



---

**INDC**

---

**INTERNATIONAL NUCLEAR DATA COMMITTEE**

---

Preparation of Nuclear Data for Detailed Calculation of the Neutron

Spectrum in Fast Systems

V.V. Vozyakov, A.I. Voropaev, Kh.Sh. Abdullaev, M.F. Vorotyntsev,  
A.A. Van'kov, A.S. Krivtsov and V.A. Pivovarov

(Extract translation of article published in Volume 27  
of Nuclear Constants (1977) distributed by the IAEA as  
INDC(CCP)-119/G)

August 1978

Reproduced by the IAEA in Austria  
August 1978

78-07014

Preparation of Nuclear Data for Detailed Calculation of the Neutron  
Spectrum in Fast Systems

V.V. Vozyakov, A.I. Voropaev, Kh.Sh. Abdullaev, M.F. Vorotyntsev,  
A.A. Van'kov, A.S. Krivtsov and V.A. Pivovarov

(Extract translation of article published in Volume 27  
of Nuclear Constants (1977) distributed by the IAEA as  
INDC(CCP)-119/G)

August 1978



78-4544

Translated from Russian

UDC 621.039.51.12

PREPARATION OF NUCLEAR DATA FOR DETAILED CALCULATION OF THE NEUTRON  
SPECTRUM IN FAST SYSTEMS

V.V. Vozyakov, A.I. Voropaev, Kh.Sh. Abdullaev, M.F. Vorotyntsev,  
A.A. Van'kov, A.S. Krivtsov and V.A. Pivovarov

ABSTRACT

Various evaluations of nuclear data which are important in fast reactor calculations have been compared. The nuclear data tape which forms the basis of the calculation of detailed neutron and other physical characteristics of fast systems is described. The aim of these calculations is to compare the data with experimental results, to check the approximations used in the preparation of constants and to gain experience in the production and use of an evaluated nuclear data library.

In this paper we compare various evaluations of nuclear data for materials which are important in fast reactor calculations. The data are taken from the UKNDL (United Kingdom), KEDAK (Federal Republic of Germany) and ENDL (United States) libraries and evaluations produced in the USSR (Tables 1 and 2) [12-17].

Tables 3-14 list the group average capture and fission cross-sections for  $^{235}\text{U}$ ,  $^{238}\text{U}$ ,  $^{239}\text{Pu}$ ,  $^{240}\text{Pu}$ ,  $^{241}\text{Pu}$ , Fe, Ni, Cr and Na calculated by means of the GRUKON and SPRUT programs [18, 19]. Tables 5-18 show the resonance self-shielding coefficients.

It has been assumed in the calculations that the intragroup spectrum corresponds to the Fermi form for energies  $E < 2.5$  MeV and to a fission spectrum at high energies. The energy-range divisions correspond to those of the Bondarenko system of constants. A linear interpolation on a doubly logarithmic scale has been used for the cross-sections.

The tables also show the cross-sections corresponding to the ENDF/BIV evaluations. These were obtained by recalculating the self-shielded cross-sections derived at ANL for standard reactor conditions [1, 2]. The recalculation was based on evaluations from the BNAB-70 system of constants, the dilution cross-sections  $\sigma_0$  and the resonance self-shielding coefficients  $f$ .

The numbers in the "working tape" columns of Tables 3-14 correspond to the nuclear data which have been used to form the intermediate library. This library forms the basis of an improved program for the detailed neutron spectrum in fast systems [3, 4]. The calculations are of interest for comparison with results of spectrometry measurements and for checking the various approximations used to prepare constants in reactor calculations.

The raw nuclear data on which the library is based are shown in Table 1. The library is recorded on two magnetic tapes. These contain point values of the cross-sections  $\sigma_t$ ,  $\sigma_a$ ,  $\sigma_S$ ,  $\sigma_{in}$ ,  $\sigma_f$  and  $\sigma_c$ , for the elements listed in Table 1, auxiliary arrays for  $E_i$ ,  $\Delta u_i$  and  $\exp(\Delta u_i/2)$  and also the information required for calculating elastic scattering cross-sections at the points  $u-r_j$  ( $r_j$  is the mean logarithmic energy loss for elastic scattering of the  $j$ -th isotope). The working tapes also contain the inelastic transition matrices from the 70-group JAERI system of constants, normalized by the accepted inelastic scattering cross-sections, the  $^{238}\text{U}$  self-shielding coefficients in a sub-group representation in the unresolved resonance region and the Legendre-polynomial expansion coefficients of the angular distribution. The total amount of information on the tapes is  $\sim 2.5 \times 10^6$  numbers.

A single energy grid ( $\sim 12\,500$  non-uniformly distributed data points between 10 MeV and 10 eV) is used for all the isotopes and makes it possible to retain a local description of the resonance characteristics of the cross-sections which is within 0.2% of the values in the raw files. It is clear from Table 2 that the number of data points per decade on the working tape is a factor of 2-3 greater than the number of points for the isotopes which are described in the greatest detail on the raw files.

The authors have carried out a number of test calculations to estimate the error in the detailed neutron spectrum and the group cross-sections averaged over this spectrum and to determine how these errors depend on the assumed cross-section interpolation law, the choice of the integration formulae, the density of the energy grid and so on [5]. It was found that the selected energy grid enables the error in the group constant calculation to be kept to  $\sim 0.5\%$ .

From the data in Tables 3-18, it is possible to derive certain conclusions about the reliability of the accepted nuclear data and also to get an idea of the differences between the BNAB-70 system of constants (which is widely used in calculations) and more recent evaluations.

$^{238}\text{U}$  (see Tables 3 and 15). The UKNDL (1972 evaluation) capture cross-section values are 10-15% higher in the energy range 2-0.1 MeV and 20% lower in the range 1-0.2 keV than the more recent ENDF/BIV and BNAB-Eh evaluations. The large difference in groups 19 and 20 between the ENDF/BIV cross-sections (obtained by recalculating the self-shielded cross-sections for standard reactor conditions) and the other evaluations indicates that in the calculation of fast systems one should not use the common procedure of allowing for the resonance self-shielding in terms of the "dilution" cross-section in the low-energy region, where there is a pronounced "skew" in the collision density. There is good agreement between the UKNDL and BNAB-Eh resonance self-shielding coefficients of the capture cross-section in the resolved resonance region (see Table 15), although the difference between the average cross-sections in this region is 10-15%. This is due to the fact that the self-shielding coefficients are considerably less sensitive (by a factor of 2-3) to the set of resonance parameters than to the average cross-sections [6].

