

=====		Recent
PROGRAM RECENT		Recent
=====		Recent
VERSION 79-1 (OCTOBER 1979)	CDC-7600	Recent
VERSION 80-1 (MAY 1980)	IBM, CDC AND CRAY VERSION	Recent
VERSION 80-2 (DECEMBER 1980)	IMPROVED TREATMENT OF UNRESOLVED	Recent
	REGION TO COMPUTE ALL REACTIONS AT	Recent
	THE SAME TIME.	Recent
VERSION 81-1 (MARCH 1981)	IMPROVED BASED ON USER COMMENTS.	Recent
VERSION 81-2 (AUGUST 1981)	ADDED MONITOR MODE. ADDED SPEED OPTION	Recent
	TO BYPASS BACKWARDS THINNING IF FILE 3	Recent
	ALLOWABLE ERROR = 0.0 (NOTE THIS OPTION	Recent
	WILL RESULT IN ALL TABULATED POINTS	Recent
	FROM THE EVALUATION BEING KEPT IN THE	Recent
	OUTPUT FROM THIS PROGRAM).	Recent
VERSION 82-1 (JANUARY 1982)	IMPROVED COMPUTER COMPATIBILITY.	Recent
VERSION 83-1 (JANUARY 1983)	*MAJOR RE-DESIGN.	Recent
	*PAGE SIZES INCREASED.	Recent
	*ELIMINATED COMPUTER DEPENDENT CODING.	Recent
	*NEW, MORE COMPATIBLE I/O UNIT NUMBERS.	Recent
	*ADDED OPTION TO KEEP ALL RECONSTRUCTED	Recent
	AND BACKGROUND ENERGY POINTS.	Recent
	*ADDED STANDARD ALLOWABLE ERROR OPTIONS	Recent
	(CURRENTLY 0.1 PER-CENT RECONSTRUCTION	Recent
	AND 0.0 PER-CENT THINNING).	Recent
VERSION 83-2 (OCTOBER 1983)	IMPROVED BASED ON USER COMMENTS.	Recent
VERSION 84-1 (JANUARY 1984)	IMPROVED INTERVAL HALFING CONVERGENCE.	Recent
VERSION 85-1 (APRIL 1985)	*A BRAND NEW PROGRAM WHICH COMPLETELY	Recent
	SUPERCEDES ALL PREVIOUS VERSIONS OF	Recent
	THIS PROGRAM.	Recent
	*UPDATED FOR ENDF/B-VI FORMATS.	Recent
	*ADDED GENERAL REICH-MOORE FORMALISM	Recent
	(WITH TWO FISSION CHANNELS).	Recent
	*DECREASED RUNNING TIME.	Recent
	*SPECIAL I/O ROUTINES TO GUARANTEE	Recent
	ACCURACY OF ENERGY.	Recent
	*DOUBLE PRECISION TREATMENT OF ENERGY	Recent
	(REQUIRED FOR NARROW RESONANCES).	Recent
VERSION 85-2 (AUGUST 1985)	*FORTRAN-77/H VERSION	Recent
VERSION 86-1 (JANUARY 1986)	*ENERGY DEPENDENT SCATTERING RADIUS	Recent
VERSION 86-2 (JUNE 1986)	*IF FIRST CHANCE FISSION (MT=19)	Recent
	BACKGROUND IS PRESENT ADD RESONANCE	Recent
	CONTRIBUTION OF FISSION TO IT.	Recent
VERSION 86-3 (OCTOBER 1986)	*MULTI-LEVEL OR REICH-MOORE..CORRECT	Recent
	POTENTIAL SCATTERING CROSS SECTION FOR	Recent
	MISSING AND/OR FICTICIOUS (L,J)	Recent
	SEQUENCES.	Recent
VERSION 87-1 (JANUARY 1987)	*IMPROVED COMBINING FILE 2+3	Recent
VERSION 87-2 (MARCH 1987)	*CORRECTED ADLER-ADLER CALCULATIONS.	Recent
VERSION 88-1 (JULY 1988)	*UPDATED REICH-MOORE ENDF/B-VI FORMAT	Recent
	TO BE THE SAME AS REICH-MOORE FORMAT	Recent
	IN EARLIER VERSIONS OF ENDF/B FORMAT.	Recent
	*CHECK FOR PRELIMINARY ENDF/B-VI	Recent
	REICH-MOORE FORMAT (NOW ABANDONED)	Recent
	AND TERMINATE EXECUTION IF DATA IS	Recent
	IN THIS FORMAT.	Recent
	*CALCULATE CHANNEL RADIUS OR SET IT	Recent
	EQUAL TO THE SCATTERING RADIUS.	Recent
	*IMPLEMENTED HYBRID R-FUNCTION WITH THE	Recent
	FOLLOWING RESTRICTIONS	Recent
	- ONLY INELASTIC COMPETITION (NO	Recent
	CHARGED PARTICLES)	Recent
	- NO TABULATED FILE 2 BACKGROUND	Recent
	- NO TABULATED OPTICAL MODEL PHASE	Recent
	SHIFT	Recent
	*PROGRAM EXIT IF GENERAL R-MATRIX IN	Recent
	THE EVALUATION (THIS FORMALISM WILL	Recent
	BE IMPLEMENTED ONLY AFTER THE AUTHOR	Recent
	RECEIVES REAL EVALUATIONS WHICH USE	Recent
	THIS FORMALISM...UNTIL THEN IT IS	Recent

	IMPOSSIBLE TO ADEQUATELY TEST THAT	Recent
	THE CODING FOR THIS FORMALISM IS	Recent
	CORRECT).	Recent
	*INCREASED MAXIMUM NUMBER OF RESONANCES	Recent
	FROM 1002 TO 4008.	Recent
	*DOUBLE PRECISION RESONANCE REGION	Recent
	LIMITS.	Recent
	*FILE 2 AND FILE 3 ENERGIES WHICH ARE	Recent
	NEARLY EQUAL ARE TREATED AS EQUAL	Recent
	(I.E., SAME TO ABOUT 9 DIGITS).	Recent
	*CHECK FILE 3 BACKGROUND CROSS SECTIONS	Recent
	IN EDIT MODE.	Recent
	*OPTION...INTERNALLY DEFINE FILENAMES	Recent
	(SEE SUBROUTINE FILEIO FOR DETAILS).	Recent
VERSION 89-1 (JANUARY 1989)	*PSYCHOANALYZED BY PROGRAM FREUD TO	Recent
	INSURE PROGRAM WILL NOT DO ANYTHING	Recent
	CRAZY.	Recent
	*UPDATED TO USE NEW PROGRAM CONVERT	Recent
	KEYWORDS.	Recent
	*CORRECTED MULTILEVEL, REICH-MOORE AND	Recent
	HYBRID R-FUNCTION POTENTIAL SCATTER	Recent
	TO ACCOUNT FOR REPEATED J-VALUES FOR	Recent
	THE SAME TARGET SPIN AND L-VALUE.	Recent
	*ADDED LIVERMORE CIVIC COMPILER	Recent
	CONVENTIONS.	Recent
	*UPDATED TO USE NEW ENDF/B-VI	Recent
	CONVENTION TO ALLOW UNRESOLVED	Recent
	RESONANCE CONTRIBUTION TO ALREADY	Recent
	BE INCLUDED IN THE FILE 3 CROSS	Recent
	SECTIONS (INFINITELY DIULUTE	Recent
	CONTRIBUTION).	Recent
VERSION 90-1 (JUNE 1990)	*UPDATED BASED ON USER COMMENTS	Recent
	*ADDED FORTRAN SAVE OPTION	Recent
	*NEW MORE CONSISTENT ENERGY OUTPUT	Recent
	ROUTINE	Recent
VERSION 91-1 (JULY 1991)	*NEW UNIFORM TREATMENT OF ALL RESONANCE	Recent
	FORMALISMS (SEE, COMMENTS BELOW)	Recent
	*NEW REICH-MOORE ALGORITHM	Recent
	*MORE EXTENSIVE ERROR CHECKING AND	Recent
	ERROR MESSAGE EXPLANATIONS	Recent
VERSION 92-1 (JANUARY 1992)	*MAJOR RESTRUCTING TO IMPROVE ACCURACY	Recent
	AND COMPUTER INDEPENDENCE.	Recent
	*INCREASED ENERGY POINT PAGE SIZE FROM	Recent
	1002 TO 4008.	Recent
	*NO MORE THAN 2 ENERGY POINTS WHERE	Recent
	CROSS SECTION IS ZERO AT BEGINNING	Recent
	OF A SECTION FOR EACH REACTION,E.G.,	Recent
	THRESHOLD FISSION.	Recent
	*PROCESS ONLY A PORTION OF RESONANCE	Recent
	REGION - SEE EXPLANATION BELOW	Recent
	*ALL ENERGIES INTERNALLY ROUNDED PRIOR	Recent
	TO CALCULATIONS.	Recent
	*COMPLETELY CONSISTENT I/O AND ROUNDING	Recent
	ROUTINES - TO MINIMIZE COMPUTER	Recent
	DEPENDENCE.	Recent
VERSION 93-1 (MARCH 1993)	*UPDATED REICH-MOORE TREATMENT TO USE	Recent
	L DEPENDENT SCATTERING RADIUS (APL)	Recent
	RATHER THAN SCATTERING RADIUS (AP)	Recent
	(SEE, ENDF/B-VI FORMATS AND	Recent
	PROCEDURES MANUAL, PAGE 2.6)	Recent
	*INCREASED PAGE SIZE FROM 4008 TO	Recent
	20040 DATA POINTS.	Recent
	*INCREASED MAXIMUM NUMBER OF RESONANCES	Recent
	FROM 4008 TO 20040.	Recent
VERSION 94-1 (JANUARY 1994)	*VARIABLE ENDF/B DATA FILENAMES	Recent
	TO ALLOW ACCESS TO FILE STRUCTURES	Recent
	(WARNING - INPUT PARAMETER FORMAT	Recent
	HAS BEEN CHANGED).	Recent
	*CLOSE ALL FILES BEFORE TERMINATING	Recent
	(SEE, SUBROUTINE ENDIT)	Recent
VERSION 94-2 (AUGUST 1994)	*CORRECTED ADDJ FOR ENERGY DEPENDENT	Recent

