



TECHNICAL REPORTS SERIES No. **261**

Decay Data of the Transactinium Nuclides



INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, VIENNA, 1986

**DECAY DATA
OF THE TRANSACTINIUM NUCLIDES**

The following States are Members of the International Atomic Energy Agency:

AFGHANISTAN	GUATEMALA	PARAGUAY
ALBANIA	HAITI	PERU
ALGERIA	HOLY SEE	PHILIPPINES
ARGENTINA	HUNGARY	POLAND
AUSTRALIA	ICELAND	PORTUGAL
AUSTRIA	INDIA	QATAR
BANGLADESH	INDONESIA	ROMANIA
BELGIUM	IRAN, ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF	SAUDI ARABIA
BOLIVIA	IRAQ	SENEGAL
BRAZIL	IRELAND	SIERRA LEONE
BULGARIA	ISRAEL	SINGAPORE
BURMA	ITALY	SOUTH AFRICA
BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC	JAMAICA	SPAIN
CAMEROON	JAPAN	SRI LANKA
CANADA	JORDAN	SUDAN
CHILE	KENYA	SWEDEN
CHINA	KOREA, REPUBLIC OF	SWITZERLAND
COLOMBIA	KUWAIT	SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC
COSTA RICA	LEBANON	THAILAND
COTE D'IVOIRE	LIBERIA	TUNISIA
CUBA	LIBYAN ARAB JAMAHIRIYA	TURKEY
CYPRUS	LIECHTENSTEIN	UGANDA
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	LUXEMBOURG	UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA	MADAGASCAR	UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS
DEMOCRATIC PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF KOREA	MALAYSIA	UNITED ARAB EMIRATES
DENMARK	MALI	UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	MAURITIUS	UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA
ECUADOR	MEXICO	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
EGYPT	MONACO	URUGUAY
EL SALVADOR	MONGOLIA	VENEZUELA
ETHIOPIA	MOROCCO	VIET NAM
FINLAND	NAMIBIA	YUGOSLAVIA
FRANCE	NETHERLANDS	ZAIRE
GABON	NEW ZEALAND	ZAMBIA
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC	NICARAGUA	
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	NIGER	
GHANA	NIGERIA	
GREECE	NORWAY	
	PAKISTAN	
	PANAMA	

The Agency's Statute was approved on 23 October 1956 by the Conference on the Statute of the IAEA held at United Nations Headquarters, New York; it entered into force on 29 July 1957. The Headquarters of the Agency are situated in Vienna. Its principal objective is "to accelerate and enlarge the contribution of atomic energy to peace, health and prosperity throughout the world".

© IAEA, 1986

Permission to reproduce or translate the information contained in this publication may be obtained by writing to the International Atomic Energy Agency, Wagramerstrasse 5, P.O. Box 100, A-1400 Vienna, Austria.

Printed by the IAEA in Austria
May 1986

TECHNICAL REPORTS SERIES No.261

**DECAY DATA
OF THE TRANSACTINIUM NUCLIDES**

**INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY
VIENNA, 1986**

DECAY DATA OF THE TRANSACTINIUM NUCLIDES
IAEA, VIENNA, 1986
STI/DOC/10/261
ISBN 92-0-135086-4

FOREWORD

This report summarizes the work performed by participants in the IAEA Co-ordinated Research Programme (CRP) on the measurement and evaluation of transactinium isotope nuclear decay data which was carried out from 1978 until 1985. The specific objectives of this project were to improve the quality and accuracy of heavy element and actinide decay data in order to:

- assess more accurately the effects of these data on the thermal and fast reactor fuel cycles
- aid in the evaluation of nuclear waste management procedures
- provide more reliable data for nuclear safeguards
- extend the knowledge of actinide decay characteristics required in scientific research.

Within the framework of an international co-operative effort, the CRP participants performed a number of highly precise measurements and systematic in-depth evaluations of the required data. They have also contributed to the development of methodology and measurement techniques, and stimulated a number of measurements and evaluations at laboratories not directly involved in the IAEA project.

The results of the work undertaken by the CRP are presented in Parts 2 and 3 of this book. Part 2 takes the form of data tabulations with specific reference to the measurements and evaluations performed by the CRP participants and the data which they recommend; these consist of listings of recommended half-lives and branching fractions, gamma ray transition energies and emission probabilities, and alpha radiation energies and emission probabilities. A complete set of recommended heavy nuclide decay data is given in Part 3, including data that originate from sources other than the CRP.

CONTENTS

PART 1: ASSESSMENT AND STATUS OF REQUIREMENTS	1
1.1. Introduction	1
1.2. Origin and background	1
1.3. Composition of the CRP	1
1.4. Identification of the requirements	2
1.5. Objectives of the CRP	3
1.6. Achievements of the CRP	3
1.7. Content of final report	13
1.8. Summary and conclusion	14
PART 2: DATA MEASURED AND EVALUATED BY THE CRP	17
Thorium-229	19
Thorium-231	25
Protactinium-231	29
Protactinium-233	45
Uranium-232	49
Uranium-233	57
Uranium-234	63
Uranium-235	69
Uranium-237	73
Uranium-239	77
Neptunium-237	83
Neptunium-239	89
Plutonium-238	93
Plutonium-239	99
Plutonium-240	107
Plutonium-241	115
Plutonium-242	121
Americium-241	127
Americium-242m	135
Americium-243	137
Curium-242	141
Curium-244	145
Californium-252	149

PART 3: DATA RECOMMENDED BY THE CRP	151
Recommended heavy radionuclide half-lives and branching fractions	153
Recommended gamma ray energies and emission probabilities	161
Recommended alpha radiation energies and emission probabilities	167

Part 1

ASSESSMENT AND STATUS OF REQUIREMENTS

1.1. INTRODUCTION

Transactinium nuclides are important in the nuclear fuel cycles of both thermal and fast reactors, and have found increasing applications in other fields. Traditionally, the measurement of decay data for these nuclides has been motivated mainly by their relevance to fundamental low energy nuclear physics. Extensive measurement efforts have also been developed over the past thirty years in response to the increasing need of the nuclear technology community for accurate data. This has resulted in a large amount of detailed data on the decay properties of these nuclei. However, the existence of this extensive body of information does not necessarily mean that the decay data needs for any given application are satisfied. A proper assessment of the adequacy of the existing data base for a given application requires a careful examination of this information in terms of the specific needs of the user.

1.2. ORIGIN AND BACKGROUND

The IAEA, in co-operation with the Nuclear Energy Agency of the OECD, convened in 1975 an Advisory Group Meeting on Transactinium Isotope Nuclear Data (TND), at the Kernforschungszentrum Karlsruhe [1]. This meeting brought together users and measurers to review the requirements and status of the nuclear data for transactinium nuclides relevant to fission reactor research and technology. One of the areas specifically addressed at this meeting was the status of the decay data for these nuclides. A substantial part of the data then available did not meet the requirements specified by the users. More specifically, it was recognized that the accuracy of many of these data was not adequate to satisfy a number of needs in such areas of reactor technology as safeguards, fuel assay, mass determination and standards. In response to this situation, the 1975 TND meeting recommended that the IAEA implement an international programme to improve the status of these data. This recommendation was accepted by the IAEA, and a co-ordinated research programme (CRP) was established in 1977 to review, measure and evaluate the required transactinium isotope decay data.

1.3. COMPOSITION OF THE CRP

Five groups experienced in decay data measurements agreed to participate in the work of this CRP. Their representatives met for the first time at IAEA

Headquarters in Vienna in April 1978 [2]. Subsequent meetings of this representative group were held annually up to 1984 [3–8].

The five laboratories which participated formally in this CRP by performing the required measurements and evaluations are:

UKAEA Atomic Energy Research Establishment (AERE), Harwell, United Kingdom (represented by A.J. Fudge)

Central Bureau for Nuclear Measurements (CBNM), Geel, Belgium (represented by R. Vaninbroukx)

Idaho National Engineering Laboratory (INEL), Idaho Falls, Idaho, USA (represented by C.W. Reich)

Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute (JAERI), Tokai-Mura, Japan (represented by H. Okashita, and previously by H. Umezawa)

Laboratoire de Métrologie des Rayonnements Ionisants (LMRI), Gif-sur-Yvette, France (represented by J. Legrand, with the participation of N. Coursol, F. Lagoutine and G. Malet).

A.L. Nichols of UKAEA Atomic Energy Establishment Winfrith (AEEW), UK, has participated in most of the meetings of the CRP and has been actively involved in its work. In addition, K. Debertin of the Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt (PTB), Braunschweig, Federal Republic of Germany, W.B. Ewbank of the Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL), USA, and V.M. Kulakov of the Kurchatov Atomic Energy Institute, USSR, provided helpful input to some of the meetings. The programme was co-ordinated by A. Lorenz of the IAEA Nuclear Data Section.

At the same time, other laboratories in Japan, the UK and the USA have been engaged in measurements relevant to the objectives of the CRP. In response to specific requests, I. Ahmad of Argonne National Laboratory has carried out accurate alpha particle emission probability measurements on several actinide nuclides. Valuable input to the CRP has also been provided by the US Half-Life Evaluation Committee, which was set up several years prior to the inception of the CRP to deal with the problem of the poor status of the half-life data for the important Pu nuclides (^{239}Pu , ^{240}Pu and ^{241}Pu).

1.4. IDENTIFICATION OF THE REQUIREMENTS

The decay data requirements identified at the 1975 TND meeting at Karlsruhe were used to plan the initial work of the CRP, and a measurement programme was drawn up and started in 1978. At a second IAEA Advisory Group Meeting on Transactinium Isotope Nuclear Data, held at Cadarache in 1979 [9], the original requirements were extensively reviewed and several modifications were made. In some cases, the required accuracies had been achieved and the related data requests were regarded as having been satisfied. However, additional data needs were

identified and increased accuracy requirements were specified. In a number of cases the requested accuracies exceeded the capability of current experimental techniques. A third IAEA Advisory Group Meeting on Transactinium Isotope Nuclear Data was held at Uppsala in May 1984 [10]; it provided an opportunity to make another assessment of the status of the data. A summary of the present status of the data is presented in Table I. This also gives an overview of the requirements which were identified at the three Advisory Group Meetings.

1.5. OBJECTIVES OF THE CRP

The objectives of the CRP were to

- assess the status of the existing data
- identify the data discrepancies and unfulfilled requirements
- encourage measurements to meet the requirements
- evaluate the data

and ultimately to arrive at a final set of decay data for the transactinium nuclides which would satisfy the required accuracies.

To achieve these objectives, the status of the existing data was reviewed annually, priorities were assigned, on-going measurements were co-ordinated and new ones initiated. Considerable emphasis was put on co-operation among the participating laboratories and on the intercomparison of the results. The CRP participants concentrated specifically on the measurement and evaluation of total and partial half-lives, and alpha particle and gamma ray emission probabilities, these being the broad categories of decay data emphasized at the Karlsruhe Advisory Group Meeting in 1975.

1.6. ACHIEVEMENTS OF THE CRP

1.6.1. Measurements

On the basis of their broad experience in decay data measurements, the CRP participants have critically evaluated the experimental feasibility of achieving the requested data accuracies. Their access to and familiarity with several national decay data files has enabled them to unify the information from a number of sources and prepare a list of evaluated data that reflects their status accurately.

One significant aspect of the CRP programme was to have a number of the quantities measured independently by several of the participating laboratories. The evaluation of the results to produce a single recommended value for each quantity (for example, P_{γ} values for ^{235}U) was considered to be an especially important task for which the CRP participants were uniquely qualified.

Text cont. on p. 12.

♦ TABLE I. TRANSACTINIUM ISOTOPE DECAY DATA: REQUIREMENTS, STATUS AND CRP ACTIVITIES

The laboratories which have contributed to the IAEA Co-ordinated Research Programme for the Measurement and Evaluation of Transactinium Isotope Decay Data are referred to by their abbreviations: AEEW – UKAEA Atomic Energy Establishment at Winfrith, AERE – UKAEA Atomic Energy Research Establishment at Harwell, CBNM – CEC Central Bureau for Nuclear Measurements at Geel, Belgium, INEL – Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, Idaho Falls, USA, JAERI – Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute, Tokai-Mura, LMRI – CEA Laboratoire de Métrologie des Rayonnements Ionisants, Saclay, France. The label '+' refers to measurements or evaluations performed by laboratories that have contributed indirectly to the IAEA Co-ordinated Research Programme.

- (a) Uncertainties for alpha, gamma and X-ray emission probabilities: the required and achieved accuracies apply to the major transitions only. The stated uncertainties correspond to 1σ confidence levels.
- (b) The listed requirements represent those for the more prominent transitions from all the members of the decay chain of these nuclides.
- (c) The beta emission probabilities are inferred from the gamma emission probabilities.
- (d) P_X refers to L-X-ray emission probabilities.

Nuclide	Data type	Accuracy (%) (a)		Needs	CRP activities		Comments
		Required	Achieved		Measurements	Evaluations	
Th-228	$T_{1/2}$	1	0.1	Decay chain calculations (includes daughters)	–	CBNM	CRP participants believe that the achieved accuracy of the half-life is adequate
	P_γ	2 (b)	2–5		CBNM, INEL	CBNM, LMRI	
Th-229	$T_{1/2}$	1	2	Mass determination in U-233 chain	–	–	CRP participants believe that the achieved accuracy of the half-life is adequate
	P_γ	2 (b)	1–3		INEL, +	INEL	
Th-230	$T_{1/2}$	1	0.4	Marine dating	+	+	

Th-232	$T_{1/2}$	not requested	0.4	Includes daughters	—	+	
	P_γ	not requested			—		
Th-233	$T_{1/2}$	1	0.5	Thorium cycle-	—	—	P_β and P_γ requirements
	P_β	2	unknown	decay heat	—	—	are not satisfied.
	P_γ	2	unknown		AERE	—	AERE measurement of
							P_γ planned
Pa-231	$T_{1/2}$	1	0.3	Non-destructive assay	—	—	
	P_α	2	2–7		—	AEEW	
	P_γ	2	2–5		AERE	AEEW	
Pa-233	$T_{1/2}$	1	0.4	Decay heat and mass	—	—	
	P_β	2	unknown	determination	—	—	Requirement for P_β is
	P_γ	2	1		AERE, CBNM, INEL	INEL	not satisfied
U-232	$T_{1/2}$	1	0.7	Shielding calculations (includes daughters)	AERE, +	+	$T_{1/2}$ requires confirmation.
	P_α	2	1		—	—	$AERE$ measurement is
	P_γ	2	1–2		AERE, CBNM, INEL, +	CBNM	planned

TABLE I (cont.)

Nuclide	Data type	Accuracy (%) (a)			CRP activities		Comments
		Required	Achieved	Needs	Measurements	Evaluations	
U-233	$T_{1/2}$	0.5	0.1	Thorium fuel cycle and environmental studies	+	+	AERE measurement planned P_X requirement not satisfied
	$T_{1/2}$ (SF)	not requested			+	-	
	P_α	2	1-2		+	INEL	
	P_γ	2	1-2		AERE, INEL	INEL	
	P_X (d)	5	unknown		-	-	
U-234	$T_{1/2}$	0.3	0.1	Mass determination and non-destructive assay	+	AEEW	The required accuracy of 3% for P_α is unlikely to be achieved
	$T_{1/2}$ (SF)	not requested			+	-	
	P_α	1	0.03-1		CBNM, JAERI, +	AEEW	
	P_γ	2	1-2		CBNM	AEEW	
U-235	$T_{1/2}$	0.5	0.1	Mass determination and non-destructive assay	-	+	The required accuracy of 3% for P_α is unlikely to be achieved
	$T_{1/2}$ (SF)	not requested			+	-	
	P_α	3	5-12		-	-	
	P_γ	1	1		AERE, CBNM, INEL, +	CBNM	
U-236	$T_{1/2}$	1	0.1	Mass determination and non-destructive assay	-	+	P_α and P_γ requirements are not satisfied
	$T_{1/2}$ (SF)	not requested	3		+	-	
	P_α	3	5-15		-	-	
	P_γ	3	10		-	-	
U-237	P_γ	1	2-3	Non-destructive assay of Pu	AERE, INEL	LMRI	AERE measurement of P_γ in progress

U-238	$T_{1/2}$	1	0.1	Mass determination	-	+	Required accuracies for P_α , P_γ and P_X are unlikely to be achieved. AERE measurement of P_γ planned
	$T_{1/2}$ (SF)	2	1.2	and non-destructive assay; $T_{1/2}$ (SF) for	+	+	
	P_α	3	5-20	geochronology;	-	-	
	P_γ	3	13	AERE, +	-	-	
	P_X (d)	3	unknown	P_X for environmental studies	-	-	
U-239	$T_{1/2}$	1	0.2	Decay heat	-	AEEW	P_β requirement is not satisfied
	P_β	2	2-20		+	AEEW	
	P_γ	2	2		+	AEEW	
Np-236	$T_{1/2}$	5	10	U-232 production	+	-	$T_{1/2}$ and P_β requirements not satisfied
	Branching ratio	5	2		-	-	
	P_β	2	unknown		-	-	
	P_γ	2	2		-	-	
Np-236m	$T_{1/2}$	5	2	U-232 production	-	-	
	Branching ratio	5	2		-	-	
Np-237	$T_{1/2}$	0.5	0.5	Environmental studies and mass determination	AERE/CBNM	-	Confirmatory measurement of $T_{1/2}$ is definitely required. New $T_{1/2}$ results are expected from AERE and CBNM in 1985. P_α and P_X requirements are not satisfied. Measurement of P_α is planned by CBNM
	P_α	1	20		CBNM	-	
	P_γ	1	1-2		AERE, CBNM, +	INEL	
	P_X (d)	2	unknown				

∞ TABLE I (cont.)