$^{235}\text{U}$  (see Tables 4 and 5). In general, the UKNDL fission cross-section agrees well with the evaluation by Kon'shin and Nikolaev. The exception is the energy range 20-5 keV (groups 10 and 11), where the difference is as much as 10%. The last column in Table 4 gives the ENDF/BV evaluation, which is based on the latest experimental data [7]. Although the difference between these data and the other evaluations is small, it has to be remembered that the  $^{235}\text{U}$  fission cross-section is often used as a reference value. There is considerable scatter in the evaluations of the  $^{235}\text{U}$  capture cross-section in the region below 100 keV. For a typical fast reactor composition, 18% of the captures in  $^{235}\text{U}$  and  $^{239}\text{Pu}$  are associated with this region.

$^{239}\text{Pu}$  (see Tables 6, 7 and 18). In the energy region above 1 keV (groups above 15), the UKNDL evaluations of the fission cross-section are within 5% of later evaluations; groups 13 and 14 form an exception. In fast reactor compositions, ~90% of the  $^{239}\text{Pu}$  fissions are associated with the 1 keV-1 MeV region and so the large scatter in the region <1keV does not have a very pronounced effect on the reactor characteristics. There is a large difference between the ENDF/BIV evaluations of the radiative capture cross-section and the Kon'shin and Nikolaev data below 100 keV (9 groups). This should lead to a difference of ~0.1 in the breeding ratio of a large breeder reactor. The difference between the UKNDL and BNAB resonance self-shielding coefficients in the resolved resonance region  $E \leq 100$  keV (18 groups) is worthy of note. It may be recalled that the calculation of the resonance

self-shielding coefficients involves the use of the point cross-section values in the UKNDL library with no recourse made to theoretical models in the unresolved resonance region.

$^{240}\text{Pu}$  and  $^{241}\text{Pu}$  (see Tables 8-10). Few experimental data are currently available on the radiative capture of these isotopes. The real accuracy of the evaluations is probably ~30%. As a result of experiments now being carried out in a number of countries, it will probably become necessary to change the existing data [8, 9]. There is considerable scatter in the region of the  $^{240}\text{Pu}$  sub-barrier fission. Kon'shin's data on the  $^{241}\text{Pu}$  fission cross-section agree more closely with the latest experimental results [10] than does the UKNDL evaluation.

Fe, Ni, Cr and Na (see Tables 11-14, 16 and 17). It is the resonance structure of the cross-sections of these isotopes which is mainly responsible for the fine structure in the neutron spectrum of fast systems. The correct calculation of the transport and moderation cross-sections in these regions is a problem if BNAB-type group-system constants are used in the calculations.

The uncertainty in the capture cross-section of these elements also has a pronounced effect on the characteristics of a large breeder. There is generally good agreement between the ENDF/BIV and TsYaD evaluations of the capture cross-sections for chromium and nickel. For iron, the difference is more noticeable. The ENDF/BII, III [11] data in the tables give an idea of the changes in the evaluations that have occurred over the last 7-8 years. It is clear from Table 14 that there is considerable scatter near the principal sodium resonance.

The selection of the accepted nuclear data has to a large extent been determined by the desire to speed up the derivation of numerical results and by the availability of technical resources. We have not therefore made use of the rather well documented evaluations by Kon'shin and his colleagues because of difficulty in mastering the programs for generating the detailed form of the cross-sections in the resonance region. However, a comparison shows that the difference between the accepted nuclear data and more recent evaluations is generally within the limits of existing errors (with the possible exception of the data for Ni, Cr and Na). We can therefore assume that we shall get a correct estimate of the difference between the physical characteristics derived with approximate and rigorous preparation of the constants by using the accepted working tape. During the comparison with



results from experiments on critical assemblies, it will be possible to make operational changes in accordance with a given law in the principal cross-sections on the working tape (capture, fission and inelastic scattering) over wide energy ranges.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] VOROPAEV, A.I., VAN'KOV, A.A., TROYANOV, M.F., "Sravnenie raschetov standartnogo bystrogo peaktora s uchetom razlichnykh sistem nejtronnykh dannykh" (Comparison of standard fast-reactor calculations using different systems of neutron data), *Nejtronnaya Fizika (Neutron Physics)*, Part 4, TsNIIatominform (1977) 32.
- [2] ANL Technical Note on Calculation of Standard Fast Reactor. ANL, 1975. Auth.: BUCHER, R.G., McKNIGHT, R.D., WADE, D.C., Le SAGE, L.G.
- [3] VOROTYNTSEV, M.F., VAN'KOV, A.A., VOROPAEV, A.I., VOZYAKOV, V.V., PIVOVAROV, V.A., *Detal'nyj raschet ehnergeticheskogo spektra nejtronov i problema podgotovki gruppovykh konstant (Detailed calculation of a neutron energy spectrum and the problem of preparing group constants)*, *Vopr. Atom. Nauki Tekh. Ser. Yad. Konstanty*, No.21 (1976) 147. (TsNIIatominform).
- [4] VOZYAKOV, V.V., PIVOVAROV, V.A., *Realizatsiya algoritma rascheta spektra nejtronov na osnove bibliotek otsenennykh yadernykh dannykh (Producing an algorithm for calculating neutron spectra on the basis of evaluated nuclear data libraries)*, *ibid.* 185.
- [5] VOROTYNTSEV, M.F., PIVOVAREV, V.A., VAN'KOV, A.A., VOROPAEV, A.I., VOZYAKOV, V.V., DMITRIEVA, V.S., *Issledovanie pogreshnosti v chislennom reshenii zadachi zamedlenia nejtronov (Study of the errors in the numerical solution of neutron moderation problems)*, *Vopr. Atom. Nauki Tekh. Ser. Yad. Konstanty*, No. 27 (1977) 27.
- [6] ABAGYAN, L.P., NIKOLAEV, M.N., NESTEROVA, K.I., ORLOV, M.Yu., PETROVA, L.V., *Vliyanie velichiny srednikh rezonansnykh parametrov na blokirovku secheniya  $^{238}\text{U}$  (Effect of average resonance parameter values on the self-shielding of the  $^{238}\text{U}$  cross-section)*, *Vopr. Atom. Nauki Tekh. Ser. Yad. Konstanty*, No. 8, Pt I (1972) 154. (TsNIIatominform).

