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Fart II: Scratched targets

## 4. SIMOLATION OF DISTURBED TARGET LAYERS

The relations obtained for a plane target layer suggest to investigate how the plateau height reflects additional counting losses caused by a disturbed - e.g. scratched - surface of the backing or the target layer. In this case, analytic calculations are involved, and a more efficient way is to simulate such effects by Monte-Carlo calculations.
4.1. Monte-Carlo code ROBER

In practice, various microscopic and macroscopic deviations from the plane layer model are imaginable which influence the detection efficiency in a different degree. It seems to be not advantageous to implement an algorithm restricted to a given surface type. On the other hand, the algorithm shouid be as simple as possible. Therefore, a procedure was choosen which allows to treat a broad variety of problems in a standardized manner (Fig. 8.9):

The geometry of the problem is assumed to be described by planes. (This is, in fact, no strong restriction, because a necessary improvement of the model can be realized by introducing additional planes.) For each emitted particle an origin $P_{0}$ inside the target lajer $T$ and an emission direction $v, \rho$ are fixed by the subroutines LOCUS and ANGLE, respectively. The straight line given by $P_{0}, \mathscr{V}_{1} \rho$ crosses the planes $p_{i}$ describing the geometry; the distance $a_{i}$ from $P_{0}$ and a material index $m_{i}$ are determined for each point of intersection $P_{i}$. lying in "forward" (i.e. emission) direction. After that, points $P_{i}$ with a material index $m_{i}=0$ (no transition into another medium) are erased, and the remaining $P_{i}$ representing transitions into other media are arranged to rising distance $a_{i}$. The obtained in this way "coordinates" of material transitions ( $\left[a_{j}, m_{j}\right] ; j=1,2, \ldots, j_{\text {max }}$ ) are used to calculate the energy $E_{j}$ of the particle at each $P_{j}$ and subsequently the total energy loss in an egligible medium $m$. The described algorithm represents the "core" of the Monte-Carlo code ROBER ("Rauhe Oberflächen") and is implemented in the subroutines TRAJEC and ENOSS (Fig. 8). To calculate fission chamber spectra, the obtained energy loss in the fission chamber gas (medium D in Fig.9)

for each considered event is stored in a spectrum.
The complete code consists of a "frame" organizing the course of the calculation, the "core" realizing the geheral section of the algorithm, and the libraries "Geometrical Model" and "StoppingPower Model" containing all subroutines which must be specified regarding the implemented geometrical model, the used stoppingpower data and the assumed mass and initial energy distribution of the fission fragments (Fig. 8). The structure of the code ROBER (including common block structure and parameter lists of the subroutines) guarantees a maximum decoupling of the two libraries Irom the general algorithm. The implementation of different geometrical models or the application of various stopping-power and fission fragment data (empirical formulas or tables) then can be realized by a simple exchange of selected subroutines and functions and does not require any knowledge about the implementation of the general part of the algorithm. Introduced statement variab-
les render possible to generate separate spectra containing selected events, i.e. particles originating in a fixed area of the target layer and/or having trajectories with selected emission directions. In the implemented versions of ROBER, such a separate spectrum is built-up only for particles emitted from an emphasized area of the target layer; but a refinement is possible and easy.
4.2. Implementation of simple surface and stopping-power models If the influence of deviations from the plane layer model on the observed correlation between counting losses and the plateau height in a parallel plate ionization fission chamber is to analyze, one has at first to search for systematic trends, and various types of disturbances have to be investigated. Therefore, simple models reflecting the main attributes of the investigated effects are efficient even if no precise quantitative description of.true counting losses can be expected - all the more as it should be impossible to construct a "realistic" geometry of target layers used in practice. The "Triangle" stopping-power model