Nuclide	Data type	Accuracy (%) (a)		Needs	CRP activities		Comments
		Required	Achieved		Measurements	Evaluations	
Np-238	$T_{1/2}$	2	0.1	Activation analysis of Np-237 and Am-242m determination	—	—	P_γ requirement is not satisfied
	P_γ	2	5		—	—	
Np-239	$T_{1/2}$	1	0.2	Decay heat and detector calibration standard	—	—	$T_{1/2}$, P_α and P_γ requirements are not satisfied
	P_β	2	(c)		—	—	
	P_γ	1	1–2		CBNM, +	CBNM	
Pu-236	$T_{1/2}$	1	3.0	U-232 production	—	+	$T_{1/2}$, P_α and P_γ requirements are not satisfied
	P_α	2	1–3		—	—	
	P_γ	3	30		—	—	
Pu-237	$T_{1/2}$	not requested	0.1	Environmental studies	+	CBNM	P_X requirement is not satisfied
	P_X (d)		2		—	—	
Pu-238	$T_{1/2}$	0.5	0.3	Mass determination and non-destructive assay; P_X for detector calibration	+	+	$T_{1/2}$ (SF) requirement is not satisfied. LMRI measurement of P_γ planned
	$T_{1/2}$ (SF)	2	4		—	+	
	P_α	1	<1		CBNM, +	LMRI	
	P_γ	1	1–2		CBNM, INEL, LMRI	LMRI	
	P_X (d)	2	2–3		—	—	

Pu-239	$T_{1/2}$	0.5	0.1		AERE, CBNM, +	CBNM	
	P_α	1	1-2	Mass determination,	+ INEL, LMRI, +	JAERI	
	P_γ	1	<1	non-destructive		JAERI	
	$P_X(d)$	3	3	assay and environmental studies	-	-	
Pu-240	$T_{1/2}$	0.5	0.1		+ CBNM	CBNM/LMRI	
	$T_{1/2}$ (SF)	2	3	Mass determination,	+ + LMRI		$T_{1/2}$ (SF) requirement is not satisfied.
	\bar{P}_α	i	1-2	non-destructive assay	+ INEL, LMRI	LMRI	
	P_γ	1	1-2	and environmental		LMRI	P_γ measurement planned by LMRI
	$P_X(d)$	3	3	studies; $T_{1/2}$ (SF) for waste management	-	-	
Pu-241	$T_{1/2}$	0.5	0.7	Mass determination	AERE, CBNM, +	CBNM	
	$T_{1/2}$ (α)	I	0.8	and non-destructive	CBNM	-	$T_{1/2}$ requirement is not satisfied (measurements in progress)
	P_γ	1	1-2	assay	INEL, +	LMRI	
Pu-242	$T_{1/2}$	1	0.3	Mass determination,	+ -	+	
	$T_{1/2}$ (SF)	5	1.5	non-destructive assay	- -	+	
	P_α	5	<1	and environmental	-	-	
	P_γ	5	2-5	studies	CBNM	-	
	$P_X(d)$	3	unknown		-	-	P_X requirement is not satisfied
Am-241	$T_{1/2}$	0.2	0.1	Non-destructive assay	-	CBNM	
	P_α	not requested	1-2	and low energy gamma	+ CBNM	CBNM	
	P_γ	0.5-1	1-10	emission standard.	CBNM, LMRI	CBNM	
	$P_X(d)$	2	3	0.5% accuracy requested for 59.5 keV gamma emission probability	- -	-	CBNM measurement of P_γ in progress. P_X requirement not satisfied

TABLE I (cont.)

Nuclide	Data type	Accuracy (%) (a)			CRP activities			Comments
		Required	Achieved	Needs	Measurements	Evaluations		
Am-242	$T_{1/2}$	1	0.1	Cm-244 production and	-	y	-	$T_{1/2}$ requires confirmatory measurement. P_X requirements not satisfied
	Branching ratio	1	1	Am mass determination	-	-	-	
Am-242m	$T_{1/2}$	1	1.4	Cm-244 production	+	AEEW	-	$T_{1/2}$ requires confirmatory measurement. P_X requirements not satisfied
	Branching ratio	1	0.03	and Am mass determination	-	AEEW	-	
	P_X (d)	3	unknown		-	-	-	
Am-243	$T_{1/2}$	1	0.2	Mass determination,	+	CBNM	-	P_α , P_γ and P_X requirements are not satisfied
	P_α	1	unknown	long term storage and	-	CBNM	-	
	P_γ	1	2	environmental studies	CBNM, +	CBNM	-	
	P_X (d)	2	unknown		-	-	-	
Cm-242	$T_{1/2}$	0.2	0.04		AERE, JAERI, +	JAERI	-	P_X requirement is not satisfied
	$T_{1/2}$ (SF)	5	2	Non-destructive	JAERI, +	JAERI	-	
	P_γ	5	4-20	assay	-	-	-	
Cm-243	$T_{1/2}$	1	0.3		-	+	-	P_X requirement is not satisfied
	P_α	5	1-3	Non-destructive	-	-	-	
	P_γ	5	2-10	assay and environ-	-	-	-	
	P_X (d)	5	unknown	mental studies	-	-	-	

Cm-244	$T_{1/2}$	1	0.3		—	+	
	$T_{1/2}$ (SF)	3	0.4		—	+	
	P_α	3	<1	Non-destructive assay	+	LMRI	
	P_γ	3	2~10	and environmental	—	LMRI	
	P_X (d)	3	3	studies	—	—	P_γ requirement is not satisfied
Cm-245	$T_{1/2}$	1	1	Long term storage and	+	+	
	P_α	5	0.5~2	environmental studies	—	—	
	P_γ	5	10		—	—	P_γ and P_X requirements are not satisfied
	P_X (d)	5	unknown		—	—	
Cm-246	$T_{1/2}$	1	2	Long term storage and	—	+	
	P_α	3	1~5	environmental studies	—	—	
	P_γ	3	unknown		—	—	$T_{1/2}$, P_α , P_γ and P_X requirements are not satisfied
	P_X (d)	3	unknown		—	—	
Cm-248	$T_{1/2}$	2	1	Long term storage and	—	+	
	P_α	3	<1	environmental studies	—	—	
	P_γ	3	unknown		—	—	P_γ and P_X requirements are not satisfied
	P_X (d)	3	unknown		—	—	
Cf-250	$T_{1/2}$	0.2	0.7	Impurity in Cf-252	—	—	
	$T_{1/2}$ (SF)	2	4	neutron standard	—	—	$T_{1/2}$ and $T_{1/2}$ (SF) requirements are not satisfied
Cf-252	$T_{1/2}$	0.2	0.3	Neutron standard	LMRI	INEL	
	$T_{1/2}$ (SF)	1	0.3		—	+	$T_{1/2}$ requirement is not satisfied; discrepancies exist among measured half-lives

The accuracies requested for many of the data were quite high, especially the gamma ray emission probabilities. This presented challenging experimental problems. Nevertheless, during the seven years of the CRP, some of these problems have been solved. A considerable amount of data has been produced with the required accuracy (at least for the prominent transitions, which are usually the ones of most interest to the user). Thus, the CRP has not only helped improve the existing capabilities of the participating laboratories but has also encouraged the development of such capabilities at other laboratories. Together with the systematic production of highly accurately measured decay data, this interaction among laboratories represents one of the more significant long term effects of the work of the CRP.

In comparing the measurements of gamma ray emission probabilities performed by the participating laboratories, it became evident that the individual results depended to a considerable extent on the calibration of the gamma ray detectors. In order to achieve some form of unity among the calibration data of the CRP laboratories, the IAEA compiled a provisional list of gamma ray emission probabilities and half-lives for all nuclides used as standards. This compilation represents an initial step towards a common base of reference standard data, and was published in report form in 1983 [11]. The data for these nuclides were selected from the most recent available evaluations.

In view of the importance of reference standards for the calibration of detectors used in the measurement of gamma ray emission probabilities, the CRP participants at the 1984 TND meeting re-emphasized the need for a common data base for these nuclides that should be used by all nuclear data measurement laboratories. It was recommended that an evaluation of the decay data be carried out for the most commonly used calibration nuclides and their gamma ray transitions in order to create an acceptable data base.

1.6.2. Evaluations

The CRP also evaluated specific decay data of the transactinium nuclides in order to arrive at a consistent set of recommended values. During the first two years, this effort was restricted mainly to the preparation of half-life values for 40 nuclides, ranging from ^{228}Th to ^{253}Es . In later years, this list was updated and expanded to incorporate nuclides with $Z < 90$ that were members of the decay chains of the transactinium nuclides. The most recently issued half-life list contains information on total and partial half-lives for 125 nuclides [12]. Substantial information has been obtained from:

- the current mass-chain evaluations published in Nuclear Data Sheets [13] (which uses the Evaluated Nuclear Structure Data File as a source file);
- the Actinide Decay Data File of the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory [14] (which serves as the source file for the decay data incorporated into the Actinide File of ENDF/B); and

- the UK Chemical Nuclear Data Committee Heavy Element Decay Data File [15] (evaluated at AEEW).

In a number of cases, data have been supplemented or replaced by values measured or evaluated by members of the CRP and by other groups and individuals.

More recently, part of this effort has been directed towards the preparation of provisional lists of recommended values for alpha particle and gamma ray emission probabilities [12]. Values given in these lists were based generally on data published in Nuclear Data Sheets, supplemented by values from the Table of Isotopes [16] when appropriate. These values represented the current status of the published evaluated data. The provisional lists contained alpha particle transition probability data for 24 nuclides ranging from ^{228}Th to ^{252}Cf , and gamma ray emission probability data for 26 nuclides from ^{228}Th to ^{246}Cm . These provisional lists have now been superseded by the data presented in Part 3 of this report.

1.6.3. Consideration of uncertainties

The CRP participants established the following guidelines for the assignment of uncertainties:

- That the total uncertainty be based on a 1σ random error plus one-third the linear sum of the systematic errors based on a statistical confidence level of 68.3%;
- That an uncertainty assigned to a mean value should not be smaller than the smallest uncertainty of the values used to calculate the mean;
- For those nuclides that are sufficiently long lived that their half-lives cannot be simply determined by following their decay, the half-lives are generally determined through the measurement of two quantities, namely the number of atoms in the sample and the sample activity; since the CRP participants believe that both these quantities cannot be determined reliably with accuracies better than 0.1%, they have assigned a minimum uncertainty of 0.1% to these half-life values.

1.7. CONTENT OF FINAL REPORT

This report represents the culmination of a series of detailed measurements and evaluations carried out under the auspices of the CRP. In a number of instances, the data incorporated in this report differ from those in other publications or files. To understand the significance of such differences, it is important to remember that the data in this report have been subdivided into three general

categories that are based upon the extent of CRP measurement and evaluation involvement:

- *the first category* consists of new decay data generated by the activities of the CRP and evaluated in conjunction with other independent measurements;
- *the second category* includes data for which new measurements have resulted in a change of the earlier value, or where a re-evaluation of previously existing data indicated that changes are appropriate;
- *the third category* involves data that have been adopted from existing national data files or publications with little or no additional evaluation by the CRP.

The data evaluation effort of the CRP has been directed primarily towards the first two categories mentioned above. No particular importance should be attached to differences between values listed in the third category and those available in existing files or publications of evaluated decay data. However, the CRP participants believe that the values in these first two categories represent significant improvements in the data. Consequently, it is hoped that users and evaluators of transactinium nuclide decay data will regard any differences between the CRP-evaluated values in these two categories and those previously available as important, and will incorporate these changes into their own data files.

1.8. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

During its existence, the CRP has highlighted various data requirements and succeeded in satisfying many of them. The achievements are summarized in the tables contained in this report; and they are believed to represent a significant improvement in the quality of specific decay data for the transactinium nuclides.

Although the situation has improved considerably since the Karlsruhe and Cadarache Advisory Group Meetings, several of the identified decay data needs remain unsatisfied. For some of them the required accuracies are questionable. For others it will be difficult, or even impossible, to achieve the required accuracies with the present experimental capabilities.

The CRP has accomplished a number of its goals. It has:

- (a) Evaluated the accuracy requirements for decay data established by the users at the Karlsruhe, Cadarache and Uppsala Advisory Group Meetings and has grouped them into three general categories: (a) those which are satisfied by presently available data; (b) those which lie beyond the capabilities of present measurement techniques; (c) those not satisfied but which are achievable with present experimental capabilities;
- (b) Assessed the status of the existing data in the light of these requirements, and maintained an awareness of new measurements;
- (c) Identified and co-ordinated existing measurement expertise in order to acquire the required data; and

- (d) Prepared a report which presents a critical evaluation of the data and summarizes their current status.

Despite the large body of new and accurate decay data that has been produced by the laboratories which have participated in the CRP, much remains to be done. A number of the accuracy requirements have not been met, and it is hoped that this fact will encourage other groups or individuals interested in transactinium nuclide decay data measurements to become involved in producing highly accurate data in response to these identified needs.

REFERENCES

- [1] INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Transactinium Isotope Nuclear Data (Proc. Advisory Group Meeting Karlsruhe, 1975), IAEA-TECDOC-186, IAEA, Vienna (1976).
- [2] LORENZ, A. (Ed.), Measurement and Evaluation of Transactinium Isotope Nuclear Data (Summary Report, 1st IAEA Research Co-ordination Meeting Vienna, 1978), IAEA, Vienna, Rep. INDC(NDS)-96/N (1978).
- [3] LORENZ, A. (Ed.), Measurement and Evaluation of Transactinium Isotope Nuclear Data (Summary Report, 2nd IAEA Research Co-ordination Meeting Aix-en-Provence, 1979), IAEA, Vienna, Rep. INDC(NDS)-105/N (1979).
- [4] LORENZ, A. (Ed.), Measurement and Evaluation of Transactinium Isotope Nuclear Data (Summary Report, 3rd IAEA Research Co-ordination Meeting Vienna, 1980), IAEA, Vienna, Rep. INDC(NDS)-118/NE (1980).
- [5] LORENZ, A. (Ed.), Measurement and Evaluation of Transactinium Isotope Nuclear Data (Summary Report, 4th IAEA Research Co-ordination Meeting Vienna, 1981), IAEA, Vienna, Rep. INDC(NDS)-126/NE (1981).
- [6] LORENZ, A. (Ed.), Measurement and Evaluation of Transactinium Isotope Nuclear Data (Summary Report, 5th IAEA Research Co-ordination Meeting Geel, 1982), IAEA, Vienna, Rep. INDC(NDS)-138/GE (1982).
- [7] LORENZ, A. (Ed.), Measurement and Evaluation of Transactinium Isotope Nuclear Data (Summary Report, 6th IAEA Research Co-ordination Meeting Idaho Falls, 1983), IAEA, Vienna, Rep. INDC(NDS)-147/GE (1983).
- [8] LORENZ, A. (Ed.), Measurement and Evaluation of Transactinium Isotope Nuclear Data (Summary Report, 7th IAEA Research Co-ordination Meeting Vienna, 1984), IAEA, Vienna, Rep. INDC(NDS)-164/GE (1985).
- [9] INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Transactinium Isotope Nuclear Data – 1979 (Proc. IAEA Advisory Group Meeting Cadarache, 1979), IAEA-TECDOC-232, IAEA, Vienna (1980).
- [10] INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Transactinium Isotope Nuclear Data – 1984 (Proc. IAEA Advisory Group Meeting Uppsala, 1984), IAEA-TECDOC-336, IAEA, Vienna (1985).
- [11] LORENZ, A., "Decay data for radionuclides used as calibration standards", Nuclear Data Standards for Nuclear Measurements, Technical Reports Series No. 227, IAEA, Vienna (1985) 89.
- [12] LORENZ, A., Proposed Recommended List of Heavy Element Radionuclide Decay Data, IAEA, Vienna, Rep. INDC(NDS)-149/NE (1983).
- [13] Nuclear Data Sheets. Edited by the Nuclear Data Project for the International Network for Nuclear Structure Data Evaluation, Academic Press, New York.

- [14] REICH, C.W., Status of Beta- and Gamma-Decay and Spontaneous-Fission Data from Transactinium Isotopes, US Dept. of Energy, Washington, DC, Rep. ANCR-1299 (1976); (also contained in Ref. [1], Vol. III, pp. 265–308). (The Evaluated Nuclear Data File/B (ENDF/B) is maintained at the US National Nuclear Data Center, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY.)
- [15] NICHOLS, A.L., JAMES, M.F., Radioactive Heavy Element Decay Data for Reactor Calculations, UKAEA Atomic Energy Establishment Winfrith, UK, Rep. AEEW-R 1407 (1981).
- [16] LEDERER, C.M., SHIRLEY, V.S., (Eds), Table of Isotopes (7th Edition), Wiley, New York (1978).

Part 2

DATA MEASURED AND EVALUATED BY THE CRP

This part of the report contains the results of measurements performed by members of the CRP. These data and other relevant experimental results have been evaluated to produce the recommended values of heavy element decay data. Half-lives, gamma ray emission probabilities and alpha particle emission probabilities are listed separately for each radionuclide considered by the CRP. Source references for these evaluations are also given.

As described above, uncertainties have been treated as 1σ values in the calculation of weighted means. Since the same calibration nuclides may have been used by the other measurers, the uncertainties of the individual gamma ray emission probabilities may be partially correlated. Therefore, the adopted uncertainty of the weighted mean value of a set of such measurements has been taken to be no less than that of the most accurate individual value. It is also important to note that the basis upon which detectors were calibrated and the meaning of the quoted uncertainties were not always made clear in some of the other measurements included in these evaluations. However, these data have been considered in the evaluations and suitable judgements have been made by the evaluators when appropriate.

NOTE

Uncertainties: The uncertainty in any number is sometimes given one space after the number in italics:

4.623 *3* means 4.623 ± 0.003

3.75 *11* means 3.75 ± 0.11

I. HALF-LIFE

^{229}Th

Recommended value: 7340 ± 160 a

This value was adopted from the Nuclear Date Sheets [1].

II. EMISSION PROBABILITIES OF SELECTED GAMMA RAYS

Evaluuated by C.W. Reich (INEL, Idaho Falls, USA).

A. Recommended values^a

E_γ (keV) ^b	Emission probability (P_γ) (Photons per decay of ^{229}Th)
31.10	
31.50	
31.57	
40.10 (^{225}Ra)	0.0245 ± 0.0006
42.3	
42.82	
43.99	0.300 ± 0.007
43.99	0.00199 ± 0.00006
56.52	0.00762 ± 0.00017
56.52	0.00312 ± 0.00007
94.73 ^c	0.00465 ± 0.00008
107.11	0.00809 ± 0.00013
110.33	0.00128 ± 0.00003
123.19 ^c	0.00197 ± 0.00003
124.55	
124.65	
131.93	
136.99	
142.96	
147.64	
148.15	
150.0 (^{225}Ac)	0.01449 ± 0.00020
154.34 ^c	0.00327 ± 0.00005
156.41	0.01171 ± 0.00016
179.76	0.00401 ± 0.00006
193.51	0.01091 ± 0.00015
193.51	0.00796 ± 0.00011
193.51	0.00922 ± 0.00013
193.51	0.01237 ± 0.00018
193.51	0.00215 ± 0.00004
193.51	0.0441 ± 0.0006

^{229}Th

E_γ (keV) ^b	Emission probability (P_γ) (Photons per decay of ^{229}Th)
204.69	0.00595 ± 0.00009
210.15	
210.85	}
218.1 (^{221}Fr) ^d	0.1157 ± 0.0015
292.8 (^{213}Bi)	0.00429 ± 0.00007
440.46 (^{213}Bi)	0.261 ± 0.003
465.4 (^{209}Tl)	0.02022 ± 0.00026
808.9 (^{213}Bi)	0.00292 ± 0.00012

Notes to Table A

- ^a The listed P_γ values are for a ^{229}Th source in secular equilibrium with the members of its decay chain. Gamma rays arising from the decay of a nuclide other than ^{229}Th are labelled (in parentheses) by the parent nuclide.
- ^b Nominal values only.
- ^c Gamma ray peak contains a contribution from radiation emitted by a member of the ^{229}Th decay chain.
- ^d Gamma ray peak contains a small contribution from ^{229}Th decay.