	(TABULATED) SCATTERING RADIUS CASE.	Recent
VERSION 96-1 (JANUARY 1996)	*COMPLETE RE-WRITE	Recent
	*IMPROVED COMPUTER INDEPENDENCE	Recent
	*ALL DOUBLE PRECISION	Recent
	*ON SCREEN OUTPUT	Recent
	*UNIFORM TREATMENT OF ENDF/B I/O	Recent
	*IMPROVED OUTPUT PRECISION	Recent
	*ALWAYS INCLUDE THERMAL VALUE	Recent
	*DEFINED SCRATCH FILE NAMES	Recent
VERSION 97-1 (APRIL 1997)	*OPTIONAL MAKE NEGATIVE CROSS	Recent
	SECTION = 0 FOR OUTPUT	Recent
	*INCREASED PAGE SIZE FROM 20040 TO	Recent
	120000 DATA POINTS.	Recent
	*INCREASED MAXIMUM NUMBER OF RESONANCES	Recent
	FROM 20040 TO 120000.	Recent
VERSION 99-1 (MARCH 1999)	*CORRECTED CHARACTER TO FLOATING	Recent
	POINT READ FOR MORE DIGITS	Recent
	*UPDATED TEST FOR ENDF/B FORMAT	Recent
	VERSION BASED ON RECENT FORMAT CHANGE	Recent
	*UPDATED CONSTANTS BASED ON CSEWG	Recent
	SUBCOMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS	Recent
	*GENERAL IMPROVEMENTS BASED ON	Recent
	USER FEEDBACK	Recent
VERSION 99-2 (JUNE 1999)	*IMPLEMENTED NEW REICH-MOORE FORMALISM	Recent
	TO ALLOW DEFINITION OF (L,J,S) FOR	Recent
	EACH SEQUENCE.	Recent
	*ASSUME ENDF/B-VI, NOT V, IF MISSING	Recent
	MF=1, MT=451.	Recent
VERS. 2000-1 (FEBRUARY 2000)	*GENERAL IMPROVEMENTS BASED ON	Recent
	USER FEEDBACK	Recent
VERS. 2002-1 (MAY 2002)	*OPTIONAL INPUT PARAMETERS	Recent
(SEPT. 2002)	*OUTPUT RESONANCE WITH 9 DIGITS	Recent
	*TO BE C AND C++ COMPATIBLE OUTPUT	Recent
VERS. 2004-1 (JAN. 2004)	*ADDED INCLUDE 'recent.h'	Recent
	*MADE ENDF/B-VII READY	Recent
	*UPDATED FOR NEW REICH-MOORE LRF=7	Recent
	PARAMETERS WITH COMPETITION	Recent
	*ADDED COULOMB PENETRATION FACTORS FOR	Recent
	LRF=7 COMPETITIVE CHANNELS.	Recent
	*EXTENDED DEFINITIONS OF PENETRATION	Recent
	FACTOR, LEVEL SHIFT FACTOR, AND	Recent
	POTENTIAL SCATTERING PHASE SHIFT	Recent
	ABOVE L = 5 TO INFINITY.	Recent
	*ADDED QUICK CALCULATION - IF THE	Recent
	INPUT ALLOWABLE ERROR IS 1.0 OR MORE	Recent
	(100 % OR MORE) THERE IS NO ITERATION	Recent
	TO CONVERGENCE - CROSS SECTION ARE	Recent
	QUICKLY CALCULATED ONLY AT A FIXED	Recent
	SET OF ENERGY POINTS, BASED ON THE	Recent
	ENERGY AND WIDTH OF ALL RESONANCES.	Recent
	THIS CAN BE USED TO QUICKLY "SEE"	Recent
	NEW EVALUATIONS THAT MAY CONTAIN	Recent
	ERRORS, THAT WOULD OTHERWISE CAUSE	Recent
	THIS CODE TO RUN FOR AN EXCESSIVELY	Recent
	LONG TIME.	Recent
VERS. 2005-1 (JUNE 2005)	*ADDED ENERGY DEPENDENT SCATTERING	Recent
	RADIUS FOR ALL RESONANCE TYPES	Recent
	(EARLIER ONLY BREIT-WIGNER ALLOWED).	Recent
VERS. 2007-1 (JAN. 2007)	*CHECKED AGAINST ALL ENDF/B-VII.	Recent
	*DECOUPLED PAGE SIZE FROM MAX. # OF	Recent
	RESONANCES.	Recent
	*INCREASED PAGE SIZE FROM 120,000 TO	Recent
	750,000 DATA POINTS.	Recent
	*KEPT MAX. # OF RESONANCE AT 120,000.	Recent
	*CORRECTED ALL BACKGROUND = 0 CASE	Recent
VERS. 2007-2 (OCT. 2007)	*NO MT=19 OUTPUT IF NO BACKGROUND,	Recent
	REGARDLESS OF INPUT OPTION.	Recent
	*72 CHARACTER FILE NAMES.	Recent
VERS. 2008-1 (FEB. 2008)	*CORRECTED NAPS ERROR - NOW DEFINE FOR	Recent
	ALL TYPES OF PARAMETERS - EARLIER	Recent
	ONLY DEFINED FOR B-W PARAMETERS.	Recent

VERS. 2008-2 (APRIL 2008)	*CORRECTED NRO/NAPS=1/1 - MUST DEFINE RHOX2 AT EACH RESONANCE USING SETRH01 BEFORE ENERGY DEPENDENT CALCULATION.	Recent Recent Recent
	*ADDED PRECISION TO RESONANCE PROFILE IN SUBROUTINE SUBINT	Recent Recent
VERS. 2009-1 (JULY 2009)	*NEW REICH-MOORE COMPETITIVE WIDTHS - IF CHARGED PARTICLE REACTION (MT=103 THROUGH 107) WILL ADD RESONANCE CONTRIBUTION TO COMPETITIVE MT AND IF PRESENT, THE GROUND LEVEL, MT = 600 THROUGH 800. IF COMPETITIVE CHANNEL IS mt=4 (TOTAL N.N') IT WILL ALSO ADD COMPETITIVE RESONANCE CONTRIBUTION TO MT=50 (N,N' GROUND).	Recent Recent Recent Recent Recent Recent Recent
	*NEW REICH-MOORE - SUM COMPETITIVE WIDTHS IF ALL FOR THE SAME STATE (MT)	Recent
VERS. 2009-2 (AUG. 2009)	*RE-WRITE TO USE 12, RATHER THAN 6, PAAMETERS PER RESONANCE.	Recent Recent
	*MAJOR RE-WRITE TO ACCOMODATE GENERAL REICH-MOORE (LRF=7).	Recent
	*COMPLETE RE-WRITE FOR ADLER-ADLER AND HRF (N O LONGER USED IN ENDF/B)	Recent Recent
VERS. 2010-1 (April 2010)	*ADDED SAMRML LOGIC TO HANDLE ALL LRF=7 CASES.	Recent Recent
	*EXTENDED SAMRML LOGIC TO PROCESS ALL EVALUATIONS = RESOLVED + UNRESOLVED + TABULATED - SAMRML ONLY DOES ONE SECTION OF RESOLVED LRF=7 DATA WITHOUT TABULATED BACKGROUND.	Recent Recent Recent Recent
	*UPDATED ELASTIC POTENTIAL CALCULATION FOR TOTAL (SLBW) AND CORRECTION FOR MISSING SEQUENCES (MLBW, RM, HRF).	Recent Recent
	*ADDED HIDDEN (OPTIONAL) UNRESOLVED COMPETITION LISTING (NOT ENDF/B).	Recent Recent
	*ADDED BOB MACFARLANE'S PROPOSAL - USE LRX TO DEFINE COMPETITIVE L VALUE - COMPETITIVE L = LRX - 1, IF LRX > 0.	Recent Recent Recent
	*CHECKED FOR NEGATIVE WIDTHS.	Recent
VERS. 2012-1 (Nov. 2012)	*ADDED ENERGY DEPENDENT STEP SIZE FOR STARTING GRID AROUND RESONANCES.	Recent Recent
	*Added CODENAME	Recent
	*32 and 64 bit Compatible	Recent
	*Added ERROR stops	Recent
	*Check for no capture for Reich-Moore.	Recent
VERS. 2012-2 (Nov. 2012)	*Eliminated ERROR in NHIGH(0) index.	Recent
VERS. 2013-1 (Nov. 2013)	*Extended OUT9.	Recent
VERS. 2015-1 (Jan. 2015)	*Multiple LRF=7, General Reich-Moore Resonance Regions.	Recent Recent
	*Added OUT10.	Recent
	*Replaced ALL 3 way IF Statements.	Recent
	*Replaced ALL LOGICAL by INTEGER.	Recent
VERS. 2016-1 (Jan. 2016)	*Do not Change LSSF during the reconstrcution - for compatibility with later URR treatment.	Recent Recent Recent
	*Insured that all ERROR stops print a message explaining why the code stopped.	Recent Recent Recent
	*Partial Energy Range Processing no longer allowed - today's computers are so fast that this option is now out-of-date and no longer allowed.	Recent Recent Recent Recent
	*L-Value dependent fission = Earlier was done only by entire isotope.	Recent Recent
	*Denser Starting Energy Grid.	Recent
VERS. 2017-1 (May 2017)	*Corrected ERROR in LRF=3 treatment. This ERROR only existed in version 2016-1, which was never released to the general public, so it will not effect any results calculated by code	Recent Recent Recent Recent Recent

	users.	Recent
	*All floating input parameters changed	Recent
	to character input + IN9 conversion.	Recent
	*Added points to starting energy grid	Recent
	to approximate the shape of each	Recent
	resonance = based on comparisons of	Recent
	0.01% to 0.1% results.	Recent
	*Increased max. points to 1,200,000.	Recent
	*LRF=7 Shift option no longer allowed	Recent
	Set = 0, print WARNING and continue.	Recent
	*Corrected COMMON/NAPRHO/NRO,NAPS	Recent
	/NAPRHO/ misspelled - Freud found.	Recent
VERS. 2017-2 (Sept. 2017)	*Corrected Write statemnt at 5731.	Recent
VERS. 2018-1 (Nov. 2018)	*Added output for ALL ENDERROR	Recent
VERS. 2019-1 (June 2019)	*Terminate if MF/MT=1/451 Temperature	Recent
	is NOT = 0 = Incompaible with the	Recent
	0 Kelvin data output to MF=3 by this	Recent
	code.	Recent
	*Terminate if MF=3 Point Count and	Recent
	Interpolation Law do not agree.	Recent
	*Terminate if MF=3 Background	Recent
	Interpolation is NOT Linear.	Recent
	*Ignor background if zero at all	Recent
	energies - previously merged.	Recent
	*Output competitive data even if no	Recent
	MF=3 background = previously skipped.	Recent
	*Additional Interpolation Law Tests.	Recent
	*Check Maximum Tabulated Energy to	Recent
	insure it is the same for all MTs -	Recent
	if not,print WARNING messages.	Recent
	*Reduced Max. # of Resonance to	Recent
	100,000 from 300,000, e.g., for	Recent
	ENDF/B-VIII U235 and U238 have about	Recent
	3,000 resonances each.	Recent
		Recent
	OWNED, MAINTAINED AND DISTRIBUTED BY	Recent
	-----	Recent
	THE NUCLEAR DATA SECTION	Recent
	INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY	Recent
	P.O. BOX 100	Recent
	A-1400, VIENNA, AUSTRIA	Recent
	EUROPE	Recent
		Recent
	ORIGINALLY WRITTEN BY	Recent
	-----	Recent
	Dermott E. Cullen	Recent
		Recent
	PRESENT CONTACT INFORMATION	Recent
	-----	Recent
	Dermott E. Cullen	Recent
	1466 Hudson Way	Recent
	Livermore, CA 94550	Recent
	U.S.A.	Recent
	Telephone 925-443-1911	Recent
	E. Mail RedCullen1@Comcast.net	Recent
	Website RedCullen1.net/HOMEPAGE.NEW	Recent
		Recent
	Acknowledgement (Version 2004-1)	Recent
	=====	Recent
	The author thanks Nancy Larson, ORNL, for providing her SAMRML	Recent
	code for comparison to RECENT output for Reich-Moore evaluations,	Recent
	in particular to verify results for the new LFR=7 evaluations. I	Recent
	also thank her for providing guidance to help me understand and	Recent
	implement this new teatment for Reich-Moore parameters.	Recent
		Recent
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT (VERSION 92-1)	Recent
	=====	Recent
	THE AUTHOR THANKS SOL PEARLSTEIN (BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LAB) FOR	Recent
	SIGNIFICANTLY CONTRIBUTING TOWARD IMPROVING THE ACCURACY AND	Recent
	COMPUTER INDEPENDENCE OF THIS CODE - THANKS, SOL	Recent
	=====	Recent

