INTERNALLY THIS OPTION IS SET TO 2.	Recent
34-44	I11		OPERATING MODE	Recent
			= 0 - CALCULATE. MINIMUM OUTPUT LISTING	Recent
			= 1 - CALCULATE. LIST ALL RESONANCE PARAMETERS	Recent
			= 2 - EDIT MODE. NO CALCULATION. LIST ALL	Recent
			RESONANCE PARAMETERS.	Recent
			NOTE, THE EDIT MODE (=2) IS THE SUGGESTED	Recent
			MODE TO FIRST TEST THE CONSISTENCY OF THE	Recent
			EVALUATED DATA, BEFORE RECONSTRUCTING CROSS	Recent
			SECTIONS (SEE, COMMENTS ABOVE).	Recent
45-55	I11		THIS OPTION IS NO LONGER USED. THE PREVIOUS	Recent
			DEFINITION OF THIS OPTION WAS---DISTANT	Recent
			RESONANCE TREATMENT.	Recent
			= 0 - EXACT	Recent
			= 1 - LINEAR RATIO OVER SUBINTERVAL	Recent
			= 2 - LINEAR RATIO OVER INTERVAL	Recent
			ALL RESONANCES ARE TREATED EXACTLY IN THIS	Recent
			VERSION OF THE CODE.	Recent
56-66	I11		MONITOR MODE SELECTOR	Recent
			= 0 - NORMAL OPERATION	Recent
			= 1 - MONITOR PROGRESS OF RECONSTRUCTION OF	Recent
			FILE 2 DATA AND COMBINING FILE 2 AND	Recent
			FILE 3 DATA. EACH TIME A PAGE OF DATA	Recent
			POINTS IS WRITTEN TO A SCRATCH FILE	Recent
			PRINT OUT THE TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS	Recent
			ON SCRATCH AND THE LOWER AND UPPER	Recent
			ENERGY LIMITS OF THE PAGE (THIS OPTION	Recent
			MAY BE USED IN ORDER TO MONITOR THE	Recent
			EXECUTION SPEED OF LONG RUNNING JOBS).	Recent
2	1-60	A60	ENDF/B INPUT DATA FILENAME	Recent
			(STANDARD OPTION = ENDFB.IN)	Recent
3	1-60	A60	ENDF/B OUTPUT DATA FILENAME	Recent
			(STANDARD OPTION = ENDFB.OUT)	Recent
4-N	1-11	I11	MINIMUM MAT OR ZA (SEE COLS. 1-11, LINE 1)	Recent
	12-22	I11	MAXIMUM MAT OR ZA (SEE COLS. 1-11, LINE 1)	Recent
			UP TO 100 MAT OR ZA RANGES MAY BE SPECIFIED,	Recent
			ONE RANGE PER LINE. THE LIST IS TERMINATED	Recent
			BY A BLANK LINE. IF THE THE UPPER LIMIT OF	Recent
			ANY REQUEST IS LESS THAN THE LOWER LIMIT THE	Recent
			UPPER LIMIT WILL BE SET EQUAL TO THE LOWER	Recent
			LIMIT. IF THE FIRST REQUEST LINE IS BLANK IT	Recent
			WILL TERMINATE THE REQUEST LIST AND CAUSE ALL	Recent
			DATA TO BE RETRIEVED (SEE EXAMPLE INPUT).	Recent
23-33	E11.4		LOWER ENERGY LIMIT FOR PROCESSING.	Recent
34-44	E11.4		UPPER ENERGY LIMIT FOR PROCESSING.	Recent
			*THE LOWER AND UPPER ENERGY LIMITS MUST BE	Recent
			ZERO, OR BLANK, UNLESS YOU WISH TO ONLY	Recent
			PROCESS A PORTION OF RESONANCE REGIONS.	Recent
			*THESE ENERGY LIMITS ARE ONLY READ FROM THE	Recent
			FIRST MAT/ZA REQUEST LINE	Recent
			*IF BOTH ARE ZERO (OR BLANK) THE ENTIRE	Recent

```

RESONANCE REGION FOR EACH MATERIAL WILL BE      Recent
PROCESSED                                         Recent
*IF LIMITS ARE INPUT ONLY THAT PORTION OF THE    Recent
RESONANCE REGION FOR EACH MATERIAL WHICH         Recent
LIES BETWEEN THESE LIMITS WILL BE PROCESSED      Recent
*SEE INSTRUCTIONS ABOVE BEFORE USING THIS        Recent
OPTION.                                           Recent