- [7] POENITZ, W.P., GUENTHER, P.T., Proceedings of the NEANDC/NEACRP Specialists Meeting on Fast Neutron Fission Cross-sections of  $^{233}\text{U}$ ,  $^{235}\text{U}$ ,  $^{238}\text{U}$  and  $^{239}\text{Pu}$ . Jun. 28-30, 1976, at ANL. - Supplement to ANL-76-90. ERDA-NDC-5/L, NEANDC (US) - 199/L.
- [8] WESTON, L.W., TODD, J.H., Measurements of the Neutron Capture Cross-sections of the Actinides. Nuclear Cross-sections and Technology, NBS, Special publication 425. 1975, v.1, p.229.
- [9] IGARASI, S., TAKAI-MURA, Status of measured Neutron Cross-sections of Transactinium Isotopes in the Fast Region. TND. IAEA, 1975, v.1, p.39.
- [10] GARRER, D.I., KINSEY, R.R., Neutron Cross-sections, BNL-325, Third Edition, Information Analysis Center Report. N.-Y. 1976.
- [11] SHATZ, B., KÜSTERS, H., Comparison of effective Capture Cross-sections and Doppler-coefficients for structural material calculated by three evaluated nuclear data files. Proceedings of NEACRP/NEANDC Specialists Meeting on Neutron Capture in the keV Energy Range in Structural Materials for Fast Reactors. KFK-2046, Karlsruhe. 1975, p.317.
- [12] ANTSIPOV, G.V., BENDERSKIJ, A.R., KON'SHIN, V.A. et al., Otsenka yadernykh konstant  $^{240}\text{Pu}$  dlya sozdaniya polnogo fajla (Evaluation of  $^{240}\text{Pu}$  nuclear constants to create a complete file), Nejtronnaya Fizika (Neutron Physics), Part 2, TsNII-atominform, Moscow (1976) 34.
- [13] KON'SHIN, V.A., ANTSIPOV, G.V., MOROGOVSKIJ, G.V. et al., Otsenka yadernykh konstant dlya  $^{235}\text{U}$  v oblasti ehnergij nejtronov  $10^{-4}$  ehV-15 MehV (Evaluation of  $^{235}\text{U}$  nuclear constants in the neutron energy range  $10^{-4}$  eV-15 MeV), ibid. 43.
- [14] BYCHKOV, V.M., VOZYAKOV, V.V., MANOKHIN, V.N. et al., Otsenka nejtronnykh sechenij zheleza (Evaluation of the neutron cross-sections of iron), Vopr. Atom. Nauki Tekh. Ser. Yad. Konstanty, No. 20 (1975) 46. (TsNIIatominform).
- [15] ANTONOV, L.V., BAZAZYANTS, N.O., BARYBA, M.A. et al., Pereotsenka sechenij deleniya  $^{235}\text{U}$  i zakhvata  $^{238}\text{U}$  na osnove analiza kriticheskikh parametrov kriticheskikh sborok ZPP-111 (Re-evaluation of the  $^{235}\text{U}$  fission cross-section and  $^{238}\text{U}$  capture cross-section from an analysis of the critical parameters of

ZPP-III critical assemblies), Papers from the Soviet-Belgian-Dutch Symposium on the Physics of Fast Reactors, 1, TsNII-atominform, Moscow (1970).

- [16] NIKOLAEV, M.N., ABAGYAN, L.P., BAZAZYANTS, N.O. et al., BNAB-Eh. Pt. 2, Peresmotr sistemy gruppovykh konstant  $^{238}\text{U}$  na osnove dannykh differentsial'nykh eksperimentov (Re-examination of the  $^{238}\text{U}$  group constants system on the basis of data from differential experiments), Deposited paper, TsNIIatominform (1976).
- [17] NIKOLAEV, M.N., ABAGYAN, L.P., BAZAZYANTS, N.O. et. al., BNAB-Eh, Pt. 1, Peresmotr sistemy gruppovykh konstant  $^{235}\text{U}$  na osnove dannykh differentsial'nykh eksperimentov (Re-examination of the  $^{235}\text{U}$  group constants system on the basis of data from differential experiments), Deposited paper, TsNIIatominform (1977).
- [18] KOLESOV, V.E., NIKOLAEV, M.N., SINITSA, V.V. et. al., Sostoyanie del s razrabotkoj sistemy SOKRATOR (Status report on the development of the SOKRATOR system), Sbornik dokladov po programam i metodam fizicheskogo rascheta reaktorov (Collection of papers on programs and techniques for physical reactor calculations), CNEA, Dmitrovgrad (1975) 478.
- [19] KOLESOV, V.E., KRIVTSOV, A.S., Algoritm i programma podgotovki uranovykh konstant rascheta faktorov na osnove biblioteki dannykh sistemy SOKRATOR (Algorithm and program for preparing uranium calculation constants on the basis of the SOKRATOR system data library), Nejtronnaya Fizika (Neutron Physics), Part 2 (1976), 140.