B. CRP measurements

The only CRP-based P_γ measurement is that carried out at INEL and reported in Ref. [2]. Since this is the only CRP-based measurement and since these data are given in II.C below, they are not additionally presented here.

C. Comparison with other measurements

²²⁹Th

E_{γ} (keV) ^a	CRP measurements ^b		Other measurements ^b			Recommended values ^k (Equilibrium source data only)
	Helmer et al. (1985) [5]		Rattan et al. (1983) [3]	Dickens and McConnell (1981) [4]	Tret'yakov et al. (1970) [5]	
	Equilibrium source	Chemically purified source ^c	Chemically purified source	Equilibrium source ^d	Chemically purified source	
31.10		0.0087 ^e	0.00896 80			
31.50	{ 0.0245 6 ^e	0.0122	0.01692 85	0.0340 8 ^g	{ 0.040	{ 0.0245 6
31.57		0.0007				
40.10 (²²⁵ Ra)	0.300 7	—	—	0.393 12		0.300 7
42.25	{ 0.00199 6 ^e	0.0008 ^e	{ 0.00188 10	{ 0.00272 11		{ 0.00199 6
42.76		0.0017				
43.99	0.00762 17	0.0068 3	0.00604 20	—		0.00762 17
56.52	0.00312 7	0.0030 2	0.00246 6	0.00427 15		0.00312 7
94.73 ^f	0.00465 8	0.0027 2	0.00232 6	—		0.00465 8
107.11	0.00809 13	0.0083 4	0.00656 9	0.0095 3		0.00809 13
110.33	0.00128 3	0.00128 13	0.00107 4	—		0.00128 3
123.19 ^f	0.00197 3	0.00155 7	0.00120 4	—		0.00197 3
124.55	{ 0.01449 20 ^e	0.0071 ^e	{ 0.01040 12	{ 0.0162 5	{ 0.036	0.01449 20
124.65		0.0076				
131.93	0.00327 5	0.00345 13	—	0.00433 15		0.00327 5
136.99	0.01171 16	0.0121 3	0.00904 18	0.0151 5	0.016	0.01171 16
142.96	0.00401 6	0.00416 13	0.00314 6	0.00532 19		0.00401 6

E_γ (keV) ^a	CRP measurements ^b		Other measurements ^b			Recommended values ^k (Equilibrium source data only)
	Helmer et al. (1985) [5]		Rattan et al. (1983) [3]	Dickens and McConnell (1981) [4]	Tret'yakov et al. (1970) [5]	
	Equilibrium source	Chemically purified source ^c	Chemically purified source	Equilibrium source ^d	Chemically purified source	
147.63	{ 0.01091 15 ^e	0.0021 ^e	0.00183 14	{ 0.0126 4	{ 0.011	{ 0.01091 15
148.09		0.0091	0.00708 17			
150.0 (^{225}Ac)	0.00796 11	—	—	0.00981 33 ^j		0.00796 11
154.34 ^f	0.00922 13	0.0079 2	0.00612 12	0.0113 4	{ 0.022	0.00922 13
156.41	0.01237 18	0.0122 3	0.00972 18	0.0126 4		0.01237 18
172.93	—	—	0.00093 6	0.00130 6		—
179.76	0.00215 4	0.00203 16	0.00176 5	0.00262 10		0.00215 4
183.95	—	—	0.00188 6	0.00091 9		—
193.51	0.0441 6	0.0454 ^h	0.03769 75	0.0589 18	0.045	0.0441 6
204.69	0.00595 9	0.0061 3	0.00495 12	0.0075 4		0.00595 9
210.13	{ 0.0318 4 ^e	0.0020 ^{e,h}	0.00210 33	{ 0.0400 13	{ 0.032	{ 0.0318 4
210.85		0.0285	0.02467 63			
218.1 (^{221}Fr) ⁱ	0.1157 15	—	0.00149 37	0.1344 27		0.1157 15
292.8 (^{213}Bi)	0.00429 7	—		0.00426 24		0.00429 7
440.46 (^{213}Bi)	0.261 3	—		0.274		0.261 3
465.4 (^{209}Tl)	0.02022 26	—		0.0214 19		0.02022 26
808.9 (^{213}Bi)	0.00292 12	—		0.00316 7		0.00292 12

Notes to Table C

- ^a Nominal values only. Peaks resulting from the decay of a nuclide other than ^{229}Th are labelled by the respective parent nuclide.
- ^b All P_γ values are expressed in units of photons per decay of ^{229}Th .
- ^c These data are taken from a detailed study, to be published elsewhere, of the decay scheme of ^{229}Th , utilizing chemically purified sources. The gamma ray intensities resulting from this study are given here to assist in a comparison of data, such as those of Refs [3] and [5], taken using chemically purified source material, with data such as those in Ref. [4], from sources containing an equilibrium concentration of decay chain members.
- ^d Listed uncertainties do not include an overall 4% uncertainty in the absolute normalization (see Ref. [4]).
- ^e The results obtained for the relative intensities of the gamma rays making up a complex, and only partially resolved, peak such as this may depend on the resolution of the detector employed and the peak-fitting techniques used.
- ^f Peak also contains a contribution from radiation emitted by a member of the decay chain.
- ^g Includes contribution from a 31.60 keV gamma ray, listed as 'unassigned' in Ref. [4]. We are indebted to J.K. Dickens for bringing this to our attention.
- ^h Normalization points. Normalization of the data from the chemically purified source studies to those from the 'equilibrium' source was done by requiring that the sum of the intensities of the 193 and 210 keV lines be the same in the two sets of data.
- ⁱ This peak, due mostly to the ^{221}Fr decay, contains a small contribution from ^{229}Th decay.
- ^j The listed uncertainty differs from that given in Ref. [4], possibly representing a typographical error in the latter.
- ^k The normalization scales of the P_γ data reported in Refs [3] and [4] are clearly different. Furthermore, significant differences exist among some of the ratios of P_γ values as reported in these two studies. (These points have been discussed by Dickens (see Ref. [6]).) Although the fact that different source conditions were used in these two studies might account for some of these differences in P_γ ratios, it is clear that this cannot account for all of them. In this evaluation, we have chosen to adopt as 'recommended values' those reported in Ref. [2].

- [1] TOTH, K.S., Nucl. Data Sheets **24** (1978) 263.
- [2] HELMER, R.G., REICH, C.W., LEE, M.A., AHMAD, I., Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot. **37** (1986) 139.
- [3] RATTAN, S.S., REDDY, A.V.R., MALLAPURKAR, V.S., SINGH, R.J., PRAKASH, SATYA, RAMANIAH, M.V., Phys. Rev. C **27** (1983) 327.
- [4] DICKENS, J.K., McCONNELL, J.W., Radiochem. Radioanal. Lett. **47** (1981) 331.
- [5] TRET'YAKOV, E.F., TRET'YAKOVA, N.I., KONYAEV, V.F., KHRUDEV, Yu.V., BEDA, A.C., KARTASHEV, G.F., VISHNEVSKII, I.N., Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Fiz. **34** (1970) 856; Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR, Phys. Ser. **34** (1971) 763.
- [6] DICKENS, J.K., Phys. Rev. C **28** (1983) 1404.

I. HALF-LIFE

^{231}Th

Recommended value: 25.52 ± 0.01 h

This value was adopted from the Nuclear Data Sheets [1].

II. EMISSION PROBABILITIES OF SELECTED GAMMA RAYS

Evaluated by R. Vaninbroukx (CBNM, Geel, Belgium).

A. Recommended values

E_γ (keV)	P_γ
25.64	0.146 ± 0.003
58.57	0.0050 ± 0.0005
72.75	0.0026 ± 0.0002
81.23	0.0085 ± 0.0003
82.09	0.0037 ± 0.0002
84.21	0.0671 ± 0.0010
102.27	0.0040 ± 0.0002
124.91	0.00060 ± 0.00003
134.03	0.00025 ± 0.00005
135.66	0.00084 ± 0.00007
217.94	0.00037 ± 0.00001

B. CRP measurements

^{231}Th

E_γ (keV)	Vaninbroukx and Denecke (1982) [2]	Banham and Jones (1983) [3]	Helmer and Reich (1984) [4]
25.64	0.145 3	0.150 10	
58.57		0.0044 4	
72.75		0.0051 5	
81.23		0.0086 3	
82.09		0.0039 2	
84.21	0.066 3	0.0652 13	0.0684 10
102.27		0.0042 2	
124.91		0.00058 8	
134.03		0.00019 9	
135.66		0.00085 15	
217.94		0.00037 1	

C. Comparison with other measurements

 ^{231}Th

E_γ (keV)	CRP measurements			Other measurements			Evaluated values ^c
	Vaninbroukx and Denecke (1982) [2]	Banham and Jones (1983) [3]	Helmer and Reich (1984) [4]	Teoh (1973) [5] ^a	Hornshøj et al. (1975) [6]	Baranov et al. (1977) [7] ^a	
	25.64	0.145 3	0.150 10		0.148 10	0.22 1 ^b	0.146 3
58.57		0.0044 4			0.0048 2	0.0059 3	0.0050 5 ^d
72.75		0.0051 5 ^b		0.0025 2	0.00251 15	0.0027 2	0.0026 2
81.23		0.0086 3		0.0091 6	0.0089 5	0.0078 4	0.0085 3
82.09		0.0039 2		0.0046 3	0.0040 3	0.0031 2	0.0037 2
84.21	0.066 3	0.0652 13	0.0684 10		0.065 4		0.0671 10
102.27		0.0042 2		0.0046 3	0.0041 3	0.0036 2	0.0040 2
124.91		0.00058 8			0.00056 3	0.00068 4	0.00060 3
134.03		0.00019 9			0.00024 1	0.00038 3	0.00025 5 ^d
135.66		0.00085 15			0.00078 5	0.0011 1	0.00084 7
217.94		0.00037 1			0.00040 3		0.00037 1

^a The P_γ values have been calculated from the measured relative intensities using $P_\gamma = 0.0671 \pm 0.0010$ for the 84 keV reference line; a minimum uncertainty of 5% has been attributed to these values.

^b These P_γ values, deviating by several times the quoted uncertainty from those of the other measurements, have not been considered in the calculation of the evaluated values.

^c The evaluated values and uncertainties are based on weighted means calculated according to Topping [8].

^d For consistency reasons, the quoted uncertainties have been increased for the calculation of the weighted mean and its uncertainty.

REFERENCES

- [1] SCHMORAK, M.R., Nucl. Data Sheets **40** (1983) 1.
- [2] VANINBROUKX, R., DENECKE, B., Nucl. Instrum. Methods **193** (1982) 191.
- [3] BANHAM, M.F., JONES, R., Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot. **34** (1983) 1225.
- [4] HELMER, R.G., REICH, C.W., Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot. **35** (1984) 783.
- [5] TEOH, W., Nucl. Instrum. Methods **109** (1973) 509.
- [6] HORNSHØJ, P., TIDEMAND-PETERSSON, P., KACZAROWSKI, R., KOTLINSKA, B., Nucl. Phys. A**248** (1975) 406.
- [7] BARANOV, S.A., SHATINSKII, V.M., ZELENKOV, A.G., PCHELIN, V.A., Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **26** (1977) 486.
- [8] TOPPING, J., Errors of Observation and their Treatment, 3rd edn, Chapman and Hall, London (1963) 87–93.

I. HALF-LIFE

^{231}Pa

Recommended value: 32760 ± 110 a

This value was adopted from the Nuclear Data Sheets [1].

No CRP-related measurement or evaluation of the half-life has been carried out: the recommended value has been taken from the Evaluated Nuclear Structure Data File (ENSDF), the data base associated with the preparation of Nuclear Data Sheets.

II. EMISSION PROBABILITIES OF SELECTED GAMMA RAYS

Evaluating by A.L. Nichols (UKAEA, AEE Winfrith).

A. Recommended values

E_γ (keV)	P_γ ^a
16.39 ± 0.02	0.0022 ± 0.0001
18.95 ± 0.02	0.00354 ± 0.00007 ^b
(23.6 ± 0.1)	0.000044 ± 0.000005 ^c
24.5 ± 0.1	0.000035 ± 0.000001 ^d
25.44 ± 0.06	0.00095 ± 0.00003 ^e
27.360 ± 0.020	0.111 ± 0.004 ^f
29.950 ± 0.020	0.00095 ± 0.00006
(31.5 ± 0.1)	0.000076 ± 0.000007 ^g
35.9 ± 0.1	0.00016 ± 0.00002
38.20 ± 0.03	0.00143 ± 0.00009
(39.9 ± 0.1)	^{g, h}
42.3 ± 0.1	0.000054 ± 0.000010 ⁱ
44.17 ± 0.04	0.00056 ± 0.00007
46.370 ± 0.020	0.00223 ± 0.00006
50.98 ± 0.12	0.000014 ± 0.000004 ⁱ
52.71 ± 0.07	0.00090 ± 0.00011
54.59 ± 0.03	0.00077 ± 0.00006
56.9 ± 0.1	0.000048 ± 0.000006 ⁱ
57.19 ± 0.03	0.00040 ± 0.00003
60.5 ± 0.1	0.000055 ± 0.000009
63.64 ± 0.05	0.00046 ± 0.00003

E_γ (keV)	P_γ^a	^{231}Pa
(70.57 ± 0.03)	0.000058 ± 0.000010 ^g	
71.9 ± 0.1	0.000015 ± 0.000002 ⁱ	
72.6 ± 0.1	0.000035 ± 0.000006 ⁱ	
74.14 ± 0.03	0.00022 ± 0.00001	
77.4 ± 0.1	0.00057 ± 0.00004	
96.88 ± 0.05	0.00082 ± 0.00003	
100.9 ± 0.1	0.00023 ± 0.00002	
102.8 ± 0.1	0.00015 ± 0.00004	
124.6 ± 0.1	0.000039 ± 0.000007	
144.5 ± 0.1	0.00011 ± 0.00001	
199.0 ± 0.1	0.000043 ± 0.000006	
242.8 ± 0.2	0.00011 ± 0.00002	
243.2 ± 0.1	0.00032 ± 0.00002	
245.5 ± 0.1	0.000068 ± 0.000006	
255.90 ± 0.04	0.00107 ± 0.00003	
258.34 ± 0.03	0.0000099 ± 0.0000035	
260.3 ± 0.1	0.00182 ± 0.00005	
273.237 ± 0.117	0.00059 ± 0.00002	
277.322 ± 0.013	0.00069 ± 0.00002	
283.690 ± 0.013	0.0165 ± 0.0004	
(286.6 ± 0.1)	0.000104 ± 0.000007 ^g	
300.069 ± 0.008	0.0241 ± 0.0006	
302.669 ± 0.006	0.0247 ± 0.0006 ^j	
310.0 ± 0.1	0.000010 ± 0.000002	
313.0 ± 0.1	0.00099 ± 0.00002	
327.130 ± 0.188	0.00035 ± 0.00001	
330.057 ± 0.015	0.0136 ± 0.0003	
340.77 ± 0.07	0.00178 ± 0.00004	
351.6 ± 0.1	0.000016 ± 0.000002 ⁱ	
354.474 ± 0.075	0.00097 ± 0.00003	
357.16 ± 0.07	0.00169 ± 0.00005	
359.5 ± 0.1	0.000086 ± 0.000004	
363.98 ± 0.09	0.000080 ± 0.000004	
375.4 ± 0.2	0.000047 ± 0.000003	
379.34 ± 0.08	0.00050 ± 0.00001	

E_γ (keV)	P_γ	^{231}Pa
384.9 ± 0.1	0.000039 ± 0.000004	
387.13 ± 0.06	0.0000034 ± 0.0000010	
391.76 ± 0.07	0.000068 ± 0.000003	
395.73 ± 0.08	0.000025 ± 0.000002	
398.33 ± 0.07	0.000096 ± 0.000004	
407.829 ± 0.028	0.00036 ± 0.00001	
410.75 ± 0.07	0.000017 ± 0.000002	
435.1 ± 0.5	0.000030 ± 0.000002	
438.12 ± 0.06	0.000046 ± 0.000003	
438.8 ± 0.1	0.000013 ± 0.000003 ⁱ	
486.827 ± 0.023	0.000015 ± 0.000002	
490.6 ± 0.1	0.0000038 ± 0.0000011	
501.2 ± 0.1	0.000007 ± 0.000002	
509.6 ± 0.1	0.000003 ± 0.000001	
516.4 ± 0.1	0.000015 ± 0.000002	
535.4 ± 0.1	0.000006 ± 0.000001	
546 ± 1	0.000008 ± 0.000001	
571 ± 1	0.000003 ± 0.000001	
582 ± 1	0.000003 ± 0.000001	
610 ± 1	0.000005 ± 0.000001	

Notes to Table A

- ^a The emission probabilities have been assessed in conjunction with alpha decay data (Hummel [7] and Baranov et al. [8]) to produce a complex and comprehensive decay scheme to aid in the evaluation.
- ^b Significant overlap between gamma ray and AcL-X-rays: emission probability calculated from the proposed decay scheme and alpha decay data.
- ^c Gamma ray has not been observed: data have been derived from the proposed decay scheme.
- ^d Observed gamma ray data are only approximate: data have been derived from the proposed decay scheme.
- ^e Discrepancies between measurements: data have been derived from the proposed decay scheme.
- ^f Unweighted CRP AERE data have been recommended.
- ^g Not placed in the proposed decay scheme.
- ^h Discrepancies between measurements: unable to recommend a value.
- ⁱ Calculated using the weighted mean of the relative emission probability data and a normalization factor of 0.0165 4.
- ^j Unresolved doublet: placed twice in the proposed decay scheme.