AUTHORS MESSAGE	Recent
=====	Recent
THE REPORT DESCRIBED ABOVE IS THE LATEST PUBLISHED DOCUMENTATION	Recent
FOR THIS PROGRAM. HOWEVER, THE COMMENTS BELOW SHOULD BE CONSIDERED	Recent
THE LATEST DOCUMENTATION INCLUDING ALL RECENT IMPROVEMENTS. PLEASE	Recent
READ ALL OF THESE COMMENTS BEFORE IMPLEMENTATION, PARTICULARLY	Recent
THE COMMENTS CONCERNING MACHINE DEPENDENT CODING.	Recent
AT THE PRESENT TIME WE ARE ATTEMPTING TO DEVELOP A SET OF COMPUTER	Recent
INDEPENDENT PROGRAMS THAT CAN EASILY BE IMPLEMENTED ON ANY ONE	Recent
OF A WIDE VARIETY OF COMPUTERS. IN ORDER TO ASSIST IN THIS PROJECT	Recent
IT WOULD BE APPRECIATED IF YOU WOULD NOTIFY THE AUTHOR OF ANY	Recent
COMPILER DIAGNOSTICS, OPERATING PROBLEMS OR SUGGESTIONS ON HOW TO	Recent
IMPROVE THIS PROGRAM. HOPEFULLY, IN THIS WAY FUTURE VERSIONS OF	Recent
THIS PROGRAM WILL BE COMPLETELY COMPATIBLE FOR USE ON YOUR	Recent
COMPUTER.	Recent
PURPOSE	Recent
=====	Recent
THIS PROGRAM IS DESIGNED TO RECONSTRUCT THE RESONANCE CONTRIBUTION	Recent
TO THE CROSS SECTION IN LINEARLY INTERPOLABLE FORM, ADD IN ANY	Recent
LINEARLY INTERPOLABLE BACKGROUND CROSS SECTION AND OUTPUT THE	Recent
RESULT IN THE ENDF/B FORMAT. THE CROSS SECTIONS OUTPUT BY THIS	Recent
PROGRAM WILL BE LINEARLY INTERPOLABLE OVER THE ENTIRE ENERGY RANGE	Recent
THE RESONANCE CONTRIBUTION IS CALCULATED FOR TOTAL (MT=1),	Recent
ELASTIC (MT=2), CAPTURE (MT=102) AND FISSION (MT=18), ADDED	Recent
TO THE BACKGROUND (IF ANY) AND OUTPUT. IN ADDITION, IF THERE	Recent
IS A FIRST CHANCE FISSION (MT=19) BACKGROUND PRESENT THE RESONANCE	Recent
CONTRIBUTION OF FISSION WILL BE ADDED TO THE BACKGROUND AND	Recent
OUTPUT. IF THERE IS NO FIRST CHANCE FISSION (MT=19) BACKGROUND	Recent
PRESENT THE PROGRAM WILL NOT OUTPUT MT=19.	Recent
IN THE FOLLOWING FOR SIMPLICITY THE ENDF/B TERMINOLOGY--ENDF/B	Recent
TAPE--WILL BE USED. IN FACT THE ACTUAL MEDIUM MAY BE TAPE, CARDS,	Recent
DISK OR ANY OTHER MEDIUM.	Recent
PROCESSING DATA IN THE ENDF/B-VI FORMAT	Recent
=====	Recent
IT HAS NOW BEEN CONFIRMED (PRIVATE COMMUNICATION, CHARLES DUNFORD,	Recent
APRIL, 1991) THAT THE PROPER PROCEDURE TO FOLLOW WHEN THERE ARE	Recent
MISSING OR DUPLICATE J VALUES IS TO IN ALL CASES ADD A SEQUENCE	Recent
WITH NO RESONANCES TO ACCOUNT FOR THE CONTRIBUTION OF THE SEQUENCE	Recent
TO THE POTENTIAL SCATTERING CROSS SECTION.	Recent
THIS IS THE PROCEDURE WHICH WAS FOLLOWED BY ALL VERSIONS OF RECENT	Recent
SINCE 86-3 AND WILL CONTINUE TO BE THE PROCEDURE.	Recent
INPUT ENDF/B FORMAT AND CONVENTIONS	Recent
=====	Recent
ENDF/B FORMAT	Recent
-----	Recent
THIS PROGRAM ONLY USES THE ENDF/B BCD OR LINE IMAGE FORMAT (AS	Recent
OPPOSED TO THE BINARY FORMAT) AND CAN HANDLE DATA IN ANY VERSION	Recent
OF THE ENDF/B FORMAT (I.E., ENDF/B-I, II,III, IV, V OR VI FORMAT).	Recent
IT IS ASSUMED THAT THE DATA IS CORRECTLY CODED IN THE ENDF/B	Recent
FORMAT AND NO ERROR CHECKING IS PERFORMED. IN PARTICULAR IT IS	Recent
ASSUMED THAT THE MAT, MF AND MT ON EACH LINE IS CORRECT. SEQUENCE	Recent
NUMBERS (COLUMNS 76-80) ARE IGNORED ON INPUT, BUT WILL BE	Recent
CORRECTLY OUTPUT ON ALL CARDS. THE FORMAT OF SECTION MF=1, MT=451	Recent
AND ALL SECTIONS OF MF=2 AND 3 MUST BE CORRECT. THE PROGRAM COPIES	Recent
ALL OTHER SECTION OF DATA AS HOLLERITH AND AS SUCH IS INSENSITIVE	Recent
TO THE CORRECTNESS OR INCORRECTNESS OF ALL OTHER SECTIONS.	Recent
ENDF/B FORMAT VERSION	Recent
-----	Recent
THE FORMATS AND CONVENTIONS FOR READING AND INTERPRETING THE DATA	Recent
VARIES FROM ONE VERSION OF ENDF/B TO THE NEXT. HOWEVER, IF THE	Recent
HOLLERITH SECTION (MF=1, MT=451) IS PRESENT IT IS POSSIBLE FOR	Recent

```

THIS PROGRAM TO DISTINGUISH BETWEEN DATA IN THE ENDF/B-IV, V AND
VI FORMATS AND TO USE THE APPROPRIATE CONVENTIONS FOR EACH
ENDF/B VERSION (SEE, SUBROUTINE FILE1 FOR A DESCRIPTION OF HOW
THIS IS DONE). IF THE HOLLERITH SECTION IS NOT PRESENT THE
PROGRAM WILL ASSUME THE DATA IS IN THE ENDF/B-VI FORMAT AND USE
ALL CONVENTIONS APPROPRIATE TO ENDF/B-V. USERS ARE ENCOURAGED TO
INSURE THAT THE HOLLERITH SECTION (MF=1, MT=451) IS PRESENT IN
ALL EVALUATIONS.

INPUT OF ENERGIES
-----
ALL ENERGIES ARE READ IN DOUBLE PRECISION (BY SPECIAL FORTRAN I/O
ROUTINES) AND ARE TREATED IN DOUBLE PRECISION IN ALL CALCULATIONS.

OUTPUT ENDF/B FORMAT AND CONVENTIONS
=====
CONTENTS OF OUTPUT
-----
ENTIRE EVALUATIONS ARE OUTPUT, NOT JUST THE RECONSTRUCTED FILE
3 CROSS SECTIONS, E.G. ANGULAR AND ENERGY DISTRIBUTIONS ARE
ALSO INCLUDED.

DOCUMENTATION
-----
THE FACT THAT THIS PROGRAM HAS OPERATED ON THE DATA IS DOCUMENTED
BY THE ADDITION OF COMMENT CARDS AT THE END OF EACH HOLLERITH
SECTION IN THE FORM

***** RECENT (VERSION 2019-1) *****
RESONANCE CONTRIBUTION RECONSTRUCTED TO WITHIN 0.100 PER-CENT
COMBINED DATA NOT THINNED (ALL RESONANCE + BACKGROUND DATA KEPT)

THE ORDER OF ALL SIMILAR COMMENTS (FROM LINEAR, SIGNAL AND GROUPY)
REPRESENTS A COMPLETE HISTORY OF ALL OPERATIONS PERFORMED ON
THE DATA, INCLUDING WHICH VERSION OF EACH PROGRAM WAS USED.

THESE COMMENT CARDS ARE ONLY ADDED TO EXISTING HOLLERITH SECTIONS,
I.E., THIS PROGRAM WILL NOT CREATE A HOLLERITH SECTION. THE FORMAT
OF THE HOLLERITH SECTION IN ENDF/B-V DIFFERS FROM THE THAT OF
EARLIER VERSIONS OF ENDF/B. BY READING AN EXISTING MF=1, MT=451
IT IS POSSIBLE FOR THIS PROGRAM TO DETERMINE WHICH VERSION OF
THE ENDF/B FORMAT THE DATA IS IN. WITHOUT HAVING A SECTION OF
MF=1, MT=451 PRESENT IT IS IMPOSSIBLE FOR THIS PROGRAM TO
DETERMINE WHICH VERSION OF THE ENDF/B FORMAT THE DATA IS IN, AND
AS SUCH IT IS IMPOSSIBLE FOR THE PROGRAM TO DETERMINE WHAT FORMAT
SHOULD BE USED TO CREATE A HOLLERITH SECTION.

REACTION INDEX
-----
THIS PROGRAM DOES NOT USE THE REACTION INDEX WHICH IS GIVEN IN
SECTION MF=1, MT=451 OF EACH EVALUATION.

THIS PROGRAM DOES NOT UPDATE THE REACTION INDEX IN MF=1, MT=451.
THIS CONVENTION HAS BEEN ADOPTED BECAUSE MOST USERS DO NOT
REQUIRE A CORRECT REACTION INDEX FOR THEIR APPLICATIONS AND IT WAS
NOT CONSIDERED WORTHWHILE TO INCLUDE THE OVERHEAD OF CONSTRUCTING
A CORRECT REACTION INDEX IN THIS PROGRAM. HOWEVER, IF YOU REQUIRE
A REACTION INDEX FOR YOUR APPLICATIONS, AFTER RUNNING THIS PROGRAM
YOU MAY USE PROGRAM DICTIN TO CREATE A CORRECT REACTION INDEX.

OUTPUT FORMAT OF ENERGIES
-----
IN THIS VERSION OF RECENT ALL FILE 3 ENERGIES WILL BE OUTPUT IN
F (INSTEAD OF E) FORMAT IN ORDER TO ALLOW ENERGIES TO BE WRITTEN
WITH UP TO 9 DIGITS OF ACCURACY. IN PREVIOUS VERSIONS THIS WAS AN
OUTPUT OPTION. HOWEVER USE OF THIS OPTION TO COMPARE THE RESULTS
OF ENERGIES WRITTEN IN THE NORMAL ENDF/B CONVENTION OF 6 DIGITS
TO THE 9 DIGIT OUTPUT FROM THIS PROGRAM DEMONSTRATED THAT FAILURE
TO USE THE 9 DIGIT OUTPUT CAN LEAD TO LARGE ERRORS IN THE DATA
JUST DUE TO TRANSLATION OF ENERGIES FROM THEIR INTERNAL (BINARY)
REPRESENTATION TO THE ENDF/B FORMAT.