Fig. 10 :
Implemented scratch models (see Fig.4) was succesful in describing the main features of the plane layer model, and its application to investigate disturbed target layers is obvious. In a first version of the library "Stopping-Power Model" (SHOPOWø1) this model was implemented for a "mean" fission fragment, in analogy to the analytic calculations carried out for the plane layer model (see rig. 5). The rangeenergy data then are completely defined by the energy $E_{o}$ of the "mean" fission fragment and its ranges $R_{o i}$ in the various media. Photographies of fissile layers used in tission cross-section measurements of the TU Dresden/RI Leningrad cooperation, obtained by microscopy $/ 5 /$, suggested to investigate the "scratch" as the dominating type of surface disturbances. Therefore, three different "scratch" models were constructed represen-
ting borderline cases of possible fillings (Fig.10). The geometrical models realized in the versions SURFACE $\varnothing 1 . . . \emptyset 3$ of the library "Geometrical Model" describe parallel plate fissions chambers, consisting of a backing covered by a target layer with periodic scratches corresponding to Fig.10, an electrode, and a gaseous agent filling the space between target surface and electrode; the dimensions of electrode and target arrangement are assumed to be great enough to neglect a fission fragment escape out of the active volume. The parameter defining the geometry are

- scratch distance (2a);
- scratch width (2b);
- scratch depth (h);
- thickness of the target layer (t);
- target-electrode distance (d);
- pressure of the gas filling (p).

The subroutines LOCUS and ANGLE are based on the conception of a homogeneous distribution of the origins of fission fragment trajectories and an isotropic distribution of the emission directions, i.e. spontaneous or thermal neutron induced fission within a homogeneous target material and a homogeneous neutron field. Because counting losses as well as pulses in the plateau region of the pulse height spectrum are produced by rare events with origins inside the scratch or "flat" trajectories (small angles


Fig.11:
Distribution function $F(x)$ implemented in RNDIST relative to the target surface), a non-analogous Monte-Carlo method was applied. A distribution function $F(x)$ was introduced which allows a simple and intuitive adjustment of the deviation from a "white" distribution by means of a parameter A (Fig.11): The subroutine RNDIST( $A, X$, WEIGHT) generates a random value $x(0 \leqslant X<1)$, and the probability of generating a value $X>A$ is nearly 0.5 for $A>0.5$; WE1GHT $=(d F(X) / d x)^{-1}$ is the weight of a corresponding event and renormalizes the weigh-
ted distribution to a "white", spectrum. RNDIST was used in both subroutines LOCUS and ANGIE to favour the interesting rare events. Two additional geometrical parameters DL and DIHETA are requested from RDGEOM: About $50 \%$ of the total number of generated fission events then have trajectories with an origin inside a localized area, characterized by a maximum distance from the midale or the scratch of $\mathrm{DI}+\mathrm{b}$ ( $-\mathrm{b}<\mathrm{DL}<\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}$ ), and with an angle relative to the electrode plane smaller than DTHETA ( $0<$ DTHETA $<90^{\circ}$ ), respectively. By choosing optimum parameters, the statistical uncertainty or the calculated plateau height was reduced aignificantly (see for example Fig.12,13).


## Fig.12:

Influence of the parameter DTHETA on the statistical uncertainty $\varepsilon_{\text {stat }}$ of the calculated plateau height:
(I) obtained with ROBERø1 (5000 events; geometry: plane layer; $t / R_{0}=0.0267$; analyzed plateau region: $6 . . .14 \mathrm{MeV}$ );
(II) estimated statistical uncertainty of a corresponding analogous MonteCarlo calculation.

Using the libraries STOPOWg1 and SURFACEDT... $\varnothing 3$, the versions ROBER $\varnothing 1 . . . \varnothing 3$ of the code ROBER were generated and installed at the CDC-6500 computer of the JINR Dubna. To verify the correct work of the complete codes, the subroutines and functions were teated separately following a bottom-up strategy; selected sets of input data guaranteed that each order was addressed. As an additional test, the plateau height as well as the counting losses were simulated for a plane target layer, applying the codes ROBER $01 . . . \varnothing 3$ with specialized sets of geometrical parameters. Fig. 14 shows the results, compared with the plateau height, the counting losses and the plateau height / counting loss relation "obtained by analytic calculations using the formulas ( $6,10-12$ ); details of the analysis and error estimates agree with the cal-


Fig.13:
Example of calculated fission chamber spectra - surface type "Filled scratch"
(I) Weighted total spectrum
(II) Unweighted total spectrum (.) and unweighted spectrum of the fragments generated inside the scratch (x)
(III) Weighted spectrum of the fragments generated inside the scratch

A comparison of the weighted and unweighted spectra shows the preference of the rare events in the plateau region.