Table 1

Nuclear data files included on the working tape

Nuclide	Library	File No.	Year of Evaluation
$^{235}\text{U}$	UKNDL	D-271	1972
$^{238}\text{U}$	UKNDL	D-269	1972
$^{239}\text{Pu}$	UKNDL	B-402	1970
$^{240}\text{Pu}$	UKNDL	B-408	1970
$^{241}\text{Pu}$	UKNDL	A-272	1972
$^{238}\text{U}$	UKNDL	D-182	1967
Na	ENDL	7009	1970
Be	ENDL	7131	1970
Mn	ENDL	7001	1970
H	ENDL	7012	1970
N	ENDL		1969
Cr	KEDAK		1969
Ni	KEDAK		1969
C	KEDAK		1969
Al	KEDAK		1969
O	KEDAK		1969
Fe	Ts Ya D Evaluation		1975
$^{10}\text{B}$	UKNDL	B-90	1970

Table 2

Number of data points per decade in the raw files and on the working tape

Nuclide	Energy ranges					
	10-100 eV	0,1-1 keV	1-10 keV	10-100 keV	0,1-1 MeV	1-10 MeV
$^{235}\text{U}$	770	504	260	100	30	40
$^{238}\text{U}$	154	1150	2175	11	41	67
$^{239}\text{Pu}$	468	560	1670	52	31	56
$^{240}\text{Pu}$	30	7	18	40	48	62
$^{241}\text{Pu}$	478	72	22	26	28	27
Fe	7	9	227	450	1252	598
Ni	11	14	44	175	360	440
Cr	11	6	30	34	165	293
Al	6	6	15	27	101	128
Na	20	71	49	96	96	400
Number of data points on working tape	1794	2646	2663	987	2351	2136

Table 3

$^{238}\text{U}(n, \gamma)$

Group No.	Energy range	UKNDL (working tape)	BNAB-70	ENDL-2	BNAB-Eh	ENDF/BIV	$\xi_0$	$\xi_c$
1	10-6,5 MeV	0,0051	0,007(15)	0,0057(-7)	0,0053(-8)	0,0036(-59)		
2	6,5-4	0,0107	0,012(12)	0,010 (-6)	0,011 (3)	0,0092(-14)		
3	4-2,5	0,0253	0,024(-5)	0,020 (-21)	0,021 (-17)	0,024 (-5)		
4	2,5-1,4	0,0666	0,060(-10)	0,047 (-29)	0,049 (-26)	0,059 (-12)		
5	1,4-0,8	0,138	0,13 (-6)	0,116 (-16)	0,113 (-18)	0,11 (-20)		
6	0,8-0,4	0,132	0,13 (-1)	0,134 (2)	0,118 (-14)	0,11 (-16)		
7	0,4-0,2	0,134	0,14 (4)	0,130 (-3)	0,125 (-7)	0,12 (-10)		
8	0,2-0,1	0,174	0,18 (4)	0,149 (-14)	0,159 (-9)	0,16 (-8)		
9	100-46,5 keV	0,268	0,26 (-3)	0,299 (12)	0,262 (-2)	0,26 (-3)		
10	46,5-21,5	0,451	0,45 (<1)	0,385 (-15)	0,459 (2)	0,416 (-8)	60	0,99
11	21,5-10	0,662	0,66 (<1)	0,563 (-15)	0,650 (-2)	0,591 (-11)	27	0,93
12	10-4,65	0,856	0,90 (5)	0,774 (-10)	0,832 (-3)	0,868 (1)	30	0,83
13	4,65-2,15	1,00	1,3 (30)	1,07 (7)	1,34 (+34)	1,00 (<1)	216	0,85
14	2,15-1	1,52	2,0 (32)	1,83 (20)	1,81 (19)	1,92 (26)	37	0,51
15	1000-465 eV	2,90	3,0 (3)	3,57 (23)	3,33 (15)	3,25 (12)	36	0,34
16	465-215	3,91	4,6 (18)	4,65 (19)	4,55 (16)	5,2 (33)	39	0,22
17	215-100	20,3	20 (-2)	20,0 (-2)	20,0 (-1)	15,9 (-22)	41	0,11
18	100-46,5	15,2	17 (8)	17,2 (13)	16,8 (10)	14,2 (-7)	54	0,10
19	46,5-21,5	55,4	55 (1)	55,6 (<1)	60,9 (10)	84,8 (53)	44	0,046
20	21,5-100	77,2	83 (8)	81,3 (5)	82,2 (6)	359 (365)	65	0,058

Notes (on Tables 3-14). (1) The numbers in brackets are the differences (%) from the constants calculated according to the working tape. (2) The last two columns in Tables 3, 6, 7 and 11-14 give the dilution cross-sections and the resonance self-shielding coefficients for standard-reactor conditions. (3) The symbol \* indicates that an (n,  $\gamma$ )-reaction cross-section includes the cross-sections for the (n,  $\alpha$ ) and (n, p) reactions. (4) The data in Tables 4-7 in the BNAB-Eh column correspond to the evaluations by M. N. Nikolaev and his colleagues (1975-77).

Table 4 -  $^{235}\text{U}(n, f)$

Group Number	UKNDL (working tape)	BNAB-70	ENDL-2	Evaluation by V. A. Kon'shin	BNAB-Eh	ENDF/BV
1	1,54	1,67 (8)	1,71 (11)	1,63 (6)	1,63 (6)	1,68 (9)
2	1,08	1,12 (4)	1,10 (2)	1,12 (4)	1,12 (4)	1,10 (2)
3	1,16	1,22 (5)	1,18 (2)	1,20 (4)	1,22 (5)	1,22 (5)
4	1,30	1,29 (-1)	1,28 (-2)	1,27 (-3)	1,27 (-2)	1,25 (-4)
5	1,24	1,21 (-2)	1,20 (-3)	1,22 (-2)	1,22 (-2)	1,17 (-6)
6	1,14	1,16 (2)	1,12 (-2)	1,16 (2)	1,15 (1)	1,12 (-2)
7	1,28	1,32 (3)	1,25 (-2)	1,28 (0)	1,28 (0)	1,23 (-4)
8	1,50	1,52(1)	1,40 (-7)	1,47 (-2)	1,47 (-2)	1,50 (0)
9	1,77	1,80 (2)	1,64 (-7)	1,75 (-1)	1,77 (0)	1,76 (0)
10	2,03	2,26 (9)	1,98 (-5)	2,07 (-1)	2,06 (-1)	2,03 (0)
11	2,86	2,87 (0,4)	2,56 (-10)	2,55 (-11)	2,54 (-11)	
12	3,72	3,60 (-3)	3,57 (-4)	3,47 (-7)	3,52 (-5)	
13	4,96	5,2 (4)	4,70 (-5)	5,03 (2)	5,04 (2)	
14	6,82	7,4 (8)	5,99 (-12)	7,04 (3)	7,27 (6)	
15	11,2	11,6 (4)	11,1 (-1)	11,5 (3)	11,6 (4)	
16	16,8	16,7 (-1)	17,2 (2)	16,5 (-2)	16,7 (-1)	
17	20,3	21,3 (5)	21,6 (6)	22,0 (8)	21,3 (5)	
18	34,0	34,5 (1)	34,5 (1)	35,0 (3)	34,3 (1)	
19	42,6	43,0 (1)	42,6 (1)	47,7 (3)	42,8 (1)	
20	48,0	50,0 (4)	52,5 (9)	49,7 (7)	49,8 (4)	