```

[illegible]

## Recent

Recent  
Recent  
Recent  
Recent

## Recent

Recent  
Recent  
Recent  
Recent  
Recent  
Recent  
Recent  
Recent  
Recent

Recent  
Recent  
Recent  
Recent  
Recent  
Recent

Recent  
Recent  
Recent  
Recent  
Recent  
Recent  
Recent  
Recent  
Recent  
Recent

## Recent

## Recent

## Recent

## Recent

Recent  
Recent  
Recent

Recent  
Recent  
Recent

## Recent

Recent  
Recent  
Recent

Recent  
Recent  
Recent  
Recent  
Recent

Recent  
Recent

## Recent

## Recent

## Recent



WHERE (X) AND (Y) ARE RELATED TO THE SYMMETRIC AND ANTI-SYMMETRIC CONTRIBUTIONS OF THE RESONANCES, RESPECTIVELY. ONLY THE DEFINITION OF (X) AND (Y) WILL BE DIFFERENT FOR EACH RESONANCE FORMALISM. BELOW WE WILL SHOW THAT WHAT MIGHT APPEAR TO BE A STRANGE CHOICE OF DEFINITION OF THE SIGN OF (X) AND (Y) HAS BEEN SELECTED SO THAT FOR BREIT-WIGNER PARAMETERS (X) AND (Y) CORRESPOND EXACTLY TO THE SYMMETRIC AND ANTI-SYMMETRIC CONTRIBUTION OF THE RESONANCES.

$$\begin{aligned}
 U &= (\cos(2\psi) - i\sin(2\psi)) * ((1-X) - iY) \\
 &= ((1-X)\cos(2\psi) - Y\sin(2\psi)) \\
 &= -i * ((1-X)\sin(2\psi) + Y\cos(2\psi))
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{REAL}(U) &= ((1-X)\cos(2\psi) - Y\sin(2\psi)) \\
 \text{IM}(U) &= -((1-X)\sin(2\psi) + Y\cos(2\psi))
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 R(U)**2 &= ((1-X)\cos(2\psi))**2 + (Y\sin(2\psi))**2 \\
 &\quad - 2*(1-X)*Y*\cos(2\psi)*\sin(2\psi) \\
 I(U)**2 &= ((1-X)\sin(2\psi))**2 + (Y\cos(2\psi))**2 \\
 &\quad + 2*(1-X)*Y*\cos(2\psi)*\sin(2\psi)
 \end{aligned}$$

THE TERMS  $2*(1-X)*Y*\cos(2\psi)*\sin(2\psi)$  CANCEL AND UPON USING THE IDENTITY  $\cos(2\psi)**2 + \sin(2\psi)**2 = 1$ ,

$$\text{SUM} = (1-X)**2 + (Y)**2$$

WE NOW HAVE ALL THE QUANTITIES THAT WE NEED TO DEFINE THE CROSS SECTIONS,

ELASTIC

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{ELASTIC} &= \text{GJ} * (1 - 2*\text{REAL}(U) + (\text{REAL}(U)**2 + \text{IM}(U)**2)) \\
 &= \text{GJ} * (1 - 2*((1-X)\cos(2\psi) - Y\sin(2\psi)) + (1-X)**2 + (Y)**2)
 \end{aligned}$$

THIS CAN BE WRITTEN AS A SUM OF 2 SQUARES,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{ELASTIC} &= \text{GJ} * (\cos(2\psi) - (1-X))**2 + (\sin(2\psi) + Y)**2 \\
 &= \text{GJ} * ((\cos(2\psi))**2 - 2*(1-X)\cos(2\psi) + (1-X)**2) + \\
 &\quad (\sin(2\psi))**2 + 2*Y*\sin(2\psi) + (Y)**2
 \end{aligned}$$

AGAIN USING THE IDENTITY  $\cos(2\psi)**2 + \sin(2\psi)**2 = 1$ , WE CAN SEE THAT THE DEFINITION AS THE SUM OF 2 SQUARES IS IDENTICAL TO THE PRECEDING DEFINITION OF THE ELASTIC.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{ELASTIC} &= \text{GJ} * (\cos(2\psi) - (1-X))**2 + (\sin(2\psi) + Y)**2 \\
 &= \text{GJ} * ((\cos(2\psi) - 1) + X)**2 + (\sin(2\psi) + Y)**2
 \end{aligned}$$

USING THE IDENTITY  $(1 - \cos(2\psi)) = 2*\sin(\psi)**2$ , WE OBTAIN THE FINAL FORM FOR THE ELASTIC,

$$\text{ELASTIC} = \text{GJ} * (2*\sin(\psi)**2 - X)**2 + (\sin(2\psi) + Y)**2$$

ABSORPTION

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{ABSORPTION} &= \text{GJ} * (1 - (\text{REAL}(U)**2 + \text{IM}(U)**2)) \\
 &= \text{GJ} * (1 - ((1-X)**2 + (Y)**2)) \\
 &= \text{GJ} * (1 - (1 - 2*X + (X)**2 + (Y)**2)) \\
 &= \text{GJ} * (2*X - (X)**2 + (Y)**2)
 \end{aligned}$$

SINCE PHYSICALLY THE ABSORPTION CANNOT BE NEGATIVE WE CAN SEE THAT (X) MUST BE POSITIVE AND  $2*X$  MUST BE GREATER THAN  $(X)**2 + (Y)**2$ , FOR ALL OF THE FORMALISMS.

TOTAL

$$\text{TOTAL} = 2*\text{GJ}*\text{REAL}(1 - U)$$

[illegible]

Recent  
Recent  
Recent

Recent  
Recent  
Recent  
Recent  
Recent  
Recent  
Recent

Recent  
Recent  
Recent  
Recent  
Recent

## Recent

## Recent

## Recent

Recent  
Recent  
Recent  
Recent  
Recent

Recent  
Recent  
Recent  
Recent  
Recent  
Recent  
Recent  
Recent  
Recent

Recent  
Recent

Recent  
Recent

Recent  
Recent

## Recent

Recent  
Recent  
Recent

Recent  
Recent  
Recent  
Recent  
Recent  
Recent

Recent

$4*(2*L+1)*\sin(\psi)^2$  Recent  
 OBVIOUSLY FOR CONSISTENCY WE MUST HAVE, Recent  
 $(2*L+1) = (\sum \text{OVER } J) GJ$  Recent  
 ONLY IN THIS CASE WILL THE RESULTS BE CONSISTENT - THIS POINT WILL Recent  
 BE DISCUSSED IN DETAIL BELOW. Recent  
 WHAT ARE THIS TERMS (X) AND (Y) Recent  
 ===== Recent  
 (X) AND (Y) CAN BE EASILY IDENTIFIED BY CONSIDERING THE SINGLE Recent  
 AND MULTI-LEVEL BREIT WIGNER FORMALISMS. IN THESE CASES WE WILL Recent  
 FIND THAT, Recent  
 $X = \text{GAM}(N) * \text{GAM}(T) / 2 / \text{DEN}$  Recent  
 $Y = \text{GAM}(N) * (E - E_R) / \text{DEN}$  Recent  
 $\text{DEN} = ((E - E_R)^2 + (\text{GAM}(T) / 2)^2)$  Recent  
 EXTREME CARE HAS TO BE USED TO PROPERLY DEFINE (Y) SUCH THAT IT Recent  
 IS NEGATIVE FOR E LESS THAN  $E_R$  AND POSITIVE FOR E GREATER THAN Recent  
 $E_R$ . I WILL MERELY MENTION THAT THE EQUATIONS FOR ALL FORMALISMS Recent  
 IN ENDF-102 DO NOT CONSISTENTLY USE  $(E - E_R)$  - IN SOME CASES Recent  
 THIS IS WRITTEN AS  $(E_R - E)$ , WHICH CAN LEAD TO AN INCORRECT Recent  
 SIGN IN THE DEFINITION OF THE (Y) THAT WE REQUIRE. Recent  
 THE INTERFERENCE TERMS CAN BE WRITTEN IN TERMS OF, Recent  
 1) LEVEL-SELF INTERFERENCE = THE CONTRIBUTION OF EACH LEVEL Recent  
 INTERFERRING WITH ITSELF Recent  
 2) LEVEL-LEVEL INTERFERENCE = THE CONTRIBUTION OF EACH LEVEL Recent  
 INTERFERRING WITH ALL OTHER LEVELS Recent  
 WE WILL REFER TO THESE TWO AS (L-S) AND (L-L), Recent  
 $X^2 = (\text{GAM}(N) * (\text{GAM}(T) / 2)^2 / (\text{DEN})^2 + (L-L)$  Recent  
 $= (\text{GAM}(N)^2 * ((\text{GAM}(T) / 2)^2) / (\text{DEN})^2 + (L-L)$  Recent  
 $Y^2 = (\text{GAM}(N))^2 * ((E - E_R))^2 / (\text{DEN})^2 + (L-L)$  Recent  
 $X^2 + Y^2 = \text{GAM}(N)^2 * \text{DEN} / (\text{DEN})^2 = \text{GAM}(N)^2 / \text{DEN} + (L-L)$  Recent  
 TO SEE THE EFFECT OF INCLUDING MULTI-LEVEL INTERFERENCE WE CAN Recent  
 CONSIDER OUR GENERAL EXPRESSION FOR ABSORPTION, Recent  
 $\text{ABSORPTION} = GJ * (2X - ((X)^2 + (Y)^2))$  Recent  
 AND NOTE THAT FOR BOTH SINGLE AND MULTI-LEVEL BREIT WIGNER THE Recent  
 ENDF-102 SAYS TO TREAT ABSORPTION IN A SINGLE LEVEL APPROXIMATION Recent  
 I.E., IGNORE LEVEL-LEVEL INTERFERENCE. IF ALL INTERFERENCE IS Recent  
 IGNORED THIS IS EQUIVALENT TO COMPLETELY IGNORING  $X^2 + Y^2$  AND Recent  
 DEFINING, Recent  
 $\text{ABSORPTION} = GJ * 2X$  Recent  
 $= 2 * GJ * \text{GAM}(N) * \text{GAM}(T) / \text{DEN}$  Recent  
 WHICH IS INCORRECT - SINCE THIS SEEMS TO INDICATE EVERYTHING IS Recent  
 ABSORBED. IN ORDER TO OBTAIN THE CORRECT EXPRESSION WE CANNOT Recent  
 COMPLETELY IGNORE INTERFERENCE - WE CAN IGNORE LEVEL-LEVEL Recent  
 INTERFERENCE, BUT WE MUST INCLUDE LEVEL-SELF INTERFERENCE, Recent  
 $X^2 + Y^2 = \text{GAM}(N)^2 / \text{DEN}$  Recent  
 $\text{ABSORPTION} = GJ * (2X - ((X)^2 + (Y)^2))$  Recent  
 $= GJ * \text{GAM}(N) * (\text{GAM}(T) - \text{GAM}(N)) / \text{DEN}$  Recent  
 $= GJ * \text{GAM}(N) * \text{GAM}(A) / \text{DEN}$  Recent  
 SUMMARY Recent  
 ===== Recent  
 AN IMPORTANT POINT TO NOTE IS THE DEFINITION OF (X) AND (Y) Recent  
 WHICH IN ALL CASES WILL CORRESPOND TO THE SYMMETRIC AND Recent  
 ANTI-SYMMETRIC CONTRIBUTION OF THE RESONANCES. IN PARTICULAR Recent  
 DEFINING (U) IN TERMS OF  $(1-X)$  INSTEAD OF (X) IS EXTREMELY Recent

IMPORTANT. NOTE, THAT THE DEFINITION OF THE ELASTIC AND  
 ABSORPTION ONLY INVOLVE (X), NOT (1-X). FAR FROM RESONANCES  
 (X) CAN BE EXTREMELY SMALL, THEREFORE (1-X) WILL BE VERY CLOSE  
 TO (1). IF THE CALCULATION PROCEEDS BY FIRST CALCULATING (1-X)  
 AND THEN DEFINING (X) BY SUBTRACTING (1), EXTREME ROUND-OFF  
 PROBLEMS CAN RESULT. THESE PROBLEMS CAN BE AVOIDED BY IN ALL  
 CASES DEFINING (X) DIRECTLY, WITHOUT ANY DIFFERENCES.

IN EACH FORMALISM THE DEFINITION OF (X) AND (Y) MAY BE DIFFERENT  
 BUT ONCE WE HAVE DEFINED (X) AND (Y) WE CAN IMMEDIATELY WRITE  
 THE CROSS SECTIONS USING A UNIFORM DEFINITION,

$$\text{ELASTIC} = \text{GJ} * (2 * \sin(\text{PS}) ** 2 - \text{X}) ** 2 + (\sin(2 * \text{PS}) + \text{Y}) ** 2$$

$$\text{ABSORPTION} = -\text{GJ} * (2 * \text{X} + (\text{X}) ** 2 + (\text{Y}) ** 2)$$

AND DEFINE THE TOTAL AS THE SUM OF THESE 2 PARTS.