Fig. 14:
Test of the codes ROBER $11 . . .03$ - simulation of a plane layer and comparison with analytic results. Parameters: $D I=0, D T H E T A=15^{\circ}$; $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{R}_{0}=0.0555$; counting threshold $E_{t h r}=6 \mathrm{MeV}$; 20000 events in each case.
culations described below. The CP time need of $\leq 2$ min for 20000 events and the statistical uncartainty reached by means of the non-analogous Monte-Carlo method allow to carry out systematic investigations without any restriction due to the CP time consume.

### 4.3. Results of calculations

The codes ROBERØ4... $\varnothing 3$ were applied to perform first investigations concerning the influence of microscopic scratches on the detection efficiency and the pulse height spectrum of parallel plate fission chambers. To obtain systematic trends of detection efficiency and plateau height for the implemented scratch models (Fig.10), fission chamber spectra and absorption losses were calculated at fixed dimensions of the acratch, but varying the target thickness t. The parameters used in this first series of calculations are summarized in Tab.1. A realistic scratch distance was estimated from photographies of U-235 target layers produced in the Khlopin Radium Institute of Ieningrad /5/: About 2...10 scratchea $/ 100 \mu m$ were observed, and therefore a mean distance of 20... 30 品 should be a good approach. Due to the procedure of polishing the backings, scratches with a depth in the $\leq 1 \mu m$ range are expected /23/; this is in good agreement with the estimate from a photography taken by means of an electron microscope /5/. A methane-filled tission chamber and a KOVAR backing covered by an $\mathrm{U}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ layer were assumed; mean ranges of fission fragments in these materials were estimated from an empirical formula /24/, the stopping-power data of ZIEGIER /18/, and the value of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}=7.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ for $\mathrm{U}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{8} / 25 /$, using a density value of $8,3 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ for KOVAR as well as for $\mathrm{U}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{8}$. The target thickness $t$ was varied in the ( $0.001-0.5$ ) $\mu m$ range, corresponding to averaged areal densities $\leq 375 \mu \mathrm{GU} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ which are typical for precise fission cross-section measurements. A total number of 20000 events in each case was sufficient to obtain the correlations searched for.

The spectra resulting from the calculations were analyzed as follows: A counting threshold of 6 MeV was introduced. Fission events with a total energy release in the chamber gas below the threshold energy were regarded as counting losses; the absorption

| Parameter | Value |
| :--- | ---: |
| Scratch distance | $30 \mu \mathrm{\mu m}$ |
| Scratch width | $1 \mathrm{\mu m}$ |
| scratch depth | $1 \mathrm{\mu m}$ |
| Target-electrode distance | 3 mm |
| Methane pressure | 110 kPa |
| Fission fragment energy | 84 MeV |
| Fission Iragment range |  |
| - in methane at normal pressure | 23.5 mm |
| - in the target material | $9.0 \mathrm{\mu m}$ |
| - in the backing material | $5.8 \mathrm{\mu m}$ |

Tab. 1: Parameters used in the described calculations
Iosses stored in the first channel of the ypectrum were included. the energy range from 6 MeV to 14 MeV (channels 13-28) was defined as the plateau region; it is cbaracterized by a nearly plane spectrum (see Fig.5.13). The plateau height then is given. by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Y}_{\mathrm{p}}= & \frac{z_{p}}{2} \frac{1}{8 \mathrm{MeV}} \\
& z_{p}=\sum_{i=13}^{28} z_{i} \ldots \text { sum of channel contents in }
\end{aligned}
$$