B. CRP measurements

^{231}Pa

E_γ (keV)	Banham (1984) [9]	
	Relative ^a (to that of 283.65 keV)	Absolute ^b (photons per decay)
10.87 (AcLl)	108.0 20	—
12.63 (AcL α_1, α_2)	1725 35	—
14.08 (AcL η)	21.1 8	—
15.70 (AcL β)	973 19	—
16.56	13.4 5	0.0022 1
18.39 (AcL γ_1)	184.6 37	—
18.95 (AcL γ_3, γ_6)	76.7 15	0.0126 5 ^d
19.67 (AcL γ_4)	18.4 7	—
25.28	16.5 9	0.0027 2
27.35	673 13	0.111 4
29.96	5.63 30	0.00093 6
30.85 ^c	—	—
38.19	8.59 33	0.00142 8
39.90	6.48 30	0.00107 7
44.15	2.7 5	0.00045 9
46.35	10.6 7	0.00175 14
52.71	5.4 6	0.00089 11
54.60	4.44 32	0.00073 6
56.82 ^c	—	—
57.19	2.34 15	0.00039 3
60.47	0.29 5	0.000048 9
63.65	2.70 9	0.00045 2
70.57	0.30 5	0.000049 9
74.17	1.35 5	0.00022 1
77.34	3.45 7	0.00057 2
96.90	5.00 10	0.00082 3
100.91	1.38 4	0.00023 1
102.93 (AcK β_1)	0.9 2	0.00015 4 ^d
124.66	0.259 24	0.000043 5
144.49	0.69 5	0.00011 1
199.04	0.246 29	0.000041 6
242.50	0.70 4	0.00012 1
243.21	1.87 4	0.00031 1
245.56	0.382 31	0.000063 6
255.88	6.41 6	0.00106 3
258.26	0.06 2	0.0000099 35
260.23	10.97 10	0.00181 5
273.18	3.50 4	0.00058 2
277.10	4.12 5	0.00068 2
283.65	100.0 8	0.01649 40
286.62	0.632 30	0.000104 7

Banham (1984) [9]

E_γ (keV)	Relative ^a (to that of 283.65 keV)	Absolute ^b (photons per decay)
300.03	146.3 13	0.0241 6
302.63	149.6 12	0.0247 6
310.04	0.058 12	0.0000096 21
312.94	5.97 5	0.00098 2
327.16	2.22 4	0.000366 13
330.01	82.4 7	0.0136 3
340.77	10.80 9	0.00178 4
351.43	0.102 4	0.0000168 9
354.55	5.81 6	0.00096 3
357.11	10.14 9	0.00167 4
359.41	0.512 14	0.000084 4
363.91	0.488 14	0.000080 4
375.02	0.282 15	0.000047 3
379.26	3.03 4	0.000500 15
384.84	0.221 12	0.000036 3
387.11	0.018 6	0.0000030 10
391.75	0.408 11	0.000067 3
395.66	0.148 10	0.000024 2
398.26	0.574 14	0.000095 4
407.86	2.156 24	0.000356 10
410.72	0.099 11	0.000016 2
435.14	0.177 10	0.000029 2
438.07	0.283 16	0.000047 3
486.81	0.091 9	0.000015 2
491.65	0.023 6	0.0000038 11
501.22	0.053 11	0.0000087 19
516.13	0.093 9	0.000015 2
535.3	0.038 7	0.0000063 13
536.00	0.020 7	0.0000033 12 ^e
546.33	0.056 8	0.0000092 15

Notes to Table B

^a Claimed uncertainties have been adjusted when required to obey the following criteria:

- (i) from 0 to 120 keV, P_γ uncertainty of approximately 2%;
- (ii) above 120 keV, P_γ uncertainty of approximately 1% and not less than 0.3%;
- (iii) in the region of 55 to 62 keV (Am-241 calibration), P_γ uncertainty can be as low as 0.5%.

^b Absolute gamma ray emission probabilities calculated using an emission probability of 0.01649 27 for the 283 keV gamma ray, a value re-assessed by Banham (1984) [9].

^c These gamma rays were listed in the original publication (Banham and Jones (1983) [9] (CRP, AERE)), but they were not included in the re-assessment (Banham (1984) [9]).

^d Gamma rays are postulated at these energies but there is considerable interference with AcK- and L-X-rays.

^e Observation of this gamma ray is questionable, and it was not considered in the final evaluation.

C. Comparison with other measurements

Lange and Hagee (1968) [2] ^a		Leang (1970) [3] ^b		De Pinho et al. (1970) [4] ^c		Teoh (1979) [5] ^d		Börner et al. (1979) [6]	
E _γ (keV)	P _γ	E _γ (keV)	P _γ	E _γ (keV)	P _γ	E _γ (keV)	P _γ	E _γ (keV)	P _γ
10.9 5 ^f	—	—	—	10.9 1 ^f	~24	—	—	—	—
12.4 5 ^f	—	12.7 ^f	—	12.65 1 ^f	~470	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	14.1 1 ^f	—	—	—	—	—
15.5 5 ^f	—	15.7 ^f	—	15.7 ^f	~600	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	16.5 1	—	—	—	—	—
18.2 5 ^f	—	18.4 ^f	—	18.5 ^f	~150	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	~19 ^f	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	24.5 1	~0.6	24.6 5	0.7 3	—	—
25.3 5 ^c	—	25.2 2	~19	25.54 6	~6	25.36 8	6.9 10	—	—
27.3 5	—	27.3 2	440 180	27.35 2	590 30	27.38 2	640 50	27.360 20	—
29.8 5	—	29.9 2	6.2 26	29.95 2	5.9 8	30.01 3	6.5 5	29.950 20	—
30.7 5	—	—	—	31.00 5	0.6 2	30.87 4	0.5 1	—	—
—	—	—	—	31.54 5	0.4 1	31.55 5	0.47 4	—	—
35.6 5	—	35.8 3	0.9 4	35.82 3	1.0 2	35.86 4	0.94 5	—	—
38.0 5	—	38.1 2	6.3 26	38.20 2	9.5 14	38.19 2	9.4 5	—	—
39.6 5	—	—	—	39.57 4	0.09 5	39.73 3	0.14 10	—	—
—	—	—	—	39.97 2	0.77 15	40.00 3	1.22 6	—	—
—	—	—	—	42.48 5	0.36 8	42.41 4	0.3 1	—	—
—	—	—	—	43.05 5	0.4 1	43.08 4	0.41 11	—	—

43.9 5	—	44.1 2	2.8 13	44.16 2	3.8 6	44.13 2	3.77 40	—	—
46.1 5	—	46.2 2	8.1 35	46.37 2	13.3 8	46.32 2	12.97 64	46.370 20	—
—	—	—	—	50.98 5	0.09 4	50.68 6	0.31 ^e	—	—
52.4 5	—	52.6 2	3.8 17	52.74 2	5.4 8	52.66 3	4.85 34	—	—
54.8 5	—	54.5 2	3.8 17	54.61 2	5.1 7	54.56 3	4.33 35	—	—
—	—	—	—	56.76 4	0.36 8	56.79 4	0.27 4	—	—
—	—	57.0 2	1.9 9	57.19 3	2.5 4	57.19 3	2.54 26	—	—
59.4 5	—	60.2 3	0.19 15	60.50 3	0.41 8	60.47 8	0.31	—	—
63.3 5	—	63.5 2	1.9 9	63.67 3	3.2 4	63.60 4	2.7 3	—	—
—	—	—	—	70.50 5	0.41 8	70.45 8	0.62	—	—
—	—	—	—	71.9 1	0.12 7	71.9 1	0.11	—	—
—	—	—	—	72.5 1	0.24 13	72.78 8	0.21	—	—
—	—	74.1 3	1.3 6	74.18 4	1.6 3	74.08 6	1.24 20	—	—
77.1 5	—	77.2 2	2.5 9	77.36 3	4.3 7	77.30 4	4.31 20	—	—
87.5 5 ^f	—	—	} 94.30	87.67 2 ^f	36 5	—	—	—	—
90.7 5 ^f	—	—		90.88 2 ^f	61 8	—	—	—	—
—	—	96.7 2	4.1 15	96.88 3	5.6 8	96.80 3	5.62 28	—	—
—	—	100.5 5	0.75 40	100.92 4	2.0 4	100.77 4	1.66 25	—	—
—	—	—	—	102.7 1 ^f	23 3	—	—	—	—
102.5 5	—	102.5 4	2.8 13	~103	~1	102.6 5	<0.8	—	—
106.0 5 ^f	—	—	—	105.7 1 ^f	6.8 12	—	—	—	—
—	—	124.4 5	0.13 8	124.6 1	0.29 13	124.56 8	0.29 9	—	—
—	—	144.4 5	0.25 16	144.5 1	0.77 27	144.33 8	0.64 30	—	—
—	—	198.7 6	0.06 4	199 1	0.36 14	198.89 10	0.23 10	—	—

Lange and Hagee (1968) [2] ^a		Leang (1970) [3] ^b		De Pinho et al. (1970) [4] ^c		Teoh (1979) [5] ^d		Börner et al. (1979) [6]	
E _γ (keV)	P _γ	E _γ (keV)	P _γ	E _γ (keV)	P _γ	E _γ (keV)	P _γ	E _γ (keV)	P _γ
—	—	—	—	—	—	230.0 10	0.10 5	—	—
—	—	—	—	242.2 1	0.53 8	242.16 8	0.5 2	—	—
243.0 5	5.7	242.9 4	2.5 9	243.0 1	2.2 3	243.15 9	2.97 24	—	—
—	—	245.3 5	0.44 18	245.4 1	0.47 8	245.77 9	0.48 12	—	—
—	—	—	—	246.0 2	<0.06	246.05 9	0.7 2	—	—
256.1 5	6.3	255.9 3	8.1 22	255.78 7	6.4 7	255.76 8	6.34 41	—	—
—	—	—	—	258.4 1	0.15 4	258.54 15	0.15 5	—	—
260.2 5	19	260.2 3	11 3	260.14 8	11.0 12	260.23 8	11.39 57	—	—
273.5 5	0.27	273.2 3	4.4 15	273.08 9	3.7 4	273.15 9	3.48 24	273.237 117	—
277.7 5	0.065	277.2 3	5.0 16	276.99 9	4.3 4	277.10 9	3.88 25	277.322 13	—
283.9 5	100	283.7 3	100	283.56 6	100	283.65 5	100	283.690 13	—
—	—	—	—	286.55 10	0.59 9	286.60 10	0.8 3	—	—
300.5 5	} 230	300.1 2	144 31	299.94 6	144 15	300.02 5	149.6 75	300.069 8	—
303.2 5		302.7 2	144 31	302.52 6	149 15	302.65 5	140 15	302.669 6	—
—	—	—	—	310.0 1	0.09 3	310.0 5	0.07 3	—	—
313.0 5	4.1	312.9 3	6.9 21	312.88 8	6.0 6	312.94 5	7.05 56	—	—
—	—	318.1 7	0.125 80	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	327.2 4	2.5 13	327.02 10	1.9 2	327.26 10	2.27 28	327.130 188	—
330.2 5	34	330.0 2	81 23	329.89 6	83 8	330.06 5	81.9 65	330.057 15	—
341.0 5	3.7	340.8 2	10 4	340.61 7	10.5 10	340.77 6	10.9 13	—	—

				351.4 1	0.22 3	351.6 1	0.15 6	—	—
—	—	354.6 2	6.3 20	354.38 8	6.0 6	354.57 8	5.07 56	354.474 75	—
356.6 5		357.2 2	9.4 30	356.96 7	11.0 12	357.21 6	9.67 82	—	—
—	4.5	358.6 4	0.38 24	359.25 10	0.57 7	359.57 10	0.41 18	—	—
364.2 5		363.9 4	0.38 24	363.74 10	0.47 6	363.93 10	0.42 15	—	—
—	—	374.9 4	0.19 9	374.9 1	0.29 4	375.01 10	0.24 10	—	—
379.5 5	0.65	379.2 3	2.5 12	379.09 8	3.1 4	379.41 6	2.89 23	—	—
—	—	384.8 3	0.13 8	384.7 1	0.26 4	384.7 1	0.18 4	—	—
—	—	—	—	387.0 1	0.030 14	—	—	—	—
392.5 5	0.70	391.7 3	0.31 6	391.5 1	0.43 6	391.67 9	0.52 8	—	—
—	—	395.7 4	0.06 4	395.5 1	0.17 3	395.49 10	0.11 2	—	—
398.4 5	0.075	398.1 3	0.44 24	398.10 8	0.59 8	398.19 9	0.49 9	—	—
408.1 5	0.34	407.7 3	1.3 8	407.71 6	2.3 3	407.80 5	2.13 18	407.829 28	—
410.5 5	0.34	410.3 10	0.06 4	410.5 1	0.12 2	410.1 1	0.19 4	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	427.0 10	0.04 2	—	—
—	—	434.9 8	0.13 6	435.1 1	0.21 3	435.0 1	0.12 3	—	—
437.9 5	0.048	437.9 8	0.25 16	437.9 1	0.26 4	438.10 9	0.20 6	—	—
—	—	—	—	438.7 1	0.095 30	438.8 2	0.07 2	—	—
487.2 5	<0.02	486.6 10	0.06 4	486.7 3	0.11 3	486.8 10	0.15 2	486.827 23	—
—	—	491.2	0.006	491.0 6	0.030	491.0 10	<0.04	—	—
—	—	501.1	0.013	501.6 5	0.036 15	501.0 10	0.05 2	—	—
512.2 5	<0.02	510.1	0.031	509.1	0.018 7	510.0 10	0.05 2	—	—

Lange and Hagee (1968) [2] ^a		Leang (1970) [3] ^b		De Pinho et al. (1970) [4] ^c		Teoh (1979) [5] ^d		Börner et al. (1979) [6]	
E_γ (keV)	P_γ	E_γ (keV)	P_γ	E_γ (keV)	P_γ	E_γ (keV)	P_γ	E_γ (keV)	P_γ
516.2 5	<0.02	516 1	0.050	516.2 6	0.08 2	516.1 10	0.06 2	—	—
—	—	535 1	0.031	535.3 7	0.030 14	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	536.6 10	0.05 2	—	—
—	—	546 1	0.025	546.6 7	0.036 15	546.6 10	0.04 2	—	—
—	—	571 2	0.019	572.1 8	0.030 14	571.0 10	0.04 2	—	—
—	—	583 2	0.019	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	609 2	0.031	—	—	—	—	—	—

Notes to Table C

- ^a Emission probabilities are listed in terms of the complex 300.5–303.2 keV ray(s): these data have been adjusted to give emission probabilities relative to that of the 283.69 keV gamma ray. The data from this source have not been included in the final evaluation because of the large discrepancies when compared with the other published data.
- ^b Emission probabilities are listed as absolute values (photons per 100 alpha decays): these data have been adjusted to give emission probabilities relative to that of the 283.69 keV gamma ray for comparison only.
- ^c Emission probabilities are listed in terms of the 27.36 keV gamma ray: these data have been adjusted to give emission probabilities relative to that of the 283.69 keV gamma ray. Absolute gamma ray emission probabilities can be derived assuming an emission probability of 0.0169 8 for the 283.69 keV gamma ray.
- ^d Emission probabilities are listed relative to that of the 283.69 keV gamma ray. However, absolute gamma emission probabilities cannot be derived directly from this source, and these data have not been included in the final evaluation.
- ^e Teoh [5] reported a relative emission probability of 0.08 4 for the 51 keV gamma ray.
- ^f AcK- and L-X-rays.

Comparison: absolute P_γ ^{231}Pa

E_γ (keV)	CRP measurements		Other measurements		Evaluated values ^a
	Banham (1984) [9]		Leang (1970) [3]	De Pinho et al. (1970) [4]	
16.4	0.0022 1	—	—	—	0.0022 1
18.9	0.0126 5	—	—	—	b, c
24.5	—	—	~0.0001	—	c
25.4	0.0027 2	~0.003	~0.001	—	c
27.360	0.111 4	0.07 2	~0.1	—	0.109 4 ^c
29.950	0.00093 6	0.0010 3	0.00099 9	—	0.00095 6
30.9	—	—	0.00010 2	—	d, e
31.5	—	—	0.00007 2	—	d
35.9	—	0.00015 5	0.00017 2	—	0.00016 2
38.2	0.00142 8	0.0010 3	0.00160 16	—	0.00143 9
39.9	0.00107 7	—	0.00013 2	—	d
42.3	—	—	0.00006 1	—	c
44.2	0.00045 9	0.00045 15	0.00065 7	—	0.00056 7
46.370	0.00175 14	0.0013 4	0.00224 2	—	0.00223 6
51.0	—	—	0.000015 6	—	c
52.7	0.00089 11	0.0006 2	0.00091 9	—	0.00090 11
54.6	0.00073 6	0.0006 2	0.00087 8	—	0.00077 6
56.9	—	—	0.00006 1	—	c
57.2	0.00039 3	0.0003 1	0.00042 4	—	0.00040 3
60.5	0.000048 9	0.00003 2	0.00007 1	—	0.000055 9
63.6	0.00045 2	0.0003 1	0.00054 5	—	0.00046 3
70.6	0.000049 9	—	0.00007 1	—	0.000058 10
71.9	—	—	0.00002 1	—	c
72.6	—	—	0.00004 2	—	c
74.1	0.00022 1	0.00020 7	0.00027 3	—	0.00022 1
77.4	0.00057 2	0.0004 1	0.00073 8	—	0.00057 4
96.9	0.00082 3	0.00065 15	0.00095 10	—	0.00082 3
100.9	0.00023 1	0.00012 5	0.00034 5	—	0.00023 2
102.8	0.00015 4	0.00044 15	~0.0002	—	0.00015 4 ^b
124.6	0.000043 5	0.00002 1	0.00005 2	—	0.000039 7
144.5	0.00011 1	0.00004 2	0.00013 4	—	0.00011 1