RELATIONSHIP TO SINGLE LEVEL  
 =====

HOW DO THE SINGLE AND MULTI-LEVEL FORMALISMS COMPARE. TO SEE,  
 STARTING FROM OUR GENERAL DEFINITION OF THE ELASTIC IN THE FORM,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{ELASTIC} &= \text{GJ} * (2 * \sin(\text{PS}) ** 2 + \text{X}) ** 2 + (\sin(2 * \text{PS}) + \text{Y}) ** 2 \\
 &= \text{GJ} * (4 * \sin(\text{PS}) ** 4 - 4 * \text{X} * \sin(\text{PS}) ** 2 + \text{X} ** 2 \\
 &\quad + \sin(2 * \text{PS}) ** 2 + 2 * \text{Y} * \sin(2 * \text{PS}) + \text{Y} ** 2) \\
 &= 4 * \text{GJ} * \sin(\text{PS}) ** 2 + \\
 &\quad \text{GJ} * (\text{X} ** 2 + \text{Y} ** 2 \\
 &\quad - 4 * \text{X} * \sin(\text{PS}) ** 2 \\
 &\quad + 2 * \text{Y} * \sin(2 * \text{PS}))
 \end{aligned}$$

AND OUR SPECIFIC DEFINITIONS OF (X) AND (Y) FOR MULTI-LEVEL BREIT-  
 WIGNER PARAMETERS,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{X} &= \text{GAM}(\text{N}) * \text{GAM}(\text{T}) / 2 / \text{DEN} \\
 \text{Y} &= \text{GAM}(\text{N}) * (\text{E} - \text{ER}) / \text{DEN} \\
 \text{DEN} &= ((\text{E} - \text{ER}) ** 2 + (\text{GAM}(\text{T}) / 2) ** 2)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{X} ** 2 + \text{Y} ** 2 = \text{GAM}(\text{N}) ** 2 / \text{DEN} + (\text{L} - \text{L})$$

WE CAN RECOGNIZE  $\text{X} ** 2$  AND  $\text{Y} ** 2$  AS THE INTERFERENCE - (L-S) + (L-L)  
 TERMS IN THE MULTI-LEVEL FORMALISM. IN ORDER TO OBTAIN THE SINGLE  
 LEVEL EQUATION WE CAN ASSUME THAT EACH LEVEL DOES NOT INTERFERE  
 WITH ANY OTHER LEVEL - THEREFORE THE (L-L) CONTRIBUTION IS ZERO.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{ELASTIC} &= 4 * \text{GJ} * \sin(\text{PS}) ** 2 + \\
 &\quad \text{GJ} * \text{GAM}(\text{N}) * (\text{GAM}(\text{N}) \\
 &\quad - 2 * \text{GAM}(\text{T}) * \sin(\text{PS}) ** 2 \\
 &\quad + 2 * (\text{E} - \text{ER}) * \sin(2 * \text{PS})) / \text{DEN}
 \end{aligned}$$

WHICH IS THE FORM THAT IT APPEARS IN ENDF-102, EXCEPT FOR TWO  
 TYPOGRAPHICAL ERRORS IN THE SECOND TERM,

$$-2 * \text{GAM}(\text{T}) * \sin(\text{PS}) ** 2$$

WHICH IN ENDF-102 IS WRITTEN,

$$-2 * (\text{GAM}(\text{T}) - \text{GAM}(\text{N})) * \sin(2 * \text{PS}) ** 2$$

PROGRAM CONVENTIONS  
 =====

MINIMUM INPUT DATA  
 -----

FOR EACH MATERIAL TO BE PROCESSED THE MINIMUM INPUT DATA ARE THE  
 RESONANCE PARAMETERS IN FILE 2. IF THERE ARE NO FILE 2 PARAMETERS  
 IN A GIVEN MATERIAL THE ENTIRE MATERIAL WILL SIMPLY BE COPIED.  
 NEITHER THE HOLLERITH SECTION (MF=1, MT=451) NOR THE BACKGROUND  
 CROSS SECTION (SECTIONS OF MF=3) NEED BE PRESENT FOR THIS PROGRAM  
 TO EXECUTE PROPERLY. HOWEVER, SINCE THE CONVENTIONS USED IN  
 INTERPRETING THE RESONANCE PARAMETERS DEPENDS ON ENDF/B VERSION

USERS ARE STRONGLY RECOMMENDED TO INSURE THAT MF=1, MT=451 IS PRESENT IN EACH MATERIAL TO ALLOW THE PROGRAM TO DETERMINE THE ENDF/B FORMAT VERSION.	Recent
	Recent
	Recent
	Recent
RESONANCE PARAMETERS	Recent
-----	Recent
RESONANCE PARAMETERS MAY BE REPRESENTED USING ANY COMBINATION OF THE REPRESENTATIONS ALLOWED IN ENDF/B,	Recent
(1) RESOLVED DATA	Recent
(A) SINGLE LEVEL BREIT-WIGNER	Recent
(B) MULTI-LEVEL BREIT-WIGNER	Recent
(C) ADLER-ADLER	Recent
(D) REICH-MOORE	Recent
(E) HYBRID R-FUNCTION	Recent
(2) UNRESOLVED DATA	Recent
(A) ALL PARAMETERS ENERGY INDEPENDENT	Recent
(B) FISSION PARAMETERS ENERGY DEPENDENT	Recent
(C) ALL PARAMETERS ENERGY DEPENDENT	Recent
	Recent
THE FOLLOWING RESOLVED DATA FORMALISMS ARE NOT TREATED BY THIS VERSION OF THE CODE AND WILL ONLY BE IMPLEMENTED AFTER EVALUATIONS USING THESE FORMALISMS ARE AVAILABLE TO THE AUTHOR OF THIS CODE FOR TESTING IN ORDER TO INSURE THAT THEY CAN BE HANDLED PROPERLY	Recent
(A) GENERAL R-MATRIX	Recent
	Recent
CALCULATED CROSS SECTIONS	Recent
-----	Recent
THIS PROGRAM WILL USE THE RESONANCE PARAMETERS TO CALCULATE THE TOTAL, ELASTIC, CAPTURE AND POSSIBLY FISSION CROSS SECTIONS. THE COMPETITIVE WIDTH WILL BE USED IN THESE CALCULATIONS, BUT THE COMPETITIVE CROSS SECTION ITSELF WILL NOT BE CALCULATED. THE ENDF/B CONVENTION IS THAT ALTHOUGH A COMPETITIVE WIDTH MAY BE GIVEN, THE COMPETITIVE CROSS SECTION MUST BE SEPARATELY TABULATED AS A SECTION OF FILE 3 DATA.	Recent
	Recent
RESOLVED REGION	Recent
-----	Recent
IN THE RESOLVED REGION THE RESOLVED PARAMETERS ARE USED TO CALCULATE COLD (0 KELVIN), LINEARLY INTERPOLABLE, ENERGY DEPENDENT CROSS SECTIONS.	Recent
	Recent
SCATTERING RADIUS	Recent
-----	Recent
FOR SINGLE OR MULTI LEVEL BREIT-WIGNER PARAMETERS THE SCATTERING RADIUS MAY BE SPECIFIED IN EITHER ENERGY INDEPENDENT (CONSTANT) OR ENERGY DEPENDENT FORM (A TABLE OF ENERGY VS. RADIUS AND AN ASSOCIATED INTERPOLATION LAW). IN ALL OTHER CASE ONLY AN ENERGY INDEPENDENT SCATTERING RADIUS IS ALLOWED.	Recent
	Recent
FOR ANY ONE MATERIAL (I.E. MAT) IF ENERGY DEPENDENT SCATTERING RADII ARE GIVEN THE TOTAL NUMBER OF INTERPOLATION REGIONS AND TABULATED VALUES FOR THE ENTIRE MATERIAL CANNOT EXCEED,	Recent
200 - INTERPOLATION REGIONS	Recent
500 - TABULATED VALUES	Recent
IF THESE LIMITS ARE EXCEEDED THE PROGRAM WILL PRINT AN ERROR MESSAGE AND TERMINATE.	Recent
	Recent
IF YOU REQUIRE A LARGER NUMBER OF INTERPOLATION REGION AND/OR TABULATED VALUES,	Recent
(1) INTERPOLATION REGIONS - INCREASE THE DIMENSION OF NBTRHO AND INTRHO IN COMMON/TABRHO/ THROUGHOUT THE PROGRAM AND CHANGE MAXSEC IN SUBROUTINE RDAP (MAXSEC = MAXIMUM NUMBER OF INTERPOLATION REGIONS).	Recent
(2) TABULATED VALUES - INCREASE THE DIMENSION OF ERHOTB, RHOTAB AND APTAB IN COMMON/TABRHO/ THROUGHOUT THE PROGRAM AND CHANGE MAXRHO IN SUBROUTINE RDAP (MAXRHO = MAXIMUM NUMBER OF TABULATED VALUES).	Recent
	Recent
RESOLVED REICH-MOORE AND MULTI-LEVEL BREIT-WIGNER PARAMETERS	Recent
-----	Recent
CROSS SECTIONS FOR REICH-MOORE PARAMETERS ARE CALCULATED ACCORDING	Recent

TO THE EQUATION (1) - (8) OF SECTION D.1.3 OF ENDF-102. IN ORDER TO CALCULATE CROSS SECTIONS FROM MULTI-LEVEL PARAMETERS IN A REASONABLE AMOUNT OF TIME THIS PROGRAM EXPRESSES THE CROSS SECTION IN TERMS OF A SINGLE SUM OVER RESONANCES (SEE, ENDF-102, SECTION D.1.2, EQUATIONS 6-7), RATHER THAN AS A DOUBLE SUM (SEE, ENDF-102 SECTION D.1.2, EQUATION 1-2). IN ORDER FOR THE ENDF-102 EQUATIONS TO BE CORRECT THE PARAMETERS MUST MEET THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS,

- (1) FOR EACH L STATE ALL PHYSICALLY POSSIBLE J SEQUENCES MUST BE PRESENT. ONLY IN THIS CASE WILL THE CONTRIBUTIONS OF THE INDIVIDUAL J SEQUENCES ADD UP TO PRODUCE THE CORRECT POTENTIAL SCATTERING CONTRIBUTION FOR THE L STATE (SEE, ENDF-102, SECTION D.1.2, EQUATIONS 6-7). IF ANY J SEQUENCE IS MISSING THE PROGRAM WILL PRINT A WARNING AND ADD THE J SEQUENCE WITH NO RESONANCE PARAMETERS IN ORDER TO ALLOW THE POTENTIAL SCATTERING TO BE CALCULATED CORRECTLY (THIS IS EQUIVALENT TO ASSUMING THAT THE EVALUATOR REALIZES THAT ALL J SEQUENCES MUST BE AND ARE PRESENT AND THAT THE EVALUATION STATES THAT THERE ARE NO RESONANCES WITH CERTAIN PHYSICALLY POSSIBLE J VALUES... IN THIS CASE POTENTIAL CONTRIBUTION MUST STILL BE CONSIDERED).

#### EXAMPLE

=====

AN EXAMPLE OF WHERE THIS OCCURS AND IS IMPORTANT TO CONSIDER IS U-238 IN ENDF/B-IV AND V LIBRARIES WHERE FOR L=1 THERE IS ONLY A J=1/2 SEQUENCE. NOT INCLUDING THE J=3/2 SEQUENCE LEADS TO UNDERESTIMATING THE POTENTIAL SCATTERING AND PRODUCES MINIMA IN THE ELASTIC CROSS SECTION WHICH ARE AN ORDER OF MAGNITUDE LOWER THAN THE CROSS SECTIONS OBTAINED BY INCLUDING THE J=3/2 SEQUENCE.