VARY  1-11  E11.4  ENERGY FOR FILE 2 ERROR LAW   ( SEE )   Recent
      12-22  E11.4  ERROR FOR FILE 2 ERROR LAW   (COMMENTS) Recent
                                           ( BELOW )   Recent
NOTE, THIS VERSION OF THE PROGRAM DOES NOT THIN THE COMBINED FILE 2 + 3 DATA. AS SUCH THE ERROR LAW FOR COMBINING FILE 2 + 3 WHICH WAS REQUIRED IN EARLIER VERSIONS OF THIS CODE ARE NO LONGER REQUIRED.
THE FILE 2 ERROR LAW MAY BE ENERGY INDEPENDENT (DEFINED BY A SINGLE ERROR) OR ENERGY DEPENDENT (DEFINED BY UP TO 20 ENERGY, ERROR PAIRS). FOR THE ENERGY DEPENDENT CASE LINEAR INTERPOLATION WILL BE USED TO DEFINE THE ERROR AT ENERGIES BETWEEN THOSE AT WHICH THE ERROR IS TABULATED. THE ERROR LAW IS TERMINATED BY A BLANK LINE. IF ONLY ONE ENERGY, ERROR PAIR IS GIVEN THE LAW WILL BE CONSIDERED TO BE ENERGY INDEPENDENT. IF MORE THAN ONE PAIR IS GIVEN IT BE CONSIDERED TO BE ENERGY DEPENDENT (NOTE, THAT FOR A CONSTANT ERROR THE ENERGY INDEPENDENT FORM WILL RUN FASTER. HOWEVER, FOR SPECIFIC APPLICATIONS AN ENERGY DEPENDENT ERROR MAY BY USED TO MAKE THE PROGRAM RUN CONSIDERABLE FASTER).
ALL ENERGIES MUST BE IN ASCENDING ENERGY ORDER. FOR CONVERGENCE OF THE FILE 2 RECONSTRUCTION ALGORITHM ALL THE ERRORS MUST BE POSITIVE. IF ERROR IS NOT POSITIVE IT WILL BE SET EQUAL TO THE STANDARD OPTION (CURRENTLY 0.001, CORRRESPONDING TO 0.1 PER-CENT). IF THE FIRST LINE OF THE ERROR LAW IS BLANK IT WILL TERMINATE THE ERROR LAW AND THE ERROR WILL BE TREATED AS ENERGY INDEPENDENT, EQUAL TO THE STANDARD OPTION (CURRENTLY, 0.1 PER-CENT). SEE, EXAMPLE INPUT 4.
EXAMPLE INPUT NO. 1
-----
CONSIDER ALL URANIUM ISOTOPES AND TH-232. CONSIDER CROSS SECTIONS WHICH ARE LARGER THAN 1.0E-8 BARNS IN ABSOLUTE VALUE. ONLY OUTPUT REACTIONS FOR WHICH A BACKGROUND IS GIVEN. LIST ALL PARAMETERS AND CALCULATE CROSS SECTIONS. MONITOR THE EXECUTION PROGRESS OF THE PROGRAM. BETWEEN 0 AND 100 EV USE 0.1 PER-CENT ACCURACY. BETWEEN 100 EV AND 1 KEV VARY THE ACCURACY FROM 0.1 TO 1 PER-CENT. ABOVE 1 KEV USE 1 PER-CENT ACCURACY.
EXPLICITLY SPECIFY THE STANDARD FILENAMES.
THE FOLLOWING 11 INPUT CARDS ARE REQUIRED.
      1 1.00000-08      0      1      0      1
ENDFB.IN
ENDFB.OUT
      92000      92999
      90232
(UPPER LIMIT AUTOMATICALLY SET TO 90232)
(END REQUEST LIST)
0.00000+ 0 1.00000-03
1.00000+02 1.00000-03
1.00000+03 1.00000-02
1.00000+09 1.00000-02
(END FILE 2 ERROR LAW)
EXAMPLE INPUT NO. 2
-----
CONSIDER ALL URANIUM ISOTOPES AND TH-232. CONSIDER CROSS SECTIONS WHICH ARE LARGER THAN 1.0E-8 BARNS IN ABSOLUTE VALUE. ONLY OUTPUT REACTIONS FOR WHICH A BACKGROUND IS GIVEN. CROSS SECTIONS WILL BE CALCULATED, BUT PARAMETERS WILL NOT BE LISTED. THE PROGRESS OF THE PROGRAM WILL NOT BE MONITORED. USE 0.1 PER-CENT ACCURACY FOR ALL ENERGIES. SINCE 0.1 PER-CENT IS THE STANDARD OPTION FOR THE ERROR

```



(0.1 ERROR, END FILE 2 ERROR LAW)

Recent  
Recent  
Recent

=====