E_γ (keV)	CRP measurements		Other measurements		Evaluated values ^a
	Banham (1984) [9]	Leang (1970) [3]	De Pinho et al. (1970) [4]		
199.0	0.000041 6	0.000010 5	0.00006 2	0.000043 6	
242.8	0.00012 1	} 0.0004 1	0.00009 1	0.00011 2	
243.2	0.00031 1		0.00037 3	0.00032 2	
245.5	0.000063 6	0.00007 2	0.00008 1	0.000068 6	
255.9	0.00106 3	0.0013 2	0.00109 6	0.00107 3	
258.3	0.0000099 35	—	0.000025 6	0.0000099 35	
260.3	0.00181 5	0.0018 3	0.00186 11	0.00182 5	
273.237	0.00058 2	0.00070 15	0.00062 3	0.00059 2	
277.322	0.00068 2	0.00080 15	0.00072 4	0.00069 2	
283.690	0.01649 40	0.016 2	0.0169 8	0.0165 4	
286.6	0.000104 7	—	0.00010 1	0.000104 7	
300.069	0.0241 6	0.023 2	0.0244 14	0.0241 6	
302.669	0.0247 6	0.023 2	0.0252 14	0.0247 6	
310.0	0.0000096 21	—	0.000015 5	0.000010 2	
313.0	0.00098 2	0.0011 2	0.00102 6	0.00099 2	
327.130	0.000366 13	0.0004 2	0.00032 2	0.00035 1	
330.057	0.0136 3	0.013 2	0.0140 7	0.0136 3	
340.8	0.00178 4	0.0016 4	0.00178 9	0.00178 4	
351.6	0.0000168 9	—	0.000038 4	c	
354.474	0.00096 3	0.0010 2	0.00102 6	0.00097 3	
357.2	0.00167 4	0.0015 3	0.00186 11	0.00169 5	
359.5	0.000084 4	0.00006 3	0.000097 8	0.000086 4	
364.0	0.000080 4	0.00006 3	0.000080 7	0.000080 4	
375.4	0.000047 3	0.00003 1	0.000050 4	0.000047 3	
379.3	0.000500 15	0.00040 15	0.00053 4	0.00050 1	
384.9	0.000036 3	0.00002 1	0.000044 4	0.000039 4	
387.1	0.0000030 10	—	0.000005 2	0.0000034 10	
391.7	0.000067 3	0.00005 2	0.000073 6	0.000068 3	
395.7	0.000024 2	0.000010 5	0.000028 3	0.000025 2	
398.3	0.000095 4	0.00007 3	0.000100 8	0.000096 4	
407.829	0.000356 10	0.0002 1	0.00039 3	0.00036 1	

E_γ (keV)	CRP measurements		Other measurements		Evaluated values ^a
	Banham (1984) [9]	Leang (1970) [3]	De Pinho et al. (1970) [4]		
410.7	0.000016 2	0.000010 5	0.000020 2	0.000017 2	
435.1	0.000029 2	0.00002 1	0.000036 4	0.000030 2	
438.1	0.000047 3	0.00004 2	0.000044 4	0.000046 3	
438.8	—	—	0.000016 4	c	
486.827	0.000015 2	0.000010 5	0.000019 4	0.000015 2	
490.6	0.0000038 11	0.000001	0.000005	0.0000038 11	
501.2	0.000008 19	0.000002	0.000006 2	0.000007 2	
509.6	—	0.000005	0.000003 1	0.000003 1	
516.4	0.000015 2	0.000008	0.000014 3	0.000015 2	
535.4	0.0000063 13	0.000005	0.000005 2	0.000006 1	
536.0	0.0000033 12	—	—	d, e	
546	0.0000092 15	0.000004	0.000006 2	0.000008 1	
571	—	0.000003	0.000005 2	0.000003 1	
582	—	0.000003	—	0.000003 1	
610	—	0.000005	—	0.000005 1	

Notes to Table

- ^a Recommended data are weighted mean values with 1σ standard deviation unless stated otherwise.
- ^b Gamma rays are postulated to occur at these energies when a complete decay scheme is formulated, but there is significant interference with the AcK - and L-X-rays.
- ^c Insufficient data to produce a reliable weighted mean value; final recommended data have been derived in conjunction with the proposed decay scheme which includes the alpha decay data of Hummel [7] and Baranov et al. [8].
- ^d Data are inadequate or discrepant and a reliable weighted mean value cannot be derived.
- ^e Detection viewed as questionable, and it was not considered in the final evaluation.

III. ALPHA PARTICLE EMISSION PROBABILITIES

^{231}Pa

Evaluated by A.L. Nichols (UKAEA, AEE Winfrith).

A. Recommended values

E_α (keV) ^a	P_α ^b
(4414 ± 2)	(0.000019 ± 0.000004)
4506 ± 1	0.000021 ± 0.000003)
(4531 ± 1)	(0.000007 ± 0.000002)
(4554 ± 1)	(0.00012 ± 0.00002)
(4559 ± 1)	(0.000010 ± 0.000002)
4567 ± 1	0.000054 ± 0.000005
4598 ± 1	0.00020 ± 0.00003
(4629 ± 1)	(0.00065 ± 0.00015)
4631.4 ± 0.8	0.00052 ± 0.00003
4640.7 ± 0.9	0.00077 ± 0.00003
4678.6 ± 0.9	0.0161 ± 0.0007
4710.7 ± 0.9	0.0100 ± 0.0007
4734.7 ± 0.8	0.0847 ± 0.0040
4792.4 ± 0.9	0.0006 ± 0.0001
4851.8 ± 0.9	0.014 ± 0.001
4934.4 ± 0.9	0.030 ± 0.004
4951.0 ± 0.9	0.229 ± 0.005
4976.0 ± 0.8	0.004 ± 0.001
4986.2 ± 0.8	0.014 ± 0.002
5013.5 ± 0.8	0.254 ± 0.005
5029.6 ± 0.8	0.20 ± 0.02
5032.2 ± 0.8	0.032 ± 0.003
5059.1 ± 0.8	0.110 ± 0.005

Notes to Table A

^a Alpha energies have been calculated from Q_α of 5148.2 keV and the level scheme of Ac-227.

^b Recommended emission probability data have been derived from the proposed decay scheme in conjunction with the measurements of Hummel [7] and Baranov et al. [8].

B. CRP measurements

^{231}Pa

None.

C. Other measurements

E_α (keV) ^a	Hummel (1956) [7] ^b	Baranov et al. (1961) [8] ^b
4506.1	—	0.00003
4567.1	—	0.00008
4598.1	—	0.00015
4631.4 8	—	~0.001
4640.7 9	—	~0.001
4678.6 9	0.021	0.015
4710.7 9	0.014	~0.01
4734.7 8	0.11	0.084
4792.4 9	—	0.0004
4851.8 9	0.014	0.014
4899.2	—	0.00002
4934.4 9	0.028	0.030
4951.0 9	0.22	0.228
4976.0 8	} 0.023	0.004
4986.2 8		0.014
5013.5 8	0.24	0.254
5029.6 8	} 0.23	≤0.20
5032.2 8		~0.025
5059.1 8	0.10	0.110

Notes to Table C

^a Alpha energies have been calculated from Q_α of 5148.2 8 keV and the nuclear level structure of Ac-227.

^b There are no uncertainties identified with the published data, hence weighted mean values cannot be derived.

REFERENCES

^{231}Pa

- [1] SCHMORAK, M.R., Nucl. Data Sheets **40** (1983) 26.
- [2] LANGE, R.C., HAGEE, G.R., Nucl. Phys. **A124** (1968) 412.
- [3] LEANG, C.F., J. Phys. (Paris) **31** (1970) 269.
- [4] De PINHO, A.G., Da SILVEIRA, E.F., Da COSTA, N.L., Phys. Rev. **C2** (1970) 572.
- [5] TEOH, W., PhD thesis, University of Manitoba (1973); TEOH, W., CONNOR, R.D., BETTS, R.H., Nucl. Phys. **A319** (1979) 122.
- [6] BÖRNER, H.G., GARREAU, G., DAVIDSON, W.F., JEUCH, P., von EGIDY, T., ALMEIDA, J., WHITE, D.H., Nucl. Instrum. Methods **166** (1979) 251.
- [7] HUMMEL, J.P., PhD Thesis, Univ. of California, Livermore, CA, Rep. UCRL-3456 (1956).
- [8] BARANOV, S.A., KULAKOV, V.M., SAMOILOV, P.S., ZELENKOV, A.G., RODIONOV, Y.F., PIROZHKOVA, S.V., Zh. Ehksp. Teor. Fiz. **41** (1961) 1475; Sov. Phys. -- JETP (Engl. Transl.) **14** (1962) 1053.
- [9] BANHAM, M.F., JONES, R., Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot. **34** (1983) 1225; BANHAM, M.F., AERE Harwell, re-assessment and private communication, 1984.

I. HALF-LIFE

^{233}Pa

Recommended value: 27.0 ± 0.1 d

This value was adopted from the Nuclear Data Sheets [1].

II. EMISSION PROBABILITIES OF SELECTED GAMMA RAYS

Evaluuated by C.W. Reich (INEL, Idaho Falls, USA).

A. Recommended values

E_γ (keV) ^a	P_γ
28.54	0.0015 ± 0.0001
75.28	0.0132 ± 0.0004
86.59	0.0197 ± 0.0012
103.86	0.0087 ± 0.0003
248.50	0.00059 ± 0.00002
271.48	0.0032 ± 0.0001
300.12	0.0663 ± 0.0006
311.98	0.3863 ± 0.0039
340.50	0.0450 ± 0.0005
375.45	0.0068 ± 0.0001
398.62	0.0141 ± 0.0002
415.76	0.0174 ± 0.0002

Note to Table A

^a Nominal values only.

B. CRP measurements^a

²³³Pa

E_γ (keV)	Vaninbroukx et al. (1984) [3]	Gehrke et al. (1979) [4]
28.54	0.0015 1	—
75.28	0.0130 4	0.0139 8
86.59	—	0.0197 12
103.86	0.0087 3	0.0087 3
248.50	0.0006 1	0.00059 2
271.48	0.0032 1	0.0033 1
300.12	0.0664 6	0.0662 10
311.98	0.3865 39	0.386 5
340.50	0.0452 5	0.0447 6
375.45	0.0069 1	0.0068 1
398.62	0.0143 2	0.0139 2
415.76	0.0174 2	0.0174 2

Note to Table B

^a The P_γ values reported in Ref. [2] have also been measured by one of the laboratories participating in the work of the CRP. However, the efficiency calibration data upon which these values are based have subsequently been revised (M.F. Banham, private communication June 1984). Consequently, these values have not been included in this evaluation.

C. Comparison with other measurements

E_γ (keV)	CRP measurements		Other measurements				Evaluated ^b values
	Vaninbroukx et al. (1984) [3]	Gehrke et al. (1979) [4]	Poenitz and Smith (1978) [5]	Valkeapää et al. (1973) ^a [6]	Gunnink et al. (1969) [7]	Malmskog and Höjberg (1967) [8]	
28.54	0.0015 1	—		0.00070 8			0.0015 1
75.28	0.0130 4	0.0139 8		0.0126 8	0.0068		0.0132 4
86.59	—	0.0197 12		0.0188 23	0.0186		0.0197 12
103.86	0.0087 3	0.0087 3		0.0074 8	0.0081		0.0087 3
248.50	0.0006 1	0.00059 2		0.000039 12	0.00075	0.0005 2	0.00059 2
271.48	0.0032 1	0.0033 1		0.0030 3	0.0033		0.0032 1
300.12	0.0664 6	0.0662 10		0.0664 31	0.0660		0.0663 6
311.98	0.3865 39	0.386 5	0.386 15	0.3863	0.380		0.3863 39
340.50	0.0452 5	0.0447 6		0.0452 46	0.0443		0.0450 5
375.45	0.0069 1	0.0068 1		0.0061 12	0.00655		0.0068 1
398.62	0.0143 2	0.0139 2		0.0129 15	0.0139		0.0141 2
415.76	0.0174 2	0.0174 2		0.0162 15	0.0172		0.0174 2

Notes to Table C

^a Authors quote relative values only. Normalization of these data was accomplished by requiring P_γ (311.98) to be equal to 0.3863. Uncertainty in the normalization not included in the listed uncertainties.

^b Values derived from a $1\sigma^2$ weighted average of the data from Refs [3–5] only. Since generally a common base of radionuclide decay data was used in the determination of the detector efficiency, the CRP-based P_γ values may not be completely independent. Consequently, the uncertainty in the weighted average is never quoted as being smaller than that of the most precise measurement.

REFERENCES

^{233}Pa

- [1] ELLIS, Y.A., Nucl. Data Sheets **24** (1978) 289.
- [2] BANHAM, M.F., FUDGE, A.J., J. Radioanal. Chem. **64** (1981) 167.
- [3] VANINBROUKX, R., BORTELS, G., DENECKE, B., Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot. **35** (1984) 905.
- [4] GEHRKE, R.J., HELMER, R.G., REICH, C.W., Nucl. Sci. Eng. **70** (1979) 298.
- [5] POENITZ, W.P., SMITH, D.L., Fast Neutron Radiative Capture Cross-Section of ^{232}Th , United States Dept. of Energy, Washington DC, Rep. ANL/NDM-42 (March 1978).
- [6] VALKEAPÄÄ, T., SHIVOLA, A., GRAEFFE, G., Phys. Fenn. **8** (1973) 43.
- [7] GUNNINK, R., NIDAY, J.B., ANDERSON, R.P., MEYER, R.A., Gamma-Ray Energies and Intensities, Univ. of California, Livermore, CA, Rep. UCID-15439 (January 1969).
- [8] MALMSKOG, S.G., HÖJEBERG, M., Ark. Fys. **35** (1967) 197.

I. HALF-LIFE

^{232}U

Recommended value: 69.8 ± 0.5 a

This value was adopted from the 1984 review by N.E. Holden [1].

The uncertainty quoted by the evaluator corresponds to a 2σ confidence level; it has been reduced by a factor of two to correspond to the 1σ criterion adopted in this report.

II. EMISSION OF SELECTED GAMMA RAYS AT EQUILIBRIUM OF THE ^{232}U - ^{208}Pb DECAY CHAIN

Evaluated by N. Coursol (LMRI, Saclay, France) and R. Vaninbroukx (CBNM, Geel, Belgium).

A. Recommended values

Nuclide	E_γ (keV)	P_γ per ^{232}U decay
^{232}U	57.78	0.00200 ± 0.00002
	129.08	$(6.82 \pm 0.04) 10^{-4}$
	270.2	$(3.16 \pm 0.05) 10^{-5}$
	327.9	$(2.83 \pm 0.06) 10^{-5}$
^{228}Th	84.40	0.0122 ± 0.0003
	131.62	0.00127 ± 0.00007
	166.37	0.00107 ± 0.00004
	215.94	0.0026 ± 0.0001
^{224}Ra	241.0	0.0405 ± 0.0004
^{220}Rn	549.7	0.00114 ± 0.00017
^{212}Pb	115.18	0.0060 ± 0.0002
	176.66	0.00052 ± 0.00006
	238.63	0.435 ± 0.004
	300.09	0.0325 ± 0.0004
$^{212}\text{Bi}(\alpha)$	39.86	0.0103 ± 0.0005
	288.07	0.0031 ± 0.0004
	327.96	0.00120 ± 0.00008
	452.83	0.0036 ± 0.0004
	727.18	0.0664 ± 0.0009
$^{212}\text{Bi}(\beta)$	785.42	0.0110 ± 0.0002
	893.39	0.00381 ± 0.00014
	1078.6	0.00574 ± 0.00015
	1620.6	0.0149 ± 0.0006
^{208}Ti	211.5	0.00066 ± 0.00005
	233.5	0.00104 ± 0.00008
	252.6	0.00290 ± 0.00004
	277.35	0.0230 ± 0.0002
	510.80	0.0820 ± 0.0010
	583.14	0.306 ± 0.002
	722.3	0.00094 ± 0.00009
	763.13	0.0068 ± 0.0002
	860.37	0.0450 ± 0.0004
	1093.1	0.0016 ± 0.0002
	2614.6	0.3588 ± 0.0006

B. CRP measurements

²³²U

Nuclide	E_{γ} (keV)	P_{γ} per ²³² U decay		
		Vaninbroukx and Hansen (1983) [2] ^a	Gehrke et al. (1984) [3] ^b	Banham and McCrohon (1984) [4]
²³² U	57.78		0.00200 4	0.001992 12
	129.08		0.000686 7	0.000681 4
	270.2			0.0000317 2
	327.9			0.0000284 2
²²⁸ Th	84.40		0.01248 29	
	131.62		0.00128 15	
	166.37		0.00107 2	
	215.94		0.00261 3	
²²⁴ Ra	241.0	0.0405 9	0.0417 4	
²²⁰ Rn	549.7		0.00130 3	
²¹² Pb	115.18		0.00593 8	
	176.66		0.00052 6	
	238.63	0.440 6	0.433 4	
	300.09	0.0322 6	0.0328 4	
²¹² Bi(α)	39.86		0.0105 4	
	288.07		0.00337 4	
	327.96		0.00126 6	
	452.83		0.00363 4	
²¹² Bi(β)	727.18	0.0693 18	0.0658 5	
	785.42		0.01105 13	
	893.39		0.00382 18	
	1078.6		0.00565 18	
	1620.6		0.0149 3	
²⁰⁸ Tl	211.5		0.00070 6	
	233.5		0.00095 12	
	252.6		0.00291 4	
	277.35	0.0228 4	0.02304 22	
	510.80	0.0827 14	0.0821 27	
	583.14	0.308 6	0.3052 17	
	722.3		0.00095 18	
	763.13		0.00656 7	
	860.37		0.0451 4	
	1093.1		0.00160 2	
	2614.6		0.363 5	

C. Comparison with other measurements

^{232}U

Nuclide	E_γ (keV)	P_γ per ^{232}U decay			
		CRP measurements		Other measurements	
		Vaninbroukx and Hansen (1983) [2] ^a	Gehrke et al. (1984) [3] ^b	Banham and Mc Crohon (1984) [4]	Schupp et al. (1960) [5]
^{232}U	57.78		0.00200 4	0.001992 12	
	129.08		0.000686 7	0.000681 4	
	270.2			0.0000317 2	
	327.9			0.0000284 2	
^{228}Th	84.40		0.01248 29		
	131.62		0.00128 15		
	166.37		0.00107 2		
	215.94		0.00261 3		
^{224}Ra	241.0	0.0405 9	0.0417 4		
^{220}Rn	549.7		0.00130 3		
^{212}Pb	115.18		0.00593 8		
	176.66		0.00052 6		
	238.63	0.440 6	0.433 4		
	300.09	0.0322 6	0.0328 4		
$^{212}\text{Bi}(\alpha)$	39.86		0.0105 4		
	288.07		0.00337 4		0.00278 14
	327.96		0.00126 6		0.00107 8
	452.83		0.00363 4		
$^{212}\text{Bi}(\beta)$	727.18	0.0693 18	0.0658 5	0.0711 45	
	785.42		0.01105 13	0.0109 17	
	893.39		0.00382 18	0.00423 45	
	1078.6		0.00565 18	0.00634 51	
	1620.6		0.0149 3	0.0180 13	
^{208}Tl	211.5		0.00070 6		
	233.5		0.00095 12		
	252.6		0.00291 4		
	277.35	0.0228 4	0.02304 22		
	510.80	0.0827 14	0.0821 27		
	583.14	0.308 6	0.3052 17		
	722.3		0.00095 18		
	763.13		0.00656 7		
	860.37		0.0451 4		
	1093.1		0.00160 2		
	2614.6		0.363 5		