- (2) FOR A GIVEN TARGET SPIN AND L VALUE THERE MAY BE 2 POSSIBLE MEANS OF OBTAINING THE SAME J VALUE. WHEN THIS OCCURS IN ORDER TO CALCULATE THE CORRECT POTENTIAL SCATTERING CROSS SECTION IT IS IMPORTANT TO INCLUDE THE EFFECT OF BOTH POSSIBLE J SEQUENCES, EVEN THOUGH FROM THE ENDF/B DATA IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO DETERMINE WHICH OF THE 2 POSSIBLE SEQUENCES ANY GIVEN RESONANCE BELONGS TO. IN THIS CASE THIS PROGRAM TREAT ALL RESONANCES WITH THE SAME J VALUE AS BELONGING TO THE SAME J SEQUENCE (TO ALLOW INTERFERENCE) AND WILL ADD AN ADDITIONAL J SEQUENCE WITH NO RESONANCES IN ORDER TO ALLOW THE POTENTIAL CROSS SECTION TO BE CALCULATED CORRECTLY. WHEN THIS OCCURS A WARNING MESSAGE IS PRINTED, BUT BASED ON THE ENDF/B DATA THERE IS NOTHING WRONG WITH THE DATA AND THERE IS NOTHING THAT THE USER CAN DO TO CORRECT OR IN ANY WAY MODIFY THE DATA TO ELIMINATE THE PROBLEM.

#### EXAMPLE

=====

FOR A TARGET SPIN =1 AND L=1 THE 2 RANGES OF PHYSICALLY POSSIBLE J ARE 1/2, 3/2, 5/2 AND 1/2, 3/2. BY CHECKING THE ENDF/B DATA IT IS POSSIBLE TO INSURE THAT THE 3 POSSIBLE J VALUES (1/2, 3/2, 5/2) ARE PRESENT AND TO INCLUDE ALL 3 J SEQUENCES IN THE CALCULATIONS. HOWEVER, UNLESS ALL 5 POSSIBLE J SEQUENCES ARE INCLUDED THE STATISTICAL WEIGHTS OF THE J SEQUENCES WILL NOT SUM UP TO  $2 \cdot L + 1$  AND THE POTENTIAL CROSS SECTION WILL BE UNDERESTIMATED. IN THIS EXAMPLE THE SUM OF THE 3 J SEQUENCES 1/2, 3/2, 5/2 IS 2, RATHER THAN 3 AS IT SHOULD BE FOR L=1, AND THE CONTRIBUTION OF THE L=1 RESONANCES TO THE POTENTIAL SCATTERING CROSS SECTION WILL ONLY BE 2/3 OF WHAT IT SHOULD BE, UNLESS THE OTHER 2 J SEQUENCES (WITH DUPLICATE J VALUES) ARE INCLUDED IN THE CALCULATION.

- (3) EACH RESONANCE MUST HAVE AN ASSIGNED, PHYSICALLY POSSIBLE J VALUE. PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE OR AVERAGE J VALUES CANNOT BE UNIQUELY INTERPRETED USING THE EQUATIONS IN ENDF-102 AND THEIR USE WILL USUALLY RESULT IN PHYSICALLY UNRELIABLE CROSS SECTIONS. THIS PROGRAM WILL CHECK ALL J VALUES AND IF ANY ARE FOUND TO BE PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE (BASED ON TARGET SPIN AND L VALUE) AN ERROR MESSAGE WILL BE PRINTED TO INDICATE THAT

THE RECONSTRUCTED CROSS SECTIONS WILL BE UNRELIABLE AND THE PROGRAM WILL CONTINUE. IN AN ATTEMPT TO CALCULATE THE CORRECT POTENTIAL SCATTERING CROSS SECTION THIS PROGRAM WILL SUBTRACT THE POTENTIAL SCATTERING CONTRIBUTION DUE TO ALL FICTITIOUS J SEQUENCES AND ADD THE CONTRIBUTION OF ALL PHYSICALLY POSSIBLE J SEQUENCES (AS DESCRIBED ABOVE).

WARNING (LET THE USER BEWARE)

- (A) IT CANNOT BE STRESSED ENOUGH THAT CROSS SECTIONS OBTAINED USING PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE J VALUES FOR REICH-MOORE AND MULTI-LEVEL BREIT-WIGNER RESONANCE PARAMETERS WILL RESULT IN UNRELIABLE CROSS SECTIONS. THE DECISION TO HAVE THIS PROGRAM CONTINUE TO PROCESS WHEN THIS CONDITION IS FOUND IS BASED ON AN ATTEMPT TO ALLOW THE USER TO AT LEAST HAVE SOME RESULTS (HOWEVER BAD THEY MAY BE) IF THERE IS NO OTHER EVALUATED DATA AVAILABLE.
- (B) EVEN THOUGH THE REICH-MOORE AND MULTI-LEVEL EQUATIONS ARE DEFINED AS ABSOLUTE OR SQUARED CONTRIBUTIONS WHICH MUST ALL BE PHYSICALLY POSSIBLE, ATTEMPTING TO CORRECT THE POTENTIAL CROSS SECTION (AS DESCRIBED ABOVE) CAN LEAD TO NEGATIVE ELASTIC CROSS SECTIONS. THIS IS BECAUSE BASED ON THE INFORMATION AVAILABLE IN THE EVALUATION IT IS NOT NOT POSSIBLE TO CORRECTLY ACCOUNT FOR THE INTERFERENCE BETWEEN THE RESONANCE AND POTENTIAL CONTRIBUTIONS FOR EACH J SEQUENCE.

UNRESOLVED RESONANCE REGION

IN THE UNRESOLVED RESONANCE REGION THE UNRESOLVED PARAMETERS ARE USED TO CALCULATE INFINITELY DILUTE AVERAGE CROSS SECTIONS. NOTE, IT IS IMPORTANT TO UNDERSTAND THAT FROM THE DEFINITION OF THE UNRESOLVED PARAMETERS IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO UNIQUELY CALCULATE ENERGY DEPENDENT CROSS SECTIONS. ONLY AVERAGES OR DISTRIBUTIONS MAY BE CALCULATED.

UNRESOLVED INTERPOLATION

IN THE UNRESOLVED RESONANCE REGION CROSS SECTIONS AT EACH ENERGY ARE CALCULATED BY INTERPOLATING PARAMETERS. THIS IS THE CONVENTION USED IN ENDF/B-IV AND EARLIER VERSIONS OF ENDF/B. THE ENDF/B-V CONVENTION OF INTERPOLATING CROSS SECTIONS, NOT PARAMETERS, HAS BEEN ABANDONED AS IMPRACTICAL SINCE IT CAN LEAD TO THE SITUATION WHERE EXACTLY THE SAME PHYSICAL DATA CAN LEAD TO DIFFERENT RESULTS DEPENDING ON WHICH OF THE THREE ENDF/B UNRESOLVED PARAMETER FORMATS IS USED. FOR EXAMPLE, GIVEN A SET OF ENERGY INDEPENDENT UNRESOLVED PARAMETERS IT IS POSSIBLE TO CODE THESE PARAMETERS IN EACH OF THE THREE ENDF/B UNRESOLVED PARAMETER FORMATS. SINCE PHYSICALLY WE ONLY HAVE ONE SET OF PARAMETERS WE WOULD EXPECT THE RESULTS TO BE INDEPENDENT OF HOW THEY ARE REPRESENTED IN ENDF/B. UNFORTUNATELY USING THE ENDF/B-V CONVENTION TO INTERPOLATE CROSS SECTIONS CAN LEAD TO THREE COMPLETELY DIFFERENT RESULTS. IN CONTRAST USING THE ENDF/B-IV AND EARLIER CONVENTION OF INTERPOLATING PARAMETERS LEADS TO COMPLETELY CONSISTENT RESULTS.

INTERNAL REPRESENTATION OF UNRESOLVED PARAMETERS

ANY OF THE THREE POSSIBLE REPRESENTATIONS OF UNRESOLVED PARAMETERS CAN BE UNIQUELY REPRESENTED IN THE ALL PARAMETERS ENERGY DEPENDENT REPRESENTATIONS WITH THE APPROPRIATE (ENDF/B VERSION DEPENDENT) INTERPOLATION LAW. THIS IS DONE BY THE PROGRAM WHILE READING THE UNRESOLVED PARAMETERS AND ALL SUBSEQUENT CALCULATIONS NEED ONLY CONSIDER THE ALL PARAMETERS ENERGY DEPENDENT REPRESENTATION.

RESONANCE RECONSTRUCTION STARTING ENERGY GRID

AS IN ANY ITERATIVE METHOD THE WAY TO SPEED CONVERGENCE IS TO TRY TO START CLOSE TO THE ANSWER. THIS PROGRAM ATTEMPTS TO DO THIS BY STARTING FROM AN ENERGY GRID WHICH IS A GOOD APPROXIMATION TO A SIMPLE BREIT-WIGNER LINE SHAPE,

SIGMA(X)=1.0/(1.0+X*X)	Recent
	Recent
WHERE X IS THE DISTANCE FROM THE PEAK IN HALF-WIDTHS	Recent
	Recent
SUBROUTINE SUBINT HAS A BUILT-IN TABLE OF NODES WHICH ARE THE	Recent
HALF-WIDTH MULTIPLES TO APPROXIMATE THE SIMPLE BREIT-LINE SHAPE	Recent
TO WITHIN 1 PER-CENT OVER THE ENTIRE INTERVAL 0 TO 500 HALF-WIDTHS	Recent
	Recent
BETWEEN ANY TWO RESOLVED RESONANCES THE STARTING GRID IS BASED ON	Recent
THE HALF-WIDTHS OF THE TWO RESONANCES. FROM THE LOWER ENERGY	Recent
RESONANCE UP TO THE MID-POINT BETWEEN THE RESONANCES (MID-POINT	Recent
IS DEFINED HERE AS AN EQUAL NUMBER OF HALF-WIDTHS FROM EACH	Recent
RESONANCE) THE HALF-WIDTH OF THE LOWER ENERGY RESONANCE IS USED.	Recent
FROM THE MID-POINT UP TO THE HIGHER ENERGY RESONANCE THE HALF-	Recent
WIDTH OF THE UPPER ENERGY RESONANCE IS USED.	Recent
	Recent
WITH THIS ALOGORITHM CLOSELY SPACED RESONANCES WILL HAVE ONLY	Recent
A FEW STARTING NODES PER RESONANCE (E.G. U-235). WIDELY SPACED	Recent
RESONANCES WILL HAVE MORE NODES PER RESONANCE (E.G. U-238). FOR	Recent
A MIX OF S, P, D ETC. RESONANCES THIS ALOGORITHM GUARANTEES AN	Recent
ADEQUATE DESCRIPTION OF THE PROFILE OF EVEN EXTREMELY NARROW	Recent
RESONANCES (WHICH MAY IMMEDIATELY CONVERGENCE TO THE ACCURACY	Recent
REQUESTED, THUS MINIMIZING ITERATION).	Recent
	Recent
BACKGROUND CROSS SECTIONS	Recent
-----	Recent
THE PROGRAM WILL SEARCH FOR BACKGROUND CROSS SECTIONS FOR TOTAL	Recent
(MT=1), ELASTIC (MT=2), FISSION (MT=18), FIRST CHANCE FISSION	Recent
(MT=19) AND CAPTURE (MT=102).	Recent
	Recent
(1) THE BACKGROUND CROSS SECTIONS (FILE 3) CAN BE PRESENT OR NOT	Recent
PRESENT FOR EACH REACTION.	Recent
(2) IF FOR A GIVEN REACTION THE BACKGROUND CROSS SECTION IS	Recent
PRESENT, IT WILL BE ADDED TO THE RESONANCE CONTRIBUTION AND	Recent
THE RESULT WILL BE OUTPUT.	Recent
(3) IF FOR A GIVEN REACTION THE BACKGROUND IS NOT PRESENT THE	Recent
PROGRAM WILL,	Recent
(A) IF THE INPUT TO THE PROGRAM SPECIFIES NO OUTPUT FOR	Recent
REACTIONS WITH NO BACKGROUND THERE WILL BE NO OUTPUT.	Recent
(B) IF THE INPUT TO THE PROGRAM SPECIFIES OUTPUT FOR REACTIONS	Recent
WITH NO BACKGROUND,	Recent
(I) THE RESONANCE CONTRIBUTION TO TOTAL, ELASTIC OR	Recent
CAPTURE WILL BE OUTPUT.	Recent
(II) IF ALL FISSION RESONANCE PARAMETERS ARE ZERO THE	Recent
FISSION CROSS SECTION (MT=18) WILL NOT BE OUTPUT.	Recent
OTHERWISE THE RESONANCE CONTRIBUTION OF THE FISSION	Recent
(MT=18) WILL BE OUTPUT.	Recent
(III) THERE WILL BE NO OUTPUT FOR FIRST CHANCE FISSION	Recent
(MT=19).	Recent
	Recent
COMBINING RESONANCES AND BACKGROUND CROSS SECTIONS	Recent
-----	Recent
IN ORDER TO BE COMBINED WITH THE RESONANCE CONTRIBUTION THE	Recent
BACKGROUND CROSS SECTIONS MUST BE GIVEN AT 0 KELVIN TEMPERATURE	Recent
AND MUST BE LINEARLY INTERPOLABLE. IF THESE CONDITIONS ARE MET	Recent
THE RESONANCE AND BACKGROUND CONTRIBUTIONS WILL BE ADDED TOGETHER	Recent
AND OUTPUT. IF THESE CONDITIONS ARE NOT MET THE BACKGROUND CROSS	Recent
SECTION WILL BE IGNORED AND ONLY THE RESONANCE CONTRIBUTION WILL	Recent
BE OUTPUT. IF THE BACKGROUND HAS NOT BEEN ADDED TO THE RESONANCE	Recent
CONTRIBUTION AFTER THIS PROGRAM FINISHES THE USER CAN MAKE THE	Recent
RESONANCE AND BACKGROUND CONTRIBUTIONS COMPATIBLE BY,	Recent
	Recent
(1) IF THE BACKGROUND IS NOT LINEARLY INTERPOABLE, LINEARIZE THE	Recent
BACKGROUND (E.G., USE PROGRAM LINEAR).	Recent
(2) IF THE BACKGROUND IS NOT GIVEN AT 0 KELVIN, DOPPLER BROADEN	Recent
THE RESONANCE (NOT BACKGROUND) CONTRIBUTION TO THE SAME	Recent
TEMPERATURE AS THE BACKGROUND (E.G., USE PROGRAM SIGMA1).	Recent
	Recent
ONCE THE RESONANCE AND BACKGROUND CONTRIBUTIONS HAVE BEEN MADE	Recent
COMPATIBLE THEY CAN BE ADDED TOGETHER (E.G., USE PROGRAM MIXER).	Recent
	Recent