Table 5  
 $^{235}\text{U} (n, \gamma)$

Group number	UKNDL (working tape)	BNAB-70	ENDL-2	Evaluation by V. A. Kon'shin	BNAB-Eh
1	0,0024	0,02	0,005 (108)	0,009 (105)	0,011 (358)
2	0,0045	0,03	0,009 (135)	0,018 (130)	0,020 (400)
3	0,011	0,04 (264)	0,017 (55)	0,030 (17)	0,036 (272)
4	0,039	0,06 (54)	0,041 (5)	0,053 (36)	0,059 (51)
5	0,096	0,12 (25)	0,092 (-4)	0,103 (7)	0,109 (14)
6	0,144	0,17 (18)	0,134 (-7)	0,160 (11)	0,162 (12)
7	0,217	0,25 (15)	0,226 (4)	0,253 (17)	0,250 (15)
8	0,357	0,33 (6)	0,343 (-4)	0,388 (9)	0,366 (3)
9	0,559	0,58 (4)	0,554 (-1)	0,589 (-4)	0,587 (-4)
10	0,730	0,80 (10)	0,794 (9)	0,754 (3)	0,742 (2)
11	0,978	1,05 (8)	0,987 (1)	1,00 (2)	1,07 (9)
12	1,38	1,4 (1)	1,15 (-17)	1,31 (-)	1,23 (-11)
13	1,86	2,1 (13)	1,42 (-24)	1,73 (-7)	1,64 (-12)
14	2,56	3,3 (29)	3,11 (22)	3,40 (33)	2,78 (9)
15	3,94	5,0 (27)	5,20 (32)	4,55 (16)	4,55 (16)
16	7,69	8,2 (16)	8,12 (6)	7,44 (-3)	7,25 (-16)
17	11,9	11,9 (0)	9,38 (-21)	11,2 (-6)	12,1 (2)
18	13,7	15,9 (16)	15,0 (9)	17,2 (25)	15,8 (-15)
19	27,6	24 (-13)	23,4 (-15)	29,5 (7)	24,0 (-13)
20	43,0	42 (2)	46,4 (8)	46,4 (8)	41,8 (-3)

Table 6  
 $^{239}\text{Pu} (n, f)$

Group number	UKNDL (working tape)	BNAB-70	ENDL-2	Evaluation by V. A. Kon'shin	BNAB-Eh	ENDF/BIV	$\epsilon_0$	$\epsilon_t$
1	2,10	2,21 (5)	2,12 (1)	2,17 (4)	2,23 (6)	2,22 (6)		
2	1,73	1,72 (-1)	1,68 (-3)	1,77 (2)	1,79 (4)	1,73 (0)		
3	1,82	1,86 (2)	1,81 (-1)	1,83 (0)	1,86 (2)	1,85 (7)		
4	1,98	1,97 (-1)	1,92 (-3)	1,93 (-3)	1,93 (-3)	1,93 (-3)		
5	1,75	1,76 (1)	1,76 (1)	1,78 (2)	1,78 (2)	1,75 (0)		
6	1,55	1,59 (3)	1,61 (4)	1,61 (4)	1,65 (6)	1,61 (4)		
7	1,46	1,53 (4)	1,57 (8)	1,50 (3)	1,51 (3)	1,51 (3)		
8	1,49	1,50 (1)	1,50 (1)	1,50 (0)	1,50 (1)	1,53 (3)		
9	1,61	1,47 (-9)	1,56 (-3)	1,57 (-3)	1,61 (0)	1,60 (-1)		
10	1,61	1,60 (-1)	1,63 (1)	1,60 (-1)	1,61 (0)	1,72 (7)		
11	1,77	1,76 (-1)	1,84 (4)	1,68 (-5)	1,74 (-2)	1,74 (-2)	264	1,00
12	2,08	2,20 (6)	2,14 (3)	2,15 (3)	2,13 (2)	2,11 (1)	360	0,98
13	3,00	2,90 (-3)	2,64 (-12)	3,04 (1)	3,28 (9)	2,73 (-9)	1443	1,00
14	3,81	4,30 (13)	3,64 (-14)	3,98 (4)	4,05 (6)	4,68 (23)	321	0,91
15	8,11	7,70 (-5)	8,81 (9)	8,31 (2)	8,33 (3)	7,62 (-6)	539	0,89
16	13,9	13,0 (-6)	12,6 (-10)	13,1 (-6)	12,9 (-7)	12,0 (-14)	318	0,80
17	20,7	18,0 (-13)	19,4 (-6)	19,4 (-6)	18,9 (-8)	18,4 (-11)	702	0,80
18	50,3	56,0 (10)	59,2 (16)	61,2 (20)	56 (10)	70,3 (38)	155	0,54
19	22,0	21,0 (-4)	21,4 (-2)	21,2 (-3)	21 (-4)	8,70 (-60)	1075	0,71
20	105	105 (0)	107 (2)	93,6 (-6)	104,7 (-7)	40,0 (-62)	951	0,67