^{232}U

Nuclide	E_γ (keV)	P_γ per ^{232}U decay				
		Péghaire (1969) [7]	Larsen and Jørgensen (1969) [8] ^d	Aubin et al. (1969) [9] ^e	Kortelahti et al. (1975) [10] ^e	Kurcewicz et al. (1977) [11] ^f
^{232}U	57.78					
	129.08				0.000669 26	
	270.2				0.0000285 12	
	327.9				0.0000253 10	
^{228}Th	84.40	0.0121 6				
	131.62				0.00125 7	
	166.37				0.00097 6	
	215.94				0.00241 14	
^{224}Ra	241.0	0.0395 13				
^{220}Rn	549.7				0.00097 8	
^{212}Pb	115.18					
	176.66					
	238.63					
	300.09					
$^{212}\text{Bi}(\alpha)$	39.86					
	288.07					
	327.96					
	452.83					
$^{212}\text{Bi}(\beta)$	727.18					
	785.42					
	893.39					
	1078.6					
	1620.6					
^{208}Tl	211.5	0.0007 2		0.00061 7		
	233.5	0.0011 2		0.00111 11		
	252.6	0.0029 4		0.00287 18		
	277.35	0.0248 18		0.0244 11		
	510.80	0.083 4		0.078 3		
	583.14		0.307 6	0.308 11		
	722.3	0.0011 4		0.00073 5		
	763.13	0.0072 7		0.0059 3		
	860.37	0.047 4		0.0430 14		
	1093.1	0.0018 4		0.00133 14		
	2614.6					

Nuclide	E_{γ} (keV)	P_{γ} per ^{232}U decay			
		Other measurements			
		Avignone and Schmidt (1978) [12] ^g	Sadasivan and Raghunath (1982) [13] ^h	Schötzig and Debertin (1983) [14] ⁱ	Evaluated values ^j
^{232}U	57.78				0.00199 2 ¹
	129.08				0.000682 4
	270.2				0.0000316 5
	327.9				0.0000283 6
^{228}Th	84.40		0.019 1		0.0124 3
	131.62		0.0017 2		0.00127 7
	166.37		0.0013 1		0.00107 4 ¹
	215.94		0.0030 2		0.0026 1 ¹
^{224}Ra	241.0		0.039 2	0.0404 17	0.0412 4
^{220}Rn	549.7				0.00114 17 ^m
^{212}Pb	115.18	0.0068 7	0.0071 5		0.0060 2
	176.66				0.00052 6
	238.63	0.500 14		0.435 12	0.435 4
	300.09	0.0317 11	0.029 2	0.0327 9	0.0325 4
$^{212}\text{Bi}(\alpha)$	39.86		0.009 1		0.0103 5
	288.07	0.0035 2	0.0032 3	0.00274 23	0.0031 4 ^m
	327.96			0.00120 8	0.00120 8 ¹
	452.83	0.0040 2	0.0042 5	0.00256 23	0.0036 4 ^m
$^{212}\text{Bi}(\beta)$	727.18	0.0756 29	0.070 4	0.0656 20	0.0664 9 ¹
	785.42	0.0117 6	0.0101 7	0.0107 5	0.0110 2 ¹
	893.39		0.0050 8	0.00352 36	0.00381 14
	1078.6			0.0058 4	0.00574 15
^{208}Tl	1620.6			0.0138 8	0.0149 6
	211.5				0.00066 5
	233.5				0.00104 8
	252.6	0.0022 2	0.0028 3		0.00290 4
	277.35	0.0220 7	0.024 1		0.0230 2
	510.80	0.0821 25	0.079 4		0.0820 10
	583.14		0.303 14	0.306 9	0.306 2
	722.3	0.00097 7			0.00094 9 ^m
	763.13	0.0066 3	0.007 1	0.0073 5	0.0068 2 ^m
	860.37	0.0500 22	0.042 2	0.0455 12	0.0450 4
	1093.1				0.0016 2 ^m
	2614.6			0.356 11	0.361 5
					0.3587 6

- ^a The results from the measurements with a Ge(Li) detector have been recalculated using $P_\gamma = 0.306 \pm 0.002$ for the 583 keV reference ray.
- ^b When no absolute emission probability values (see table in C) are given, the P_γ values have been calculated from the measured relative intensities (see table in B) using the authors' value of $P_\gamma = 0.3052 \pm 0.0017$ for the 583 keV ray. The measured relative value for the 328 keV ray from ^{212}Bi has been corrected for a 2.2% contribution by the 328 keV ray from ^{232}U . The absolute value for the 2615 keV ray in the table in C is a calculated figure and has not been considered in the table of measured values.
- ^c The P_γ values have been recalculated using 0.3594 ± 0.0006 for the alpha decay branch of ^{212}Bi .
- ^d The P_γ values have been calculated from the measured relative intensities using $P_\gamma = 0.306 \pm 0.002$ for the 583 keV ray.
- ^e The P_γ value has been calculated from the measured relative intensities using $P_\gamma = 0.3588 \pm 0.0006$ for the 2615 keV reference ray.
- ^f The P_γ values have been recalculated using $P_\gamma(58) = 0.00200 \pm 0.00002$ for ^{232}U , $P_\gamma(84) = 0.0122 \pm 0.0003$ for ^{228}Th and $P_\gamma(241) = 0.0405 \pm 0.0004$ for ^{224}Ra - ^{220}Rn , respectively.
- ^g The P_γ values have been normalized using $P_\gamma = 0.306 \pm 0.002$ for the 583 keV reference ray. The results for the 239, 253 and 860 keV rays, deviating by several times the quoted uncertainties from those of the other measurements, have not been considered in the calculation of the evaluated values.
- ^h The P_γ values have been renormalized using $P_\gamma = 0.435 \pm 0.004$ for the 239 keV reference ray. The value for the 84 keV ray has not been considered in the calculation of the evaluated value.
- ⁱ The P_γ values for the 277, 328, 583 and 727 keV rays have been obtained by applying corrections for the contributions of rays of ^{228}Ac of nearly the same energies. These contributions were calculated from the literature values given in the authors' Table 2.
- ^j Generally, the evaluated values are, in accordance with Topping [15], deduced from the weighted means of the measured results. For consistency, the quoted uncertainties have been occasionally increased for the calculation of the weights (see too footnote l). For some low-intensity transitions, with a great spread of the experimental results, the evaluated values are the arithmetic means (see footnote m).
- ^k These values were calculated from the well known transition probabilities $P_{\text{tr}} = P_\alpha (\text{or } P_\beta) + P_\gamma + P_{\text{CE}}$ feeding the considered level, taken from the literature [16–19] and the theoretical total internal-conversion coefficients (ICC), obtained by cubic spline interpolation or by extrapolation of the values tabulated by Rösel et al. [20]. Details are given in the table below. These calculated values are also considered for the calculation of the recommended values.
- ^l The quoted uncertainties have been increased for the calculation of the means.
- ^m Arithmetic means.

Nuclide	E_γ (keV)	Multipolarity	Transition probability (P_{tr})	Total ICC (α) [20]	$P_\gamma = P_{tr}/(1 + \alpha)$
^{232}U	57.78	E_2	0.320 4 [16]	156 3	0.00204 5
^{228}Th	84.40	E_2	0.270 3 [17]	21.6 4	0.01195 25
^{224}Ra	241.0	E_2	0.0507 5 [18]	0.279 6	0.0396 4
^{208}Tl	2614.6	E_3	0.3594 6 [19] (α branch ^{212}Bi)	0.0019 1	0.3587 6

REFERENCES

- [1] HOLDEN, N.E., Total and Spontaneous Fission Half-Lives of the Uranium and Plutonium Nuclides, Brookhaven Natl. Lab., Upton, NY, Rep. BNL-NCS-35514-R (1984).
- [2] VANINBROUKX, R., HANSEN, H.H., Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot. **34** (1983) 1395.
- [3] GEHRKE, R.J., NOVICK, V.J., BAKER, J.D., Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot. **35** (1984) 581.
- [4] BANHAM, M.F., McCROHON, R., The Measurement of the Gamma-Ray Emission Probabilities for the Nuclides ^{231}Pa , ^{233}Pa , ^{232}U , ^{235}U , ^{237}U , and ^{237}Np , UK At. Energy Res. Establishment Harwell Report (in press).
- [5] SCHUPP, G., DANIEL, H., EAKINS, G.W., JENSEN, E.N., Phys. Rev. **120** (1960) 189.
- [6] BERTOLINI, G., CAPPELLANI, F., RESTELLI, G., ROTA, A., Nucl. Phys. **30** (1962) 599.
- [7] PEGHAIRE, A., Nucl. Instrum. Methods **75** (1969) 66.
- [8] LARSEN, J.S., JØRGENSEN, B.C., Z. Phys. **227** (1969) 65.
- [9] AUBIN, G., BARRETTE, J., LAMOUREUX, G., MONARO, S., Nucl. Instrum. Methods **76** (1969) 85.
- [10] KORTELAHTI, M., PAKKENAN, A., KANTELE, J., Nucl. Phys. **A240** (1975) 87.
- [11] KURZCEWICZ, W., KAFFRELL, N., TRAUTMANN, N., PLOCHOCKI, A., ZYLICZ, J., MATUL, M., STRYCZNIEWICZ, K., Nucl. Phys. **A289** (1977) 1.
- [12] AVIGNONE, F.T., SCHMIDT, A.G., Phys. Rev. C **17** (1978) 380.
- [13] SADASIVAN, S., RAGHUNATH, V.M., Nucl. Instrum. Methods **196** (1982) 561.
- [14] SCHÖTZIG, U., DEBERTIN, K., Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot. **34** (1983) 533.
- [15] TOPPING, J., Errors of Observation and their Treatment, 3rd edn, Chapman and Hall, London (1963) 87–93.
- [16] SCHMORAK, M.R., Nucl. Data Sheets **36** (1982) 367.
- [17] HOREN, D.J., Nucl. Data Sheets **17** (1976) 367.
- [18] BORTELS, G., REHER, D., VANINBROUKX, R., Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot. **35** (1984) 305.
- [19] MARTIN, M.J., Nucl. Data Sheets **27** (1979) 637.
- [20] RÖSEL, F., FRIES, H.M., ALDER, K., PAULI, H.C., At. Data Nucl. Data Tables **21** (1978) 91.

Recommended value: $(1.592 \pm 0.002) \times 10^5$ a

This value was adopted from the 1984 review by N.E. Holden [1].

The listed uncertainty has the same value as that given by Holden [1], whose uncertainties are customarily quoted at the 2σ level. To convert Holden's uncertainty to the 1σ level would result in the ^{233}U half-life being given with an accuracy of $<0.1\%$. As discussed elsewhere in this report, the CRP participants feel that 0.1% is the *minimum* accuracy to which the half-lives of long lived actinide nuclides can be reliably determined. Consequently, we have retained Holden's listed uncertainty, which also represents – to one significant figure – this 0.1% lower limit.

II. EMISSION PROBABILITIES OF SELECTED GAMMA RAYS

^{233}U

Evaluated by C.W. Reich (INEL, Idaho Falls, USA).

A. Recommended values

E_γ (keV) ^a	Emission probability (P_γ) (photons per decay)
29.192 ± 0.001	0.000120 ± 0.000003 ^c
42.468 ± 0.002 ^b	0.000862 ± 0.000013 ^c
54.699 ± 0.001	0.000182 ± 0.000003
118.968 ± 0.002	0.0000406 ± 0.0000004
120.816 ± 0.001	0.0000332 ± 0.000003
135.3	0.0000232 ± 0.0000002
146.345 ± 0.002	0.0000657 ± 0.0000006
164.522 ± 0.002	0.0000623 ± 0.0000005
208.171 ± 0.002	0.0000229 ± 0.0000003
245.345 ± 0.002	0.0000362 ± 0.0000003
291.354 ± 0.004	0.0000537 ± 0.0000005
317.2	0.0000776 ± 0.0000007
320.541 ± 0.005	0.0000290 ± 0.0000003

Notes to Table A

^a Values reported in Ref. [2].

^b Decay scheme studies indicate that this peak is a doublet.

^c Gamma rays from members of the decay chain headed by ^{233}U can contribute to this peak.

B. CRP measurements

The only CRP-based measurements of the emission probabilities of the gamma-ray transitions from ^{233}U decay are those carried out at INEL [2]. Since these are included in II.C. (below) they are not listed separately here.

C. Comparison with other measurements

E_γ (keV) ^a	CRP measurements ^b		Other measurements ^b			Recommended values ^f
	Reich et al. (1984) [2]	Canty et al. (1977) [3] ^c	Kroger and Reich (1976) [4] ^e	Ahmad (1966) [5]		
29.192 1	12.0 3	6.46	12.0 12	10.2	12.0 3	
42.468 2	86.2 13	57.24	62.0 50	77.7	86.2 13	
54.699 1	18.2 3	13.3	15.0 10	14.2	18.2 3	
118.968 2	4.06 4	3.01	3.7 2	4.7 8	4.06 4	
120.816 1	3.32 3	1.95	3.10 15	3.2 5	3.32 3	
135.3	2.32 2	2.06	2.30 12	2.9 3	2.32 2	
146.345 2	6.57 6	5.96	6.40 32	8.0 15	6.57 6	
164.522 2	6.23 5	6.31	6.2 4	7.8 8	6.23 5	
208.171 2	2.29 3	2.37	2.40 12	2.7 3	2.29 3	
245.345 2	3.62 3	3.77	3.8 2	4.2 5	3.62 3	
291.354 4	5.37 5	5.46	5.5 3	6.7 7	5.37 5	
317.2	7.76 7	7.76 ^d	8.0 10	9.1	7.76 7	
320.541 5	2.90 3	2.87	3.0 2	3.2 5	2.90 3	

Notes to Table C

^a Gamma-ray energies are those reported in Ref. [2].

^b All P_γ values are expressed in units of photons per 10^5 decays.

^c Only relative values for the gamma ray intensities are given in Ref. [3]. The uncertainties in these relative data are stated to be <15%. The values shown here have, for purposes of comparison, been normalized to that of the 317.2 keV gamma ray.

^d Normalization point.

^e The listed uncertainty of P_γ (317.2) is that of the overall P_γ normalization. It has *not* been ‘folded’ into the other listed uncertainties, which are those of the relative intensities only.

^f After consideration of the relatively large uncertainties in three of the sets of measurements and the large differences among some of the values reported in them, we have chosen to adopt for the recommended P_γ values those measured at INEL [2].

III. ALPHA PARTICLE EMISSION PROBABILITIES

^{233}U

Evaluuated by C.W. Reich.

A. Recommended values

Transition ^a	E_α (keV) ^b	P_α
α_0	4824	0.827 ± 0.003
α_{42}	4783	0.149 ± 0.002
α_{97}	4729	0.0185 ± 0.0005

Notes to Table A

^a Subscript denotes the energy (in keV) of the daughter-nucleus level fed by the alpha transition.

^b Nominal values only, taken from Ref. [6].

B. CRP measurements

None. However, the measurements reported by I. Ahmad [7] and included in III.C below were undertaken at the request of the CRP participants.

C. Comparison with other measurements

Transition	E_α (keV)	Ahmad (1984) [7]	Baranov et al. (1976) [8]	Recommended values ^b
α_0	4824	0.827 3	0.844 5	0.827 3
α_{42}	4783	0.149 2	0.132 2	0.149 2
α_{97}	4729	0.0185 5	0.0161 ^a	0.0185 5

Notes to Table C

^a Value not given in Ref. [8]; it has been taken from Ref. [6].

^b The differences in the two reported measurements appear to arise from systematic, rather than from statistical, causes. Consequently, we have not carried out a weighted average of the two data sets in order to arrive at a list of recommended values. We have chosen to adopt as the recommended values those of Ref. [7].

REFERENCES

^{233}U

- [1] HOLDEN, N.E., Total and Spontaneous Fission Half-Lives of the Uranium and Plutonium Nuclides, Brookhaven Natl. Lab., Upton, NY, Rep. BNL-NCS-35514-R (1984).
- [2] REICH, C.W., HELMER, R.G., BAKER, J.D., GEHRKE, R.J., Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot. **35** (1984) 185.
- [3] CANTY, M.J., CONNOR, R.D., DOHAN, D.A., POPLE, B., J. Phys., G (London) Nucl. Phys. **3** (1977) 421.
- [4] KROGER, L.A., REICH, C.W., Nucl. Phys. **A259** (1976) 29.
- [5] AHMAD, I., PhD thesis, Univ. of California, Livermore, CA, Rep. UCRL-16888 (September 1966).
- [6] BARANOV, S.A., GADZHIEV, M.K., KULAKOV, V.M., SHATINSKII, V.M., Yad. Fiz. **5** (1967) 518; Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **5** (1967) 365; see also Ref. [8].
- [7] AHMAD, I., Nucl. Instrum. Methods **223** (1984) 319.
- [8] BARANOV, S.A., ZELENKOV, A.G., KULAKOV, V.M., "The experimental investigation of the alpha decay of transactinium isotopes", Transactinium Isotope Nuclear Data, International Atomic Energy Agency Rep. IAEA-186, Vol. III, IAEA, Vienna (1976) 249.

I. HALF-LIFE

 ^{234}U

Recommended value: $(2.457 \pm 0.003) \times 10^5$ a

Evaluated by A.L. Nichols (UKAEA, AEE Winfrith).

Weighted mean with 1σ standard deviation.

Value (years)	Reference ^e
$2.475\ 24 \times 10^5$ ^a	Fleming et al. (1952) [1]
$2.47\ 3 \times 10^5$ ^a	White et al. (1965) [2]
$2.439\ 36 \times 10^5$ ^{a, b}	Meadows (1970) [3]
$2.450\ 6 \times 10^5$ ^a	de Bievre et al. (1972/1980) [4]
$2.458\ 6 \times 10^5$ ^a	Lounsbury and Durham (1972) [5]
$2.459\ 4 \times 10^5$ ^a	Geidel'man et al. (1980) [6]
$2.457\ 5 \times 10^5$ ^{a, c}	Poenitz and Meadows (1983) [7]
$2.457\ 5 \times 10^5$ ^{a, d}	Divadeenam and Stehn (1984) [8]
$2.458\ 5 \times 10^5$ ^{a, d}	Axton (1985) [9]

Notes to Table

- ^a 1σ standard deviation has been estimated.
- ^b Data in Ref. [7] imply that this value has been withdrawn, and it has not been considered in this evaluation.
- ^c Determined from accurate mass assay of U-235 samples and absolute alpha decay rates.
- ^d Derived from least squares fit of thermal data for selected fissile nuclei; the data from Ref. [8] were omitted from the evaluation on the basis that the same data sources were used to derive the least squares fit for Refs [8] and [9].
- ^e An earlier evaluation by Holden [10] was based on the data from Refs [1-6] to give a recommended half-life of $2.454\ 6 \times 10^5$ years with a 95% confidence limit; the most recent evaluation of Holden [11] was based on the data from Refs [1, 2] and [4-7] to give a recommended half-life of $2.456\ 5 \times 10^5$ years with a 95% confidence limit.