THE RECONSTRUCTION OF THE RESONANCE CONTRIBUTION TO THE CROSS	Recent
SECTION CAN BE QUITE EXPENSIVE (IN TERMS OF COMPUTER TIME). SINCE	Recent
THE RECONSTRUCTION IS PERFORMED BEFORE THE BACKGROUND CROSS	Recent
SECTIONS ARE READ, THE ABOVE CONVENTIONS HAVE BEEN ADOPTED IN	Recent
ORDER TO AVOID LOSE OF COMPUTER TIME INVOLVED IN RECONSTRUCTING	Recent
THE RESONANCE CONTRIBUTION.	Recent
COMMON ENERGY GRID	Recent
-----	Recent
THIS PROGRAM WILL RECONSTRUCT THE RESONANCE CONTRIBUTION TO THE	Recent
TOTAL, ELASTIC, FISSION AND CAPTURE CROSS SECTIONS ALL ON THE	Recent
SAME ENERGY GRID. EACH REACTION WILL THEN BE COMBINED WITH ITS	Recent
BACKGROUND CROSS SECTION (IF ANY) AND OUTPUT WITHOUT ANY FURTHER	Recent
THINNING. IF THERE ARE NO BACKGROUND CROSS SECTIONS, OR IF THE	Recent
BACKGROUND CROSS SECTION FOR ALL FOUR REACTIONS ARE GIVEN ON A	Recent
COMMON ENERGY GRID, THE OUTPUT FROM THIS PROGRAM WILL BE ON A	Recent
COMMON ENERGY GRID FOR ALL FOUR REACTIONS.	Recent
THERMAL ENERGY	Recent
-----	Recent
IF THE RESONANCE REGION SPANS THERMAL ENERGY (0.0253 EV) THIS	Recent
POINT IS ALWAYS INCLUDED IN THE COMMON ENERGY GRID USED FOR ALL	Recent
REACTIONS AND WILL ALWAYS APPEAR IN THE OUTPUT DATA.	Recent
SECTION SIZE	Recent
-----	Recent
SINCE THIS PROGRAM USES A LOGICAL PAGING SYSTEM THERE IS NO LIMIT	Recent
TO THE NUMBER OF POINTS IN ANY SECTION, E.G., THE TOTAL CROSS	Recent
SECTION MAY BE REPRESENTED BY 200,000 DATA POINTS.	Recent
SELECTION OF DATA	Recent
-----	Recent
THE PROGRAM SELECTS MATERIALS TO BE PROCESSED BASED EITHER ON	Recent
MAT (ENDF/B MAT NO.) OR ZA. THE PROGRAM ALLOWS UP TO 100 MAT OR	Recent
ZA RANGES TO BE SPECIFIED. THE PROGRAM WILL ASSUME THAT THE	Recent
ENDF/B TAPE IS IN EITHER MAT OR ZA ORDER, WHICHEVER CRITERIA IS	Recent
USED TO SELECT MATERIALS, AND WILL TERMINATE WHEN A MAT OR ZA	Recent
IS FOUND THAT IS ABOVE THE RANGE OF ALL REQUESTS.	Recent
ALLOWABLE ERROR	Recent
-----	Recent
THE RECONSTRUCTION OF LINEARLY INTERPOLABLE CROSS SECTIONS FROM	Recent
RESONANCE PARAMETERS CANNOT BE PERFORMED EXACTLY. HOWEVER IT CAN	Recent
BE PERFORMED TO VIRTUALLY ANY REQUIRED ACCURACY AND MOST	Recent
IMPORTANTLY CAN BE PERFORMED TO A TOLERANCE THAT IS SMALL COMPARED	Recent
TO THE UNCERTAINTY IN THE CROSS SECTIONS THEMSELVES. AS SUCH THE	Recent
CONVERSION OF CROSS SECTIONS TO LINEARLY INTERPOLABLE FORM CAN BE	Recent
PERFORMED WITH ESSENTIALLY NO LOSS OF INFORMATION.	Recent
THE ALLOWABLE ERROR MAY BE ENERGY INDEPENDENT (CONSTANT) OR ENERGY	Recent
DEPENDENT. THE ALLOWABLE ERROR IS DESCRIBED BY A TABULATED	Recent
FUNCTION OF UP TO 20 (ENERGY,ERROR) PAIRS AND LINEAR INTERPOLATION	Recent
BETWEEN TABULATED POINTS. IF ONLY ONE TABULATED POINT IS GIVEN THE	Recent
ERROR WILL BE CONSIDERED CONSTANT OVER THE ENTIRE ENERGY RANGE.	Recent
WITH THIS ENERGY DEPENDENT ERROR ONE MAY OPTIMIZE THE OUTPUT FOR	Recent
ANY GIVEN APPLICATION BY USING A SMALL ERROR IN THE ENERGY RANGE	Recent
OF INTEREST AND A LESS STRINGENT ERROR IN OTHER ENERGY RANGES,	Recent
E.G., 0.1 PER-CENT FROM 0 UP TO THE LOW EV RANGE AND A LESS	Recent
STRINGENT TOLERANCE AT HIGHER ENERGIES.	Recent
DEFAULT ALLOWABLE ERROR	Recent
-----	Recent
IN ORDER TO INSURE CONVERENCE OF THE RESONANCE RECONSTRUCTION THE	Recent
ALLOWABLE ERROR MUST BE POSITIVE. IF THE USER INPUTS AN ERROR FOR	Recent
RESONANCE RECONSTRUCTION THAT IS NOT POSITIVE IT WILL BE SET TO	Recent
THE DEFAULT VALUE (CURRENTLY 0.1 PER-CENT) AND INDICATED AS SUCH	Recent
IN THE OUTPUT LISTING.	Recent
INTERVAL HALVING ALGORITHM	Recent
-----	Recent
THIS PROGRAM WILL START BY CALCULATING THE CROSS SECTIONS AT THE	Recent

ENERGIES CORRESPONDING TO THE PEAK OF EACH RESONANCE, AS WELL AS	Recent
A FIXED NUMBER OF HALF-WIDTHS ON EACH SIDE OF EACH RESONANCE.	Recent
STARTING FROM THIS BASIC GRID OF POINTS THE PROGRAM WILL CONTINUE	Recent
TO HALF EACH INTERVAL UNTIL THE CROSS SECTIONS FOR ALL REACTIONS	Recent
AT THE CENTER OF THE INTERVAL CAN BE DEFINED BY LINEAR	Recent
INTERPOLATION FROM THE ENDS OF THE INTERVAL TO WITHIN THE USER	Recent
SPECIFIED ACCURACY CRITERIA.	Recent
DISTANT RESONANCE TREATMENT	Recent
-----	Recent
THE OPTION TO TREAT DISTANT RESONANCES, WHICH WAS AVAILABLE IN	Recent
EARLIER VERSIONS OF THIS PROGRAM, IS NO LONGER AVAILABLE, BECAUSE	Recent
IT WAS FOUND TO PRODUCE UNRELIABLE RESULTS. IN THIS VERSION OF	Recent
THE PROGRAM ALL RESONANCES ARE TREATED EXACTLY.	Recent
PROGRAM OPERATION	Recent
=====	Recent
EDIT MODE	Recent
-----	Recent
IT IS SUGGESTED THAT BEFORE RUNNING THIS PROGRAM TO RECONSTRUCT	Recent
CROSS SECTIONS FROM RESONANCE PARAMETERS (WHICH CAN BE QUITE	Recent
EXPENSIVE) THE USER FIRST RUN THE PROGRAM IN THE EDIT MODE (SEE,	Recent
DESCRIPTION OF INPUT PARAMETERS BELOW). IN THE EDIT MODE THE	Recent
PROGRAM WILL READ, LIST AND EXTENSIVELY CHECK THE CONSISTENCY OF	Recent
ALL RESONANCE PARAMETERS AND ENDF/B DEFINED RESONANCE FLAGS. THIS	Recent
IS A VERY INEXPENSIVE MEANS OF CHECKING ALL DATA BEFORE INVESTING	Recent
A LARGE AMOUNT OF MONEY IN RECONSTRUCTING CROSS SECTIONS. ANY AND	Recent
ALL DIGNOSTICS RECEIVED FROM THE EDIT WILL SUGGEST HOW TO CORRECT	Recent
THE EVALUATED DATA TO MAKE IT CONSISTENT BEFORE RECONSTRUCTING	Recent
CROSS SECTIONS. IN ORDER TO OBTAIN MEANINGFUL RESULTS FROM THE	Recent
RECONSTRUCTION ALL SUGGESTED CHANGES TO THE EVALUATION SHOULD BE	Recent
PERFORMED BEFORE TRYING RECONSTRUCTION (OTHERWISE THE RESULT OF	Recent
RECONSTRUCTION WILL NOT BE RELIABLE).	Recent
RECONSTRUCTION MODE	Recent
-----	Recent
FOR EACH REQUESTED MATERIAL	Recent
-----	Recent
IF SECTION MF=1, MT=451 IS PRESENT COMMENTS WILL BE ADD TO	Recent
DOCUMENT THAT THE MATERIAL HAS BEEN PROCESSED. MF=1, MT=451 WILL	Recent
ALSO BE USED TO DETERMINE THE VERSION OF THE ENDF/B FORMAT WHICH	Recent
WILL ALLOW THE PROGRAM TO USE THE APPROPRIATE CONVENTIONS.	Recent
ALL OF THE FILE 2 RESONANCE PARAMETERS ARE FIRST READ AND THE	Recent
LINEARLY INTERPOLABLE CONTRIBUTION OF THE RESONANCE PARAMETERS	Recent
TO THE TOTAL, ELASTIC, CAPTURE AND FISSION CROSS SECTIONS IS	Recent
CALCULATED SIMULTANEOUSLY USING A COMMON ENERGY GRID FOR ALL	Recent
FOUR REACTIONS.	Recent
AFTER THE RESONANCE CONTRIBUTION HAS BEEN RECONSTRUCTED EACH OF	Recent
THE FIVE REACTIONS (MT=1, 2, 18, 19, 102) IS CONSIDERED SEPARATELY	Recent
FOR COMBINATION WILL THE BACKGROUND CROSS SECTION, IF ANY, AS	Recent
DESCRIBED ABOVE.	Recent
OUTPUT WILL INCLUDE THE ENTIRE EVALUATION, INCLUDING RESONANCES	Recent
PARAMETERS WITH LRU MODIFIED (AS DESCRIBED ABOVE) TO INDICATE	Recent
THAT THE RESONANCE CONTRIBUTION HAS ALREADY BEEN ADDED TO THE	Recent
FILE 3 CROSS SECTIONS.	Recent
THE CYCLE OF RECONSTRUCTING THE RESONANCE CONTRIBUTION AND ADDING	Recent
THE BACKGROUND WILL BE REPEATED FOR EACH MATERIAL REQUESTED.	Recent
-----2016/3/10 - This option is no longer allowed - today's computers	Recent
are so mjuch faster that this option is no longer	Recent
needed.	Recent
PROCESS ONLY A PORTION OF RESONANCE REGION	Recent
=====	Recent
MODERN EVALUATIONS MAY BE EXTREMELY LARGE AND IT MAY NOT BE	Recent
POSSIBLE TO PROCESS AN ENTIRE EVALUATION (I.E., ADD THE RESONANCE	Recent
CONTRIBUTION) DURING A SINGLE COMPUTER RUN.	Recent