Table 7  
 $^{239}\text{Pu}(n, f)$

Group number	UKNDL (working tape)	BNAB-70	ENDL-2	Evaluation by V. A. Kon'shin	BNAB-Eh	ENDF/EIV	$\xi_0$	$f_c$
1	0,002	0,01	0,002 (0)	0,001 (-50)	0,0044 (120)	0,0006 (-70)		
2	0,004	0,02	0,0035 (-12)	0,002 (-50)	0,0066 (32)	0,0015 (-62)		
3	0,008	0,03	0,0048 (-40)	0,003 (-62)	0,0108 (35)	0,0032 (-60)		
4	0,018	0,04 (122)	0,011 (-39)	0,013 (-28)	0,0223 (24)	0,0094 (-48)		
5	0,042	0,04 (-7)	0,024 (-21)	0,043 (12)	0,051 (20)	0,025 (-42)		
6	0,098	0,10 (8)	0,057 (-28)	0,097 (4)	0,112 (20)	0,091 (-2)		
7	0,165	0,16 (-3)	0,125 (-24)	0,155 (-6)	0,154 (-6)	0,180 (9)		
8	0,224	0,23 (3)	0,190 (-18)	0,210 (-6)	0,201 (-10)	0,220 (-2)		
9	0,282	0,26 (-8)	0,241 (-14)	0,296 (5)	0,297 (5)	0,350 (24)		
10	0,497	0,48 (-3)	0,413 (-17)	0,492 (-1)	0,536 (8)	0,500 (6)		
11	0,884	0,83 (-6)	0,720 (-19)	0,686 (-22)	0,840 (5)	0,917 (4)	264	1,00
12	1,91	1,70 (-11)	1,50 (-22)	1,62 (-15)	1,52 (-20)	1,70 (-11)	360	0,88
13	2,92	2,90 (-0,7)	2,31 (-21)	2,76 (-5)	2,73 (-6)	2,47 (19)	1443	1,00
14	4,06	4,00 (-1,5)	3,95 (-3)	3,79 (-7)	3,77 (-7)	5,47 (35)	321	0,91
15	5,17	6,10 (18)	6,26 (21)	6,56 (27)	6,89 (33)	9,84 (90)	339	0,89
16	10,9	11,0 (0,9)	11,1 (1,4)	11,3 (4)	12,0 (10)	18,8 (72)	318	0,80
17	15,0	15,0 (0)	16,7 (11)	15,0 (0)	16,4 (9)	23,4 (56)	701	0,80
18	29,0	48,0 (65)	37,2 (28)	36,4 (26)	48,0 (65)	73,5 (153)	455	0,55
19	23,5	32,0 (36)	33,7 (44)	29,6 (26)	32,0 (36)	41,6 (77)	1075	0,72
20	70,9	67,0 (-5,5)	72,5 (2)	63,4 (-11)	66,8 (-16)	29,5 (-58)	951	0,67

Table 8

$^{240}\text{Pu}(n, f)$

Group number	UKNDL (working tape)	BNAB-70	ENDL-2	Evaluation by V. A. Kon'shin
1	1,91	2,00	2,06	1,98
2	1,50	1,55	1,53	1,53
3	1,50	1,62	1,55	1,58
4	1,49	1,60	1,60	1,58
5	1,45	1,50	1,45	1,45
6	0,568	0,58	0,81	0,569
7	0,134	0,12	0,25	0,135
8	0,098	0,05	0,15	0,076
9	0,064	0,03	0,10	0,081
10	0,098	0,02	0,10	0,117
11	0,099	0,02	0,10	0,117
12	0,079	0,02	0,124	0,097
13	0,107	-	0,166	0,153
14	0,150	-	0,168	0,287
15	0,158	-	0,26	0,269
16	0,0 <sup>4</sup> 2	-	0,074	0,059
17	0,0 <sup>4</sup> 2	-	0,20	0,130
18	0,0 <sup>4</sup> 8	-	0,35	0,154
19	0,133	-	0,45	0,235
20	0,240	-	0,29	0,669

Table 9

$^{240}\text{Pu}(n, \gamma)$

Group number	UKNDL (working tape)	BNAB-70	ENDL-2	Evaluation by V. A. Kon'shin
I	0,0065	0,01	0,014	0,007
2	0,012	0,02	0,021 (75)	0,014 (17)
3	0,026	0,04 (54)	0,03 (15)	0,030 (15)
4	0,063	0,09 (43)	0,057 (10)	0,078 (24)
5	0,138	0,24 (74)	0,113 (-18)	0,173 (25)
6	0,175	0,26 (49)	0,149 (-15)	0,166 (-5)
7	0,181	0,34 (88)	0,12 (-33)	0,187 (3)
8	0,218	0,45 (106)	0,15 (-31)	0,254 (16)
9	0,356	0,65 (83)	0,253 (-29)	0,425 (19)
10	0,598	0,90 (50)	0,487 (-19)	0,750 (25)
11	0,832	1,30 (56)	0,696 (-16)	1,08 (30)
12	1,15	1,80 (56)	0,994 (-14)	1,37 (19)
13	1,65	2,70 (64)	1,60 (-3)	1,88 (14)
14	2,62	4,50 (72)	2,65 (1)	3,27 (25)
15	4,89	6,50 (33)	4,54 (-7)	4,81 (-2)
16	6,82	12,0 (76)	7,24 (6)	7,85 (15)
17	20,3	18,0 (-11)	21,0 (3)	24,0 (18)
18	38,1	49 (29)	36,9 (-3)	42,4 (11)
19	65,3	44 (-33)	63,2 (-3)	68,2 (5)
20	31,1	28 (-10)	32,6 (5)	30,9 (-19)

Table 10

$^{241}\text{Pu}(n, f)$

$^{241}\text{Pu}(n, \gamma)$

Group number	UKNDL (working tape)	BNAB-70	Evaluation by V. A. Kon'shin	UKNDL (work. tape)	BNAB-70	Evaluation by V. A. Kon'shin
I	2,12	1,70 (-20)	1,84 (-13)		0,00	0,006
2	1,54	1,35 (-12)	1,39 (-10)		0,01	0,007
3	1,53	1,40 (-8)	1,49 (-2)		0,02	0,011
4	1,74	1,45 (-17)	1,68 (-4)	0,024	0,03	0,058
5	1,60	1,30 (-19)	1,61 (1)	0,047	0,06	0,098
6	1,51	1,30 (-14)	1,52 (0)	0,102	0,09	0,096
7	1,72	1,60 (-7)	1,74 (1)	0,186	0,16	0,116
8	2,05	2,10 (2)	2,02 (-2)	0,316	0,26	0,224
9	2,42	2,80 (16)	2,29 (-5)	0,541	0,39	0,359
10	2,92	3,80 (30)	2,70 (-8)	0,812	0,61	0,510
11	3,58	5,40 (51)	3,24 (-10)	1,14	0,97	0,703
12	4,49	6,50 (45)	4,32 (-4)	1,50	1,30	1,06
13	6,14	9,00 (47)	6,15 (0)	1,99	2,00	1,64
14	9,40	12,0 (29)	7,52 (-20)	3,15	2,90	1,95
15	14,3	16,0 (12)	13,0 (-9)	5,64	4,00	3,90
16	20,2	21,0 (4)	19,3 (-4)	8,94	5,50	6,02
17	29,0	30,0 (3)	28,8 (-1)	13,6	8,00	9,21
18	39,8	40,0 (1)		20,2	11,0	
19	123,4	60,0 (-54)		20,8	16,0	
20	234,4	130,0 (-54)		77,2	55,0	