II. GAMMA RAY EMISSION PROBABILITIES

^{234}U

Evaluatd by A.L. Nichols (UKAEA, AEE Winfrith).

A. Recommended values

E_γ (keV)	P_γ
53.20 ± 0.02	0.00123 ± 0.00002
120.90 ± 0.02	0.000342 ± 0.000005
454.95 ± 0.05	$(2.5 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-7}$
508.20 ± 0.10	$(1.5 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-7}$
581.7 ± 0.2	$(1.2 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-7}$

B. CRP measurements

E_γ (keV)	P_γ Vaninbroukx et al. (1984) [20]
53.20	0.00124 2
120.88	0.000341 4

C. Comparison with other measurements

E_γ and P_γ from other sources

Bjørnholm et al. (1963) [12]		Ahmad (1966) [13]		Schmorak et al. (1972) [14]		Taylor (1973) [15]		Heath (1974) [16]	
E_γ (keV)	P_γ	E_γ (keV)	P_γ ^a	E_γ (keV)	P_γ	E_γ (keV)	P_γ	E_γ (keV)	P_γ ^a
—	—	53.3 2	100	53.222 19	—	53.15 3	—	53.3 1	100 5
—	—	120.9 2	34 4	120.905 12	—	—	—	120.9 1	34.2 18
460	$\} 4.1 \times 10^{-7}$	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
510		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
585	1.25×10^{-7}	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Note to Table C

^a Relative gamma ray emission probabilities.

Comparison

E_γ (keV)	CRP measurements		Other measurements			Evaluated values ^e (from measurements)	Calculated values (from ICC and P_α data)
	Vaninbroukx et al. (1984) [20]		Bjørnholm et al. (1963) [12] ^c	Ahmad (1966) [13] ^d	Heath (1974) [16] ^d		
53.20 2 ^a	0.00124 2	—	0.00122 3	0.00122 9	0.00123 2	0.00122 3	
120.90 2 ^a	0.000341 4	—	0.00041 6	0.00042 6	0.000342 5	0.00033 1	
454.95 5 ^b	—	$2.5\ 5 \times 10^{-7}$	—	—	$2.5\ 5 \times 10^{-7}$	—	
508.20 10 ^b	—	$1.5\ 5 \times 10^{-7}$	—	—	$1.5\ 5 \times 10^{-7}$	—	
581.7 2 ^b	—	$1.2\ 5 \times 10^{-7}$	—	—	$1.2\ 5 \times 10^{-7}$	—	

Notes to Table

- ^a Gamma energies derived from weighted mean values of Refs [13–16].
- ^b Accurate gamma energies derived from Pa-230 decay data.
- ^c $P_\gamma(455)/P_\gamma(508) = 1.67$ from Pa-230 and Ac-230 decay data.
- ^d Data renormalized so that $P(\gamma + ce) + P_\alpha(0) = 1.00$ with $P_\alpha(0) = 0.7137\ 2$.
- ^e Recommended data are weighted mean values with 1σ standard deviation.

Theoretical internal conversion coefficients

^{234}U

E_γ (keV)	Transition type	Theoretical internal conversion coefficients ^a				Calculated total transition probability (from ICC and P_γ data)
		α_K	α_L	α_{M+}	α_{tot}	
53.20	E2	—	170.2	63.1	233.5	0.288 11
120.90	E2	0.253 3	3.49 4	1.30 1	5.0 1	0.00205 7

Note to Table

^a Theoretical internal conversion coefficients taken from F. Rösel et al., At. Data Nucl. Data Tables **21**, 4–5 (1978).

III. ALPHA PARTICLE EMISSION PROBABILITIES

A. Recommended values

E_α (keV)	P_α
4603.8 ± 0.9	0.00199 ± 0.00002
4722.6 ± 0.9	0.2842 ± 0.0002
4774.9 ± 0.8	0.7137 ± 0.0002

B. CRP measurements

Vaninbroukx et al. (1984) [20]		Okashita (1984) [21]	
E_α (keV)	P_α	E_α (keV)	P_α
4605	0.00206 4	4604.7	0.00209 12
4724	0.2842 5	4723.7	0.2846 17
4776	0.7138 5	4775.8	0.7134 15

C. Comparison with other measurements

E_α (keV) ^a	CRP measurements		Other measurements			Evaluated values
	Vaninbrouck et al. (1984) [20] ^b	Okashita (1984) [21]	Baranov et al. (1960) [17] ^c	Kocharov and Korolev (1961) [18] ^d	Burns et al. (1984) [19] ^b	
	4603.8 9	0.00206 4	0.00209 12	<0.0037 11	0.003	0.001978 12
4722.6 9	0.2842 5	0.2846 17	0.275 15	0.27	0.2843 2	0.2842 2
4774.9 8	0.7138 5	0.7134 15	0.725 30	0.73	0.7137 2	0.7137 2

Notes to Table C

- ^a Alpha energies have not been measured by CRP laboratories; accurate energies have been calculated from Q_α of 4857.9 8 keV and nuclear level scheme of Th-230.
- ^b The extremely low uncertainties were accepted because of the great care taken to prepare samples, measure the alpha transitions and process the resulting spectra.
- ^c Only an upper limit was placed on the 4604 keV alpha emission probability by Baranov et al. [17], and this value has not been used in the calculation of a weighted mean and the derivation of the recommended value.
- ^d No uncertainties were reported by Kocharov and Korolev [18]: values of 0.27 2 and 0.73 2 have been assigned to the 4723 and 4775 keV alpha emission probabilities, respectively, and the 4604 keV alpha emission probability has not been used in calculating the weighted mean and recommended value.

- [1] FLEMING, E.H., Jr., GHIORSO, A., CUNNINGHAM, B.B., Phys. Rev. **88** (1952) 642.
- [2] WHITE, P.H., WALL, G.J., PONTET, F.R., J. Nucl. Energy **A/B 19** (1965) 33.
- [3] MEADOWS, J.W., Argonne National Laboratory Reactor Physics Division Annual Report, Rep. ANL-7610 (1970).
- [4] DE BIEVRE, P., LAUER, K.F., LE DUGOU, Y., MORET, H., MUSCHENBORN, G., SPAEPEN, J., SPERNOL, A., VANINBROUKX, R., VERDINGH, V., in Chemical Nuclear Data Measurements and Applications (Proc. Conf. Canterbury, 1971), Institution of Civil Engineers, London (1972) 221; VANINBROUKX, R., CBNM re-evaluation (1980).
- [5] LOUNSBURY, M., DURHAM, R.W., in Chemical Nuclear Data Measurements and Applications (Proc. Conf. Canterbury, 1971), Institution of Civil Engineers, London (1972) 215.
- [6] GEIDEL'MAN, A.M., EGOROV, Y.S., LOVTSYUS, A.V., ORLOV, V.I., PREOBRAZHENSAYA, L.D., RYZHINSKII, M.V., STEPANOV, A.V., LIPOVSKII, A.A., KHOLO'NOV, Y.V., BELYAEV, B.N., ADBULLAKHATOV, M.K., AKOPOV, G.A., BELYKH, V.S., GROMOVA, E.A., MISHIN, V.Y., SOLNTSEVA, L.F., Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, Ser. Fiz. **44** (1980) 927.
- [7] POENITZ, W.P., MEADOWS, J.W., ^{235}U and ^{239}U Sample Mass Determinations and Intercomparisons, Argonne Natl Lab., IL, Rep. ANL/NDM-84 (1983).
- [8] DIVADEENAM, M., STEHN, J.R., Ann. Nucl. Energy **11** (1984) 375.
- [9] AXTON, E.J., Nuclear Standard Reference Data, IAEA-TECDOC-335, IAEA, Vienna (1985).
- [10] HOLDEN, N.E., Uranium Half-Lives: A Critical Review, Brookhaven Natl Lab., Upton, NY, Rep. BNL-NCS-51320 (1981).
- [11] HOLDEN, N.E., Total and Spontaneous Fission Half-Lives of the Uranium and Plutonium Nuclides, Brookhaven Natl. Lab., Upton, NY, Rep. BNL-NCS-35514-R (1984).
- [12] BJØRNHOLM, S., LEDERER, M., ASARO, F., PERLMAN, I., Phys. Rev. **130** (1963) 2000.
- [13] AHMAD, I., PhD Thesis, Univ. of California, Livermore, CA, Rep. UCRL-16888 (September 1966).
- [14] SCHMORAK, M., BEMIS, C.E., Jr., ZENDER, M.J., GROVE, N.B., DITTNER, P.F., Nucl. Phys. **A178** (1972) 410.
- [15] TAYLOR, H.W., Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot. **24** (1973) 593.
- [16] HEATH, R.L., Gamma Ray Spectrum Catalogue, Aerojet Nucl. Co., Idaho Falls, ID, Rep. ANCR-1000-2 (1974).
- [17] BARANOV, S.A., ZELENKOV, A.G., KULAKOV, V.M., Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, Ser. Fiz. **24** (1960) 1035; Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR, Phys. Ser. (Engl. Transl.) **24** (1960) 1045.
- [18] KOCHAROV, G.E., KOROLEV, G.A., Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, Ser. Fiz. **25** (1961) 237; Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR, Phys. Ser. (Engl. Transl.) **25** (1961) 22.
- [19] BURNS, P.A., JOHNSTON, P.N., MORONEY, J.R., ARL, private communication, 1984.
- [20] VANINBROUKX, R., BORTELS, G., DENECKE, B., Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot. **35** (1984) 1081.
- [21] OKASHITA, H., JAERI, private communication, 1984.

I. HALF-LIFE

^{235}U

Recommended value: $(7.037 \pm 0.007) \times 10^8 \text{ a}$

This value was adopted from the 1984 review by Holden [1].

The quoted uncertainty, corresponding to the 2σ level, has been reduced to 0.1%, being the minimum value adopted by the CRP participants for half-lives of long lived nuclides.

II. EMISSION PROBABILITIES OF SELECTED GAMMA RAYS

Evaluated by R. Vaninbroukx (CBNM, Geel, Belgium).

A. Recommended values

E_γ (keV)	P_γ
41.96	0.0006 ± 0.0001
74.8	0.0006 ± 0.0001
109.16	0.0154 ± 0.0005
140.76	0.0022 ± 0.0002
143.76	0.1096 ± 0.0008
150.93	0.0008 ± 0.0001
163.33	0.0508 ± 0.0004
182.61	0.0034 ± 0.0002
185.72	0.572 ± 0.002
194.94	0.0063 ± 0.0001
198.90	0.0042 ± 0.0006
202.11	0.0108 ± 0.0002
205.31	0.0501 ± 0.0005
221.38	0.0012 ± 0.0001
233.50	0.00029 ± 0.00005
240.85	0.00075 ± 0.00006
246.84	0.00053 ± 0.00003

B. CRP measurements

E_γ (keV)	Vaninbroukx and Denecke (1982) [2]	Banham and Jones (1983) [3]	Helmer and Reich (1984) [4]
41.96		0.0006 1	
74.8		0.0051 5	
109.16		0.0153 5	
140.76		0.00214 15	
143.76	0.109 2	0.107 2	0.1101 8
150.93		0.00066 10	
163.33	0.050 1	0.0497 10	0.0512 4
182.61		0.00339 17	
185.72	0.575 9	0.573 6	0.572 5
194.94		0.00626 13	
198.90		0.00047 6	
202.11		0.0108 2	
205.31	0.050 2	0.0505 5	0.0496 5
221.38		0.00114 6	
233.50		0.00029 5	
240.85		0.00076 6	
246.84		0.00053 3	

C. Comparison with other measurements

E_γ (keV)	CRP measurements			Other measurements			Evaluated values ^c
	Vaninbroukx and Denecke (1982) [2]	Banham and Jones (1983) [3]	Helmer and Reich (1984) [4]	Teoh et al. (1974) [5] ^a	Vano et al. (1975) [6] ^a	Olson (1983) [7]	
41.96		0.0006 1		0.0007 3			0.0006 1
74.8		0.0051 5 ^b		0.0005 1	0.0007 1		0.0006 1
109.16		0.0153 5		0.018 2	0.015 2		0.0154 5
140.76		0.00214 15		0.0026 3	0.0022 3		0.0022 2
143.76	0.109 2	0.107 2	0.1101 8	0.112 11	0.111 11	0.1093 15	0.1096 8
150.93		0.00066 10		0.0008 1	0.00081 10		0.0008 1
163.33	0.050 1	0.0497 10	0.0512 4	0.050 5	0.051 5	0.0507 8	0.0508 4
182.61		0.00339 17		0.0042 14	0.0044 9		0.0034 2
185.72	0.575 9	0.573 6	0.572 5			0.561 8	0.572 5
194.94		0.00626 13		0.0061 9	0.0062 6		0.0063 1
198.90		0.00047 6		0.00046 6	0.00033 5		0.0042 6
202.11		0.0108 2		0.0108 11	0.0107 10		0.0108 2
205.31	0.050 2	0.0505 5	0.0496 5	0.049 4	0.050 5	0.0503 9	0.0501 5
221.38		0.00114 6		0.0012 3	0.0012 1		0.0012 1
233.50		0.00029 5		0.0003 1	0.0003 1		0.00029 5
240.85		0.00076 6		0.0006 2	0.0008 2		0.00075 6
246.84		0.00053 3		0.0005 2	0.0008 2		0.00053 3

Notes to Table C

^a The P_γ values have been calculated from the measured relative intensities using $P_\gamma = 0.572 \pm 0.005$ for the 185 keV reference line.

^b The value, deviating by a factor of about 10 from the results of the other measurements, has not been considered in the calculation of the evaluated value.

^c The evaluated values and uncertainties are based on weighted means calculated according to Topping [8].

- [1] HOLDEN, N.E., Total and Spontaneous Fission Half-Lives of the Uranium and Plutonium Nuclides, Brookhaven Natl. Lab., Upton, NY, Rep. BNL-NCS-35514-R (1984).
- [2] VANINBROUKX, R., DENECKE, B., Nucl. Instrum. Methods **193** (1982) 191.
- [3] BANHAM, M.F., JONES, R., Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot. **34** (1983) 1225.
- [4] HELMER, R.G., REICH, C.W., Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot. **35** (1984) 783.
- [5] TEOH, W., CONNOR, R.D., BETTS, R.H., Nucl. Phys. **A228** (1974) 432.
- [6] VANO, E., GAETA, R., GONZALEZ, L., LIANG, C.F., Nucl. Phys. **A251** (1975) 225.
- [7] OLSON, D.G., Nucl. Instrum. Methods **206** (1983) 313.
- [8] TOPPING, J., Errors of Observation and their Treatment, 3rd edn, Chapman and Hall, London (1963) 87–93.

I. HALF-LIFE

^{237}U

Recommended value: 6.75 ± 0.01 a

This value was adopted from the Nuclear Data Sheets [1].

II. EMISSION PROBABILITIES OF SELECTED GAMMA RAYS

Evaluuated by F. Lagoutine (LMRI, Saclay, France).

A. Recommended values

E_γ (keV)	P_γ
51.00	0.0045 ± 0.0006
59.54	0.345 ± 0.008
64.83	0.0131 ± 0.0003
164.58	0.0187 ± 0.0005
208.00	0.216 ± 0.005
221.73	0.000205 ± 0.000008
234.24	0.000205 ± 0.000008
267.54	0.00730 ± 0.00018
332.35	0.0121 ± 0.0003
335.40	0.00097 ± 0.00003
368.60	0.00042 ± 0.00002
370.93	0.00110 ± 0.00004

B. CRP measurements

²³⁷U

E_{γ} (keV)	Willmes et al. (1985) [2] ^a	Helmer and Reich (1985) [3] ^a	Banham (1984) [4] ^b
51.00			
59.54			0.344 9
64.83	0.0130 4		0.0045 6
164.58	0.0188 5	0.0190 5	0.0188 5
208.00	0.216 6	0.216 6	0.216 ^e
221.73			0.000203 25
234.24			0.000227 40
267.54	0.00722 20	0.00726 33	0.00733 25
332.35	0.0122 3		0.0120 4
335.40	0.00097 3		0.00093 5
368.60	0.00040 3		0.00042 3
370.93	0.00109 4		0.00111 6

C. Comparison with other measurements

^{237}U

E_γ (keV)	CRP measurements			Other measurements				
	Willmes et al. (1985) [2] ^a	Helmer and Reich (1985) [3] ^a	Banham (1984) [4] ^b	Yamazaki and Hollander (1966) [5] ^b	Cline (1971) [6] ^b	Gunnink et al. (1976) [7] ^c	Buchuev et al. (1982) [8]	Evaluated values ^d
51.00			0.0045 6	0.0021 10		0.00340 14		0.0045 6
59.54			0.344 9	0.335 40	0.334 (25)	0.345 8		0.345 8
64.83	0.0130 4		0.0133 5	0.0117 16	0.0121 9	0.0130 3		0.0131 3
164.58	0.0188 5	0.0190 5	0.0188 5	0.0183 9	0.0185 14	0.0184 5		0.0187 5
208.00	0.216 6	0.216 6	(0.216) ^e	(0.216) ^e	(0.216) ^e	0.217 5	0.215 14	0.216 5
221.73			0.000203 25	0.000203 18	0.000185 14	0.000212 8		0.000205 8
234.24			0.000227 40	0.000194 18	0.000278 60	0.000205 8		0.000205 8
267.54	0.00722 20	0.00726 33	0.00733 25	0.00711 30	0.00769 60	0.00740 18		0.00730 18
332.35	0.0122 3		0.0120 4	0.0120 8	0.0121 9	0.0121 3		0.0121 3
335.40	0.00097 3		0.00093 5	0.00095 9	0.00111 9	0.00097 3		0.00097 3
368.60	0.00040 3		0.00042 3	0.00046 5	0.00044 3	0.00043 2		0.00042 2
370.93	0.00109 4		0.00111 6	0.00111 9	0.00127 10	0.00110 4		0.00110 4

Notes to Table C

^a The P_γ values have been recalculated from the ^{241}Pu decay data in the form $P_\alpha(^{241}\text{Pu})$ times $P_\gamma(^{237}\text{U})$.

^b The relative values of each author are normalized to 0.216 at 208.00 keV as the weighted mean of data from Refs [2, 3, 7, 8].

^c Uncertainties include a 2% detector efficiency uncertainty.

^d The evaluated values are deduced from the weighted mean of the experimental data.