2 .................. total number of events (sum of all welghts).
To estimate the statistical uncertainty of $f_{p}$, the Central Iimit Theoreme was applied:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\frac{\Delta \varphi_{p}}{\varphi_{p}}\right|=\left|\frac{\Delta Z_{p}}{Z_{p}}\right|= \frac{\sqrt{k_{p}} \cdot \sigma_{z}}{Z_{p}} \\
& k_{p}=16 \ldots \text { number of channels in the } \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { plateau region }
\end{array} \\
& \sigma_{z} \ldots \ldots . . \begin{array}{l}
\text { dispersion of the channel con- } \\
\\
\\
\text { tents in the plateau region. }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

In a similar way, the uncertainty of the counting inefficiency $K_{\text {tot }}$ was estimated: The number $\mathrm{Z}_{\text {loss }}$ of not counted fissions was thought to be divided into separate "portions" corresponding to the mean channel contents $z_{i}$ in the plateau region. Assuming
a dispersion of these portions which is equivalent to $\sigma_{z}$, one obtains

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\frac{\Delta k_{\text {tot }}}{K_{\text {tot }}}\right|=\left|\frac{\Delta Z_{10 s s}}{Z_{\text {loss }}}\right|=\frac{\sqrt{k_{10 s s}} \cdot \sigma_{z}}{z_{\text {loss }}}=\left|\frac{\Delta \rho_{p}}{\rho_{p}}\right| \cdot \sqrt{\frac{z_{p}}{Z_{\text {loss }}}} \\
k_{10 s s}=\frac{z_{10 s s}}{z_{1}} \quad \ldots \text { number of "portions". }
\end{gathered}
$$

The results of the calculations are shown in Fig.15-16. The simple stopping-power model allows a direct comparison with analytic calculations for a plane target layer of an equivalent effective (i.e. averaged over a period of the surface profile) thickness (6,10-12). As expected; an additional counting lose occurs, depending on the suifface profile (Fig.15) The strong rise of the curve belonging to the "filled scratchin at $t \rightarrow 0$ is due to the fact that at last all the fissionable material is concentrated inside the scratches. A serious conclusion can be drawn from Fig.15: The argument that the counting inefficiency of a fission chamber (including the corresponding error contribution) can be made as small as wanted by reducing the target thickness - which is often used in evalutions of the uncertainties in fission crossmection measurements /2,26/- is invalid


Fig.15:
Obtained counting loss/ target thickness relation
in practice. Counting losses up to some percent, caused by microscopic surface disturbances, cannot be excluded even for a negligible areal density of the target layer; but the usual correction procedure does not consider such effects. This fact might be a cause of the unsatisfactory situation in experimental fission crossmsection data, where the scatter of results is much larger than the quoted error estimates (see e.g. /1/). On the other hand, Fig. 16 shows no significant violation of the counting loss/plateau height relation which was deduced for an idealized


Fig.16: Counting loss / plateau height relation obtained for a 1 رس x 1 ر口m scratch cross-section
plane layer. The plateau height thus proves to be a realistic measure of the total counting inefficiency even for target layers which are disturbed by microscopic scratches of $\sim 1$ m depth: Inspite of strong distortions of the counting loss / target thickness relation (6), the total counting loss can be deduced" from the plateau height by using the relations derived in the plane layer model.
This fact was confirmed by a second series of calculations where the scratch cross-sections were varied from $0.5 \times 0.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ to $2 \times 2 \mu \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ at a given target thickness $t=0.24 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (the other parameters correspond to Tab.1). Fig. 17 illustrates the reaults: Only relatively small deviations ( $<20 \%$ ) from the plane layer model were observed for the obtained counting loss / plateau height ratio, and no systematic trend was found within the estimated error limits. Against this, the calculated counting losses for the events produced•inside the scratches are 3-20 times higher than the value $K_{\text {tot }}=1.82 \%$ derived from $(6,10)$ for a plane target layer ( $t=0.24 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) at the given counting threshold of 6 MeV .