Table 11

$F_0(z, \gamma)$

Group number	UKNDL (working tape)	BNAB-70	KEDAK	ENDF/BII	ENDF/BIII	ENDF/BIV	$\sigma_0$	$f_c$
1	0,001	0,036 <sup>x</sup>	0,0003			0,0004		
2	0,001	0,005 <sup>x</sup>	0,0005			0,0005	14	1,00
3	0,002	0,002	0,0009			0,0009	15	0,99
4	0,002	0,003	0,002			0,0015	15	0,99
5	0,002	0,004	0,003			0,0032	20	0,94
6	0,005	0,005	0,005	0,0050	0,0050	0,0056	25	0,89
7	0,005	0,006	0,006	0,0056	0,0057	0,0065	22	0,88
8	0,007	0,006	0,007	0,0035	0,0059	0,0069	23	0,86
9	0,011	0,007	0,022	0,0204	0,0090	0,010	26	0,78
10	0,017	0,017	0,029	0,0271	0,0171	0,024	25	0,58
11	0,005	0,005	0,018	0,0187	0,0050	0,0050	29	0,93
12	0,022	0,004	0,047	0,050	0,0217	0,025	36	0,87
13	0,009	0,011	0,011	0,012	0,0067	0,008	172	0,98
14	0,191	0,106	0,202	0,153	0,301	0,246	32	0,61
15	0,010	0,015	0,016			0,015		
16	0,018	0,023	0,023			0,022		
17	0,030	0,037	0,033			0,032		
18	0,047	0,053	0,05			0,045		
19	0,071	0,072	0,07			0,068		
20	0,105	0,105	0,106			0,096		

Table 12

$N_1(n, \gamma)$

Group number	UKNDL (working tape)	BNAB-70	TsYaD	ENDF/BII	ENDF/BIII	ENDF/BIV	$\sigma_0$	$f_c$
1	0,0006	0,230 <sup>x</sup>	0,0007			0,0005		
2	0,0008	0,190 <sup>x</sup>	0,001			0,0013	156	1
3	0,0015	0,135 <sup>x</sup>	0,002			0,0025	171	1
4	0,0032	0,072 <sup>x</sup>	0,0045			0,0052	166	1
5	0,0065	0,030 <sup>x</sup>	0,007			0,0076	212	0,99
6	0,0079	0,011	0,008	0,0067	0,0077	0,0077	253	0,99
7	0,0083	0,009	0,010	0,0080	0,0088	0,0088	229	0,99
8	0,0096	0,010	0,015	0,0144	0,014	0,015	298	0,93
9	0,0220	0,016	0,020	0,0204	0,020	0,021	286	0,98
10	0,0115	0,016	0,027	0,0337	0,036	0,037	229	0,93
11	0,127	0,033	0,096	0,156	0,0968	0,111	272	0,84
12	0,0180	0,018	0,013	0,0414	0,0283	0,029	400	1
13	0,0339	0,048	0,026	0,0442	0,0442	0,052	1656	0,98
14	0,0226	0,019	0,019	0,0225	0,0226	0,022		
15	0,0284	0,028	0,027			0,029		
16	0,0414	0,041	0,041			0,040		
17	0,0608	0,061	0,058			0,058		
18	0,0392	0,039	0,036			0,031		
19	0,131	0,131	0,126			0,121		
20	0,192	0,193	0,185			0,171		

Table 13

$Cr(n, \gamma)$

Group number	UKNDL (working tape)	BNAB-70	TsYaD	ENDL-2	ENDF/BII	ENDF/BIII	ENDF/BIV	$\xi_0$	$f_c$
I	0,00033	0,035 <sup>x</sup>	0,0006	0,0011			0,00038		
2	0,00054	0,033 <sup>x</sup>	0,0008	0,0013			0,0011		
3	0,0010	0,003 <sup>x</sup>	0,001	0,0016			0,0024		
4	0,0022	0,003	0,002	0,0020			0,0045		
5	0,0038	0,004	0,004	0,0023			0,0073		
6	0,0040	0,004	0,004	0,0041	0,0033	0,0032	0,0045		
7	0,0044	0,005	0,05	0,0037	0,0034	0,004	0,0039		
8	0,0051	0,005	0,01	0,0040	0,0072	0,0072	0,0072	65	0,95
9	0,0045	0,008	0,013	0,0049	0,0132	0,0132	0,0128	122	0,98
10	0,0073	0,010	0,022	0,0037	0,0319	0,0319	0,0298	584	
11	0,012	0,013	0,016	0,0091	0,0284	0,0285	0,0294	128	0,96
12	0,038	0,020	0,073	0,035	0,0779	0,0779	0,075	163	0,96
13	0,037	0,030	0,04	0,038	0,0389	0,0389	0,050	712	0,97
14	0,056	0,050	0,184	0,068	0,155	0,1546	0,189	210	0,82
15	0,022	0,030	0,02	0,023			0,0258	166	0,84
16	0,028	0,030	0,03	0,024			0,029		
17	0,041	0,041	0,04	0,034			0,040		
18	0,060	0,060	0,06	0,057			0,056		
19	0,088	0,087	0,10	0,082			0,082		
20	0,130	0,129	0,14	0,109			0,116		