			= 2 - 9 DIGIT MINIMUM ENERGY SPACING.	Recent
			VARIABLE 9 DIGIT F FORMAT OUTPUT.	Recent
			FROM EXPERIENCE IT HAS BEEN FOUND THAT	Recent
			FAILURE TO SET THIS OPTION TO 2 CAN RESULT	Recent
			IN LARGE ERRORS IN THE FINAL DATA. THEREFORE	Recent
			INTERNALLY THIS OPTION IS SET TO 2.	Recent
34-44	I11		OPERATING MODE	Recent
			= 0 - CACULATE. MINIMUM OUTPUT LISTING	Recent
			= 1 - CACULATE. LIST ALL RESONANCE PARAMETERS	Recent
			= 2 - EDIT MODE. NO CALCULATION. LIST ALL	Recent
			RESONANCE PARAMETERS.	Recent
			NOTE, THE EDIT MODE (=2) IS THE SUGGESTED	Recent
			MODE TO FIRST TEST THE CONSISTENCY OF THE	Recent
			EVALUATED DATA, BEFORE RECONSTRUCTING CROSS	Recent
			SECTIONS (SEE, COMMENTS ABOVE).	Recent
45-55	I11		NEGATIVE CROSS SECTIOIN TREATMENT	Recent
			= 0 - O.K. - NO CHANGE	Recent
			= 1 - SET = 0	Recent
56-66	I11		MONITOR MODE SELECTOR	Recent
			= 0 - NORMAL OPERATION	Recent
			= 1 - MONITOR PROGRESS OF RECONSTRUCTION OF	Recent
			FILE 2 DATA AND COMBINING FILE 2 AND	Recent
			FILE 3 DATA. EACH TIME A PAGE OF DATA	Recent
			POINTS IS WRITTEN TO A SCRATCH FILE	Recent
			PRINT OUT THE TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS	Recent
			ON SCRATCH AND THE LOWER AND UPPER	Recent
			ENERGY LIMITS OF THE PAGE (THIS OPTION	Recent
			MAY BE USED IN ORDER TO MONITOR THE	Recent
			EXECUTION SPEED OF LONG RUNNING JOBS).	Recent
2	1-72	A72	ENDF/B INPUT DATA FILENAME	Recent
			(STANDARD OPTION = ENDFB.IN)	Recent
3	1-72	A72	ENDF/B OUTPUT DATA FILENAME	Recent
			(STANDARD OPTION = ENDFB.OUT)	Recent
4-N	1-11	I11	MINIMUM MAT OR ZA (SEE COLS. 1-11, LINE 1)	Recent
	12-22	I11	MAXIMUM MAT OR ZA (SEE COLS. 1-11, LINE 1)	Recent
			UP TO 100 MAT OR ZA RANGES MAY BE SPECIFIED,	Recent
			ONE RANGE PER LINE. THE LIST IS TERMINATED	Recent
			BY A BLANK LINE. IF THE THE UPPER LIMIT OF	Recent
			ANY REQUEST IS LESS THAN THE LOWER LIMIT THE	Recent
			UPPER LIMIT WILL BE SET EQUAL TO THE LOWER	Recent
			LIMIT. IF THE FIRST REQUEST LINE IS BLANK IT	Recent
			WILL TERMINATE THE REQUEST LIST AND CAUSE ALL	Recent
			DATA TO BE RETRIEVED (SEE EXAMPLE INPUT).	Recent
----- 2016/3/10 - Partial Processing no longer allowed.				Recent
			If these fields are not blank the code will STOP	Recent
			with a WARNING that this is no longer allowed.	Recent
23-33	E11.4		LOWER ENERGY LIMIT FOR PROCESSING.	Recent
34-44	E11.4		UPPER ENERGY LIMIT FOR PROCESSING.	Recent
			*THE LOWER AND UPPER ENERGY LIMITS MUST BE	Recent
			ZERO, OR BLANK, UNLESS YOU WISH TO ONLY	Recent
			PROCESS A PORTION OF RESONANCE REGIONS.	Recent
			*THESE ENERGY LIMITS ARE ONLY READ FROM THE	Recent
			FIRST MAT/ZA REQUEST LINE	Recent
			*IF BOTH ARE ZERO (OR BLANK) THE ENTIRE	Recent
			RESONANCE REGION FOR EACH MATERIAL WILL BE	Recent
			PROCESSED	Recent
			*IF LIMITS ARE INPUT ONLY THAT PORTION OF THE	Recent
			RESONANCE REGION FOR EACH MATERIAL WHICH	Recent
			LIES BETWEEN THESE LIMITS WILL BE PROCESSED	Recent
			*SEE INSTRUCTIONS ABOVE BEFORE USING THIS	Recent
			OPTION.	Recent
----- 2016/3/10 - Partial Processing no longer allowed.				Recent
VARY	1-11	E11.4	ENERGY FOR FILE 2 ERROR LAW ( SEE )	Recent
	12-22	E11.4	ERROR FOR FILE 2 ERROR LAW (COMMENTS)	Recent
			( BELOW )	Recent
				Recent
NOTE, THIS VERSION OF THE PROGRAM DOES NOT THIN THE COMBINED FILE				Recent
FILE 2 + 3 DATA. AS SUCH THE ERROR LAW FOR COMBINING FILE 2 + 3				Recent
WHICH WAS REQUIRED IN EARLIER VERSIONS OF THIS CODE ARE NO LONGER				Recent
REQUIRED.				Recent
				Recent

THE FILE 2 ERROR LAW MAY BE ENERGY INDEPENDENT (DEFINED BY A SINGLE ERROR) OR ENERGY DEPENDENT (DEFINED BY UP TO 20 ENERGY, ERROR PAIRS). FOR THE ENERGY DEPENDENT CASE LINEAR INTERPOLATION WILL BE USED TO DEFINE THE ERROR AT ENERGIES BETWEEN THOSE AT WHICH THE ERROR IS TABULATED. THE ERROR LAW IS TERMINATED BY A BLANK LINE. IF ONLY ONE ENERGY, ERROR PAIR IS GIVEN THE LAW WILL BE CONSIDERED TO BE ENERGY INDEPENDENT. IF MORE THAN ONE PAIR IS GIVEN IT BE CONSIDERED TO BE ENERGY DEPENDENT (NOTE, THAT FOR A CONSTANT ERROR THE ENERGY INDEPENDENT FORM WILL RUN FASTER. HOWEVER, FOR SPECIFIC APPLICATIONS AN ENERGY DEPENDENT ERROR MAY BY USED TO MAKE THE PROGRAM RUN CONSIDERABLE FASTER).

ALL ENERGIES MUST BE IN ASCENDING ENERGY ORDER. FOR CONVERGENCE OF THE FILE 2 RECONSTRUCTION ALGORITHM ALL THE ERRORS MUST BE POSITIVE. IF ERROR IS NOT POSITIVE IT WILL BE SET EQUAL TO THE STANDARD OPTION (CURRENTLY 0.001, CORRESPONDING TO 0.1 PER-CENT). IF THE FIRST LINE OF THE ERROR LAW IS BLANK IT WILL TERMINATE THE ERROR LAW AND THE ERROR WILL BE TREATED AS ENERGY INDEPENDENT, EQUAL TO THE STANDARD OPTION (CURRENTLY, 0.1 PER-CENT). SEE, EXAMPLE INPUT 4.

EXAMPLE INPUT NO. 1  
-----

CONSIDER ALL URANIUM ISOTOPES AND TH-232. CONSIDER CROSS SECTIONS WHICH ARE LARGER THAN  $1.0E-8$  BARNS IN ABSOLUTE VALUE. ONLY OUTPUT REACTIONS FOR WHICH A BACKGROUND IS GIVEN. LIST ALL PARAMETERS AND CALCULATE CROSS SECTIONS. MONITOR THE EXECUTION PROGRESS OF THE PROGRAM. BETWEEN 0 AND 100 EV USE 0.1 PER-CENT ACCURACY. BETWEEN 100 EV AND 1 KEV VARY THE ACCURACY FROM 0.1 TO 1 PER-CENT. ABOVE 1 KEV USE 1 PER-CENT ACCURACY.

EXPLICITLY SPECIFY THE STANDARD FILENAMES.

THE FOLLOWING 11 INPUT CARDS ARE REQUIRED.

1	1.00000-08	0	1	0	1
---	------------	---	---	---	---

ENDFB.IN  
ENDFB.OUT  
92000 92999  
90232 (UPPER LIMIT AUTOMATICALLY SET TO 90232)  
(END REQUEST LIST)

0.00000+	0	1.00000-03
1.00000+	02	1.00000-03
1.00000+	03	1.00000-02
1.00000+	09	1.00000-02

(END FILE 2 ERROR LAW)

EXAMPLE INPUT NO. 2  
-----

CONSIDER ALL URANIUM ISOTOPES AND TH-232. CONSIDER CROSS SECTIONS WHICH ARE LARGER THAN  $1.0E-8$  BARNS IN ABSOLUTE VALUE. ONLY OUTPUT REACTIONS FOR WHICH A BACKGROUND IS GIVEN. CROSS SECTIONS WILL BE CALCULATED, BUT PARAMETERS WILL NOT BE LISTED. THE PROGRESS OF THE PROGRAM WILL NOT BE MONITORED. USE 0.1 PER-CENT ACCURACY FOR ALL ENERGIES. SINCE 0.1 PER-CENT IS THE STANDARD OPTION FOR THE ERROR LAW THE FIRST ERROR LAW LINE MAY BE LEFT BLANK.

LEAVE THE DEFINITION OF THE FILENAMES BLANK - THE PROGRAM WILL THEN USE THE STANDARD FILENAMES.

THE FOLLOWING 7 INPUT CARDS ARE REQUIRED.

1	1.00000-08	0	0	0	0
---	------------	---	---	---	---

92000 92999  
90232 (UPPER LIMIT AUTOMATICALLY SET TO 90232)  
(END REQUEST LIST)  
(USE STANDARD OPTION FOR ERROR LAW)

EXAMPLE INPUT NO. 3