Fig.17: Influence of the scratch cross-section on the total counting inefficiency and the counting loss / plateau height ratio for fission fragments generated inside the scratches

The situation changes if larger surface disturbances are introduced. Fig. 18 shows the results of a third series of calculations where the scratch width was varied from 1 血 to 100 血 at a given scratch depth ( $h=1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) and target thickness ( $t=0.45 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; the other parameters were taken from Tab. 1): If the scratch width comes close to the range parameter $\mathrm{R}_{0}$ (see Tab. 1), a rise of the counting loss / plateau height ratio up to about two times the value $r_{p}$ of the plane layer model was stated. This means an additional counting loss which is not completely reflected in the plateau height. In this case, the proposed method of determining the FC counting inefficiency from the plateau beight underestimates the true counting losses by the factor $r / r_{p}$; but one should bear in mind that the usual procedure gives at least no better result!
A further calculation was performed simulating macroscopic deviations from the plane layer model. The "Broad valley" model was introduced by enlarging the dimensions of the "Scratch with cove-


## Fig. 18:

Total counting inefficiency and counting loss / plateau height ratio for fission fragments generated inside the scratches at growing scratch width
red bottom" (Fig. 10) from microscopic to macroscopic values: A scratch distance of 20 mm , a scratch wiath of 10 mm and a scratch depth of 0.1 mm were assumed; the target thickness of $0.24 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ corresponds to the calculations illustrated in Fig. 17, and the other parameters were taken from Tab. 1. This model describes in a coarse approximation a sample (backing covered by a target layer) which is not correctiy mounted in the carrier ring (shifted edges!) 。 Both spectra - the total (lower absorption) and the partial containing fission events with an origin inside the "valley" (higher absorption) - were analyzed, the results are shown in Fig. 15-16. In this case, the enlarged counting loss is followed by a corresponding rise of the plateau height.

Summarizing the results of the described calculations, two important trends can be derived:

- Scratches, which are typical for polished backings, have a noticable influence on the fission fragment detection efficiency; additional counting losses in the percent region are possible.
- If charactoristic dimensions of the scratch cross-sections are significantly smalier than the fission fragment range, these additional countinc losses are reflected in an approximatively proportionul rise of the plateau resion in the fission chamber spectrum. Therefore, the method of estimating the total counting intificioncy by analyzing the plateau height of the FC spectium - which was proposed in consequence of the results obtained for the plane layer model - can be applied
even for realistic target layers, if surface disturbances with characteristic dimensions in the region of the fission fragment range can be excluded.

However, further systematic investigations should be carried out, especially to consider other possible types of surface disturbances.

## 5. SUMMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The calculations described in this paper underline the doubts concerning the correctness of the usual procedure of estimating the detection inefficiency of parallel plate fission chanmbers: Not only chemical modifications or contaminations of the target material, but also the non-linear plateau shape in the fission chamber spectrum and the influence of microscopic scratches on the fission fragment absorption are possible sources of additional counting losses which are considered neither in the correction procedure nor in the error estimate.
However, the plateau height in the fission chamber spectrum was found to be a realistic measure of the counting losses including the effects mentioned above, if scratches with characteristic dimensions of the scratch cross-section in the region of the fission fragment range can be excluded. If the latter is Euaranteed by the technology of target production, the ratio of the total fission fragment counting inefficiencies of different plane target arrangements in the case of spontaneous or thermal neutron induced fission is equivalent to the ratio of the corresponding plateau heights, which can be measured easily. Moreover, individual range parameters of the examined fission foils, which reproduce the true counting loss with the usual procedure, can be obtained by comparing the measured plateau height with calculations in the planc layer model, if the energy scale of the FC spectrum is defined. A calibraticn becones possible e.g. by calculating the fission Iragment edge in a Eifferential chamber.
Sukmarizing the results it can be stated that the anaiysis of fission chamber spectra should be a simple and promising way to improve the estimates of counting losses in ionizatiol fission
chambers and to exclude coarse errors due to individual features of the target layers.
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