Table 14

$Na(n, \gamma)$

Group number	UKNDL (working tape)	BNAB-70	ENDL-2	ENDF/BIV	$\xi_0$	$f_c$
I	0,00016	0,050 <sup>x</sup>	0,00017	0,00017		
2	0,00012	0,005 <sup>x</sup>	0,00015	0,00016		
3	0,00011	0,0002	0,00018	0,00017		
4	0,00013	0,0002	0,00021	0,00020		
5	0,00019	0,0002	0,00024	0,00023		
6	0,00035	0,0003	0,00037	0,00031	14,6	I
7	0,00061	0,0006	0,00066	0,00070	13,1	0,89
8	0,00091	0,0012	0,0011	0,0016	15,3	0,88
9	0,0013	0,0016	0,0011	0,0012	16,1	0,82
10	0,0019	0,0026	0,0035	0,0031	22,4	0,63
11	0,0028	0,001	0,0013	0,0027	17,2	I
12	0,0042	0,001	0,0015	0,0015	22,2	I
13	0,160	0,10	0,085	0,114	20,3	0,38
14	0,011	0,01	0,014	0,016	20,7	I
15	0,0036	0,005	0,0059	0,0082		
16	0,0060	0,006	0,0058	0,0030		
17	0,0078	0,007	0,0074	0,0038		
18	0,011	0,010	0,0092	0,0104		
19	0,016	0,015	0,016	0,0142		
20	0,023	0,022	0,022	0,020		

Table 15

Resonance self-shielding coefficients  $f_c$  for  $^{238}\text{U}$  at  $T = 300\text{ K}$

Group number	UNDEL			BNAB-Eh		
	$\zeta_c$			$\zeta_c$		
	0	I0	I00	0	I0	I00
II	0,999	I,00	I,00	0,830	0,834	0,968
I2	I,00	I,00	I,00	0,7I8	0,795	0,929
I3	0,58I	0,678	0,856	0,479	0,558	0,786
I4	0,259	0,350	0,63I	0,290	0,38I	0,629
I5	0,I62	0,222	0,473	0,I8I	0,233	0,474
I6	0,II9	0,I65	0,376	0,I28	0,I68	0,359
I7	0,046	0,056	0,I28	0,048	0,059	0,I30
I8	0,044	0,056	0,I29	0,049	0,059	0,I28
I9	0,032	0,039	0,074	0,033	0,039	0,073
20	0,0I9	0,025	0,062	0,0I9	0,026	0,062

Table 16

Resonance self-shielding coefficients  $f_c(\sigma_0)$  for iron at  $T = 300\text{ K}$

Group number	TsYaD evaluation			BNAB-70		KEDAK		
	$\zeta_0$			$\zeta_0$		$\zeta_0$		
	I00	I0	0	I0	0	I00	I0	0
8	0,956	0,746	0,365	0,85	0,39	0,966	0,806	0,28I
9	0,949	0,806	0,454	0,77	0,34	0,948	0,78I	0,545
I0	0,720	0,367	0,086	0,25	0,03	0,698	0,348	0,0823
II	0,992	0,94I	0,775	I,00	I,00	0,987	0,9II	0,70I
I2	0,947	0,789	0,63I	0,98	0,86	0,949	0,793	0,662
I3	0,988	0,943	0,903	I,00	I,00	0,999	0,994	0,983
I4	0,984	0,972	0,965	I,00	I,00	0,996	0,98I	0,963
I5	I,00	0,998	0,996			I,00	0,998	0,996

Table 17

Resonance self-shielding coefficients  $f_c(\sigma_0)$  for iron at  $T = 300\text{ K}$

Group number	TsYaD evaluation			BNAB-70			ENDF/BIII		
	$\zeta_0$			$\zeta_0$			$\zeta_0$		
	I00	I0	0	I00	I0	0	I00	I0	0
8	I,00	I,00	I,00	0,97	0,79	0,50	I,000	0,999	0,994
9	I,00	I,00	0,99	0,90	0,70	0,53	0,99I	0,943	0,853
I0	0,907	0,725	0,427	0,66	0,52	0,29	0,896	0,696	0,499
II	0,972	0,949	0,666	0,98	0,88	0,75	0,989	0,987	0,78I
I2	0,962	0,825	0,68I	0,95	0,76	0,60	0,968	0,859	0,752
I3	0,978	0,930	0,793	0,98	0,88	0,77	0,997	0,982	0,96I
I4	0,642	0,327	0,223	0,78	0,49	0,27	0,696	0,359	0,258
I5	0,999	0,996	0,992	I,00	I,00	I,00	-	-	-

Table 18

Resonance self-shielding coefficients  $f_f$  and  $f_c$  for  $^{239}\text{Pu}$  at  $T = 300\text{ K}$

Group number	UKNDL			BNAB-70			UKNDL			BNAB-70		
	$f_f$ for $\phi_0$ , equal to						$f_c$ for $\phi_0$ , equal to					
	0	100	1000	0	100	1000	0	100	1000	0	100	1000
II	0,999	1,00	1,00	0,93	1,00	1,00	0,994	1,00	1,000	0,92	1,00	1,00
I2	0,989	0,998	1,00	0,84	0,98	1,00	0,981	0,997	1,00	0,82	0,98	1,00
I8	0,954	0,993	0,999	0,64	0,93	1,00	0,955	0,993	0,999	0,62	0,93	1,00
I4	0,908	0,983	0,998	0,49	0,87	0,98	0,904	0,982	0,998	0,46	0,85	0,98
I5	0,802	0,887	0,992	0,38	0,80	0,96	0,835	0,869	0,998	0,32	0,72	0,95
I6	0,537	0,775	0,950	0,29	0,63	0,91	0,513	0,763	0,947	0,21	0,57	0,90
I7	0,417	0,663	0,907	0,20	0,54	0,84	0,417	0,664	0,907	0,14	0,42	0,79
I8	0,425	0,626	0,874	0,16	0,32	0,66	0,255	0,457	0,783	0,10	0,22	0,55
I9	0,225	0,439	0,786	0,15	0,33	0,70	0,168	0,375	0,748	0,13	0,28	0,65
20	0,149	0,326	0,645	0,17	0,33	0,68	0,116	0,268	0,591	0,16	0,29	0,65