^e Evaluator's normalization point. For reasons of consistency, the quoted uncertainty for the 234 keV ray in Ref. [6] has been increased by a factor of 3.

REFERENCES

^{237}U

- [1] ELLIS, Y.A., Nucl. Data Sheets **23** (1978) 71.
- [2] WILLMES, H., ANDO, T., GEHRKE, R.J., Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot. **36** (1985) 123.
- [3] HELMER, R.G., REICH, C.W., Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot. **36** (1985) 117.
- [4] BANHAM, M.F., AERE, private communication, 1984.
- [5] YAMAZAKI, T., HOLLANDER, J.M., Nucl. Phys. **84** (1966) 505.
- [6] CLINE, J.E., IN-1448 Rev. (1971).
- [7] GUNNINK, R., EVANS, J.E., PRINDLE, A.L., Re-evaluation of the Gamma Ray Energies and Absolute Branching Intensities of ^{237}U , $^{238,239,240,241}\text{Pu}$ and ^{241}Am , Univ. of California, Livermore, CA, Rep. UCRL-52139 (1976).
- [8] BUSHUEV, A.V., MATVEEV, P.V., OZEROV, V.N., CHACHIN, V.V., Yadernye Konstanty 2(46) (1982) 30.

I. HALF-LIFE

^{239}U

Recommended value: 23.47 ± 0.05 min

Evaluuated by A.L. Nichols (UKAEA, AEE Winfrith).

Weighted mean with 1σ standard deviation.

Value (min)	Reference
23.54 5	Mitchell et al. (1943) [1]
23.5 7	Feather and Krishnan (1947) [2]
23.40 5	Hunt et al. (1969) [3]

II. EMISSION PROBABILITIES OF SELECTED GAMMA RAYS

^{239}U

Evaluatd by A.L. Nichols (UKAEA, AEE Winfrith).

A. Recommended values

E_γ (keV)	P_γ
31.131 ± 0.002	0.00068 ± 0.00010 ^a
43.533 ± 0.001	0.0416 ± 0.0011
74.664 ± 0.001	0.481 ± 0.010
86.72 ± 0.07	0.00052 ± 0.00006
117.7 ± 0.1	0.0013 ± 0.0004

Note to Table A

^a Adjusted to produce complete and consistent decay scheme (see below).

B. CRP measurements

E_γ (keV)	P_γ Holloway et al. (1984) [4]
31.1	0.00065 7
43.5	0.0418 13
74.7	0.482 10
86.7	0.00052 6
117.8	0.0013 4

C. Comparison with other measurements

E_γ and P_γ from other sources

Blinowska et al. (1964) [5]		Yurova and Bushuev (1965) [6]		MacKenzie and Connor (1968) [7]		Cline and Tripp (1969) Schmorak (1983) [8]		Börner et al. (1979) [9]	
E_γ (keV)	P_γ	E_γ (keV)	P_γ	E_γ (keV)	P_γ	E_γ (keV)	P_γ ^a	E_γ (keV)	P_γ
31.142 3	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	31.131 2	—
43.531 2	0.041 2	—	—	43.5	~0.012	•43.52 4	8.9 3	43.533 1	—
74.673 2	(0.51)	74	0.435 30	74.7	0.62 9	74.66 2	100	74.664 1	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	86.72 7	0.12	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	117.66 3	0.29	—	—

Note to Table C

^a Relative gamma ray emission probabilities.

E_γ (keV)	CRP measurements		Other measurements			Evaluated values
	Holloway et al. (1984) [4]	Blinowska et al. (1964) [5]	Yurova and Bushuev (1965) [6] ^c	MacKenzie and Connor (1968) [7] ^d	Cline and Tripp (1969) Schmorak (1983) [8] ^e	
31.131 2	0.00065 7	—	—	—	—	0.00065 7
43.533 1	0.0418 13	0.0412 ^a	—	~0.012	0.0445 60	0.0416 11
74.664 1	0.482 10	(0.51) ^b	0.47 4	0.62 9	0.50 5	0.481 10
86.72 7	0.00052 6	—	—	—	0.00060 6	0.00052 6
117.7 1	0.0013 4	—	—	—	0.00145 15	0.0013 4

Notes to Table

- ^a The 1σ standard deviation for the 43.533 keV gamma emission probability has been estimated from the spectral data.
- ^b The 74.664 keV gamma emission probability was calculated by Blinowska et al. from their proposed decay scheme: this derived value has not been used to calculate the weighted mean and recommended value.
- ^c The emission probabilities of the Au-198 K-X-rays were used to calibrate the gamma spectrometer in the 72 keV region: a value of 0.0257 7 gave an emission probability of 0.435 30. The latest estimate for the combined K-X-ray emission probability of Au-198 is 0.0280 10, and this gives a 74.664 keV gamma emission probability of 0.47 4.
- ^d The 74.664 keV gamma emission probability was calculated by assuming a single transition from the 74.664 keV energy level to the ground state: this is incorrect, and a value of 0.48 2 can be derived using transition probability data associated with the 43.533 keV gamma ray. The data from this biased recalculation have not been used to calculate the weighted mean and recommended values.
- ^e Communicated privately to Artna-Cohen [8], but not published in the open literature with the necessary experimental detail: these data have not been used to calculate the weighted mean and recommended values. However, the emission probabilities of the 149 gamma rays listed by Artna-Cohen/Cline and Tripp have been used to produce a complex and comprehensive decay scheme to aid in the evaluation of the limited CRP data. Internal conversion coefficients measured by Engelkemeir (1969) [10] and Schmidt-Ott et al. (1972) [11] were used in this evaluation to calculate the multipolarities of important gamma transitions.

Theoretical internal conversion coefficients

^{239}U

E_γ (keV)	Transition type	Theoretical internal conversion coefficients ^a				Calculated total transition probability (from ICC and P_γ data)
		α_K	α_L	α_{M+}	α_{tot}	
31.131 ^b	M1 + E2	—	210 10	75 5	285 15	0.194 40
43.533	E1	—	0.87 3	0.29 1	1.16 4	0.090 4
74.664	E1	—	0.211 6	0.070 2	0.281 8	0.616 17
86.72	E1	—	0.142 4	0.048 2	0.190 6	0.00062 7
117.7	E1	—	0.064 2	0.024 1	0.088 3	0.0014 4

Notes to Table

^a Theoretical internal conversion coefficients taken from F. Rösel et al., At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 21, 4-5 (1978).

^b The multipolarity of the $7/2^+ \rightarrow 5/2^+$ ground-state transition was calculated to have an admixture of 3% E2 by balancing the decay schemes for U-239 and Am-243: this consistency was best achieved by adjusting the 31.131 keV gamma emission probability to a recommended value of 0.00068 10.

III. BETA DECAY DATA

Evaluated by A.L. Nichols (UKAEA, AEE Winfrith).

Recommended values

E_β (keV) ^a	P_β ^b
1145.2 \pm 2.5	0.018 \pm 0.002
1188.2 \pm 2.5	0.688 \pm 0.014
1231.8 \pm 2.5	0.102 \pm 0.019
1262.9 \pm 2.5	0.178 \pm 0.029

Notes to Table

^a Beta energies have been calculated from Q_β of 1262.9 25 keV and the nuclear level structure of Np-239.

^b Recommended emission probability data have been derived from the proposed decay scheme formed from the evaluated gamma ray emission probabilities and the high energy gamma ray measurements of Cline and Tripp [8].

REFERENCES

^{239}U

- [1] MITCHELL, A.C.G., SLOTIN, L., MARSHALL, J., NEDZEL, V.A., BROWN, L.J., PRUETT, J.R., Metallurgical Lab. Rep. CP-597 (1943).
- [2] FEATHER, N., KRISHNAN, R.S., Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. **43** (1947) 267.
- [3] HUNT, J.B., ROBERTSON, J.C., RYVES, T.B., J. Nucl. Energy **23** (1969) 705.
- [4] HOLLOWAY, S.P., OLOMO, J.B., MacMAHON, T.D., HOOTON, B.W., Nuclear Data for Science and Technology (BOCKHOFF, K.H., Ed.), Reidel, Dordrecht (1983) 287; HOLLOWAY, S.P., PhD thesis, University of London (1983); MacMAHON, T.D., Imperial College at Silwood Park, re-assessment and private communication (1984).
- [5] BLINOWSKA, K.J., HANSEN, P.G., NIELSEN, H.L., SCHULT, O., WIEN, K., Nucl. Phys. **55** (1964) 331.
- [6] YUROVA, L.N., BUSHUEV, A.V., Sov. At. Energy (Engl. Transl.) **18** (1965) 75.
- [7] MacKENZIE, D.R., CONNOR, R.D., Nucl. Phys. **A108** (1968) 81.
- [8] CLINE, J.E., TRIPP, D.A., private communication to A. Artna-Cohen, 1969; ARTNA-COHEN, A., Nucl. Data Sheets **B6** (1971) 587; SCHMORAK, M.R., Nucl. Data Sheets **40** (1983) 106.
- [9] BÖRNER, H.G., BARREAU, G., DAVIDSON, W.F., JEUCH, P., von EGIDY, T., ALMEIDA, J., WHITE, D.H., Nucl. Instrum. Methods **166** (1979) 251.
- [10] ENGELKEMEIR, D., Phys. Rev. **181** (1969) 1675.
- [11] SCHMIDT-OTT, W.D., McGEORGE, J.C., FINK, R.W., Z. Phys. **255** (1972) 161.

I. HALF-LIFE

^{237}Np

Recommended value: $(2.14 \pm 0.01) \times 10^6$ a

This value is based on the results of only one precise measurement, namely that of Brauer et al. [1].

II. EMISSION PROBABILITIES OF SELECTED GAMMA RAYS

Evaluuated by C.W. Reich (INEL, Idaho Falls, USA).

A. Recommended values

E_γ (keV) ^a	P_γ
29.37	0.153 ± 0.003
46.53	0.00106 ± 0.00006
57.15	0.00382 ± 0.00011
86.50	0.123 ± 0.002
88.04	0.00138 ± 0.00003
117.68	0.00173 ± 0.00003
131.04	0.00086 ± 0.00002
134.23	0.00071 ± 0.00002
143.21	0.00432 ± 0.00008
151.37	0.00234 ± 0.00004
155.22	0.00092 ± 0.00002
169.17	0.00071 ± 0.00001
195.09	0.00185 ± 0.00002
212.42	0.00151 ± 0.00002
238.0	0.00059 ± 0.00001

Note to Table A

^a Nominal values only.

B. CRP measurements

^{237}Np

E_γ (keV)	Banham (1984) [2] ^a	Vaninbroukx et al. (1984) [4]
29.37	0.154 2	0.1503 40
46.53	0.00104 6	0.0011 1
57.15	0.00373 11	0.0039 1
86.50	0.1220 12	0.1244 33
88.04	0.00138 3	0.0014 1
117.68	0.00175 2	0.00168 5
131.04	0.00086 1	—
134.23	0.00071 1	—
143.21	0.00430 4	0.00434 10
151.37	0.00236 2	0.00232 6
155.22	0.000917 10	—
169.17	0.000711 7	—
195.09	0.00184 2	0.00188 5
212.42	0.00150 2	0.00155 5
238.0	0.000586 12	—

Note to Table B

^a The P_γ values previously reported by Banham and Fudge [3] have been modified somewhat owing to changes in their detector-efficiency curves resulting from the adoption of more recent values for the efficiency calibration standards. It is these modified P_γ values that are shown here.

C. Comparison with other measurements

E_γ (keV) ^a	CRP measurements		Other measurements				Recommended values ^h
	Banham (1984) [2]	Vaninbroukx et al. (1984) [4]	González et al. (1979) [5]	Skalsey and Connor (1976) [6]	Brown and Asaro (1969) [7]	Vara and Gaeta (1969) [8]	
29.37	0.154 2	0.1503 40	0.103 10	0.162 9	0.140 20	0.13	0.153 3
46.53	0.00104 6	0.0011 1	0.0010 1	0.0012 2	0.00140 20	0.001 ^e	0.00106 6
57.15	0.00373 11	0.0039 1	0.0038 4	0.00433 25	0.00420 38	0.0006	0.00382 11
86.50	0.1220 12	0.1244 33	0.126 ^b	0.123 ^c	0.126 ^d	0.13 ^f	0.123 2
88.04	0.00138 3	0.0014 1	0.0012 1	0.0014 4	0.00160 20	—	0.00138 3
117.68	0.00175 2	0.00168 5	0.00151 15	0.00180 12	0.00170 20	—	0.00173 3
131.04	0.00086 1	—	0.00081 8	0.0010 1	0.00089 9	0.001	0.00086 2
134.23	0.00071 1	—	0.00063 6	0.00081 16	0.00071 8	0.001	0.00071 2
143.21	0.00430 4	0.00434 10	0.0041 4	0.00462 28	0.00420 40	0.004	0.00432 8
151.37	0.00236 2	0.00232 6	0.00227 23	0.00249 16	0.00249 30	0.001	0.00234 4
155.22	0.000917 11	—	0.00087 9	0.00097 7	0.00097 9	—	0.00092 2
169.17	0.000711 7	—	0.00074 7	0.00082 9	0.00076 8	—	0.00071 1
195.09	0.00184 2	0.00188 5	0.0016 2	0.00169 21	0.00210 20	0.001	0.00185 2
212.42	0.00150 2	0.00155 5	0.00160 16	0.00166 11	0.00159 20	0.001	0.00151 2
238.0	0.000586 12	—	0.00063 7	0.00075 9	0.00068 6	0.0005 ^g	0.00059 1

Notes to Table C (cont'd overleaf)

^a Nominal values only.

^{237}Np

- ^b Normalization adopted by the authors of Ref. [5].
- ^c Only relative values are reported in Ref. [6]. Normalization of these data has been accomplished by requiring that $P_\gamma(86.50) = 0.123$, the value being recommended in this evaluation. The uncertainty in this normalization is not included in the listed uncertainties.
- ^d P_γ value determined by Browne and Asaro, from alpha/gamma coincidence measurements, as reported by private communication (1972) to Skalsey and Connor (see Ref. [6]).
- ^e Includes contribution from three gamma ray peaks.
- ^f Includes contribution from two peaks.
- ^g Sum of the intensities of two listed gamma ray peaks.
- ^h These recommended values have been derived from a $1/\sigma^2$ weighted average of the data from Refs [2] and [4] only. In including the values of Ref. [2], however, we have prior to the weighting modified the quoted uncertainties for the gamma rays below ~ 0.16 MeV so that the minimum uncertainty is in no case less than 2%. Since a common base of radionuclide decay data was used to determine the detector efficiencies in Refs. [2] and [4], the resultant P_γ values may not be completely independent. Consequently, the uncertainty in the weighted average of these two data sets is never quoted as being smaller than that of the most precise measurement.

REFERENCES

^{237}Np

- [1] BRAUER, F.P., STROMATT, R.W., LUDWICK, J.D., ROBERTS, F.P., LYON, W.L., J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. **12** (1960) 234.
- [2] BANHAM, M.F., AERE, Harwell, private communication, 1984.
- [3] BANHAM, M.F., FUDGE, A.J., J. Radioanal. Chem. **64** (1981) 167.
- [4] VANINBROUKX, R., BORTELS, G., DENECKE, B., Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot. **35** (1984) 905.
- [5] GONZÁLEZ, L., GAETA, R., VAÑO, E., Los ARCOS, J.M., Nucl. Phys. A**324** (1979) 126.
- [6] SKALSEY, M., CONNOR, R.D., Can. J. Phys. **54** (1976) 1409.
- [7] BROWN, E., ASARO, F., private communication, 1969, as given in Nucl. Data Sheets **23** (1978) 71.
- [8] VARA, J.M., GAETA, R., Nucl. Phys. A**130** (1969) 586.

I. HALF-LIFE

^{239}Np

Recommended value: 56.52 ± 0.10 h

This value was adopted from the Nuclear Data Sheets [1].

II. EMISSION PROBABILITIES OF SELECTED GAMMA RAYS

Evaluated by R. Vaninbroukx (CBNM, Geel, Belgium).

A. Recommended values

E_γ (keV)	P_γ
61.46	0.0129 ± 0.0002
106.12	0.272 ± 0.004
181.71	0.00081 ± 0.00004
209.75	0.0342 ± 0.0005
226.42	0.0028 ± 0.0002
228.18	0.1127 ± 0.0018
254.41	0.0011 ± 0.0001
272.84	0.00077 ± 0.00004
277.60	0.1438 ± 0.0021
285.46	0.0079 ± 0.0002
315.88	0.0160 ± 0.0003
334.31	0.0207 ± 0.0003

B. CRP measurements ^{239}Np

E_γ (keV)	Vaninbroukx et al. (1984) [2]
61.46	0.0129 ± 0.0002
106.12	0.2750 ± 0.0040
181.71	0.0007 ± 0.0001
209.75	0.0346 ± 0.0005
226.42	0.0028 ± 0.0002
228.18	0.1121 ± 0.0018
254.41	0.0012 ± 0.0001
272.84	0.0008 ± 0.0001
277.60	0.1438 ± 0.0021
285.46	0.0077 ± 0.0002
315.88	0.0160 ± 0.0003
334.31	0.0208 ± 0.0003

C. Comparison with other measurements

E_γ (keV)	CRP measurements		Other measurements					Evaluated values ^a
	Vaninbroukx et al. (1984) [2]	Ahmad and Wahlgren (1972) [3]	Yurova et al. (1974) [4]	Starozhukov et al. (1977) [5]	Mozhaev et al. (1979) [6]	Ahmad (1982) [7]		
61.46	0.0129 2					0.0129 6	0.0129 2	
106.12	0.2750 40	0.278 9		0.266 10		0.264 8	0.272 2	
181.71	0.0007 1	0.00075 8				0.00083 4	0.000814	
209.75	0.0346 5	0.0342 10		0.0336 14		0.0330 10	0.0342 5	
226.42	0.0028 2			0.0024 3		0.00290 16	0.0028 2	
228.18	0.1121 18	0.114 3		0.1178 44		0.112 3	0.1127 18	
254.41	0.0012 1	0.0011 1				0.00110 6	0.0011 1	
272.84	0.0008 1	0.0008 1				0.00077 4	0.00077 4	
277.60	0.1438 21	0.145 4	0.141 4	0.150 5	0.1430 24	0.145 4	0.1438 21	
285.46	0.0077 2	0.0076 2		0.0093 6		0.00790 25	0.0079 2	
315.88	0.0160 3	0.0152 5		0.0163 7		0.0160 5	0.0160 3	
334.31	0.0208 3	0.0195 7		0.021 1		0.0206 6	0.0207 3	

Note to Table C

^a The evaluated values and uncertainties are based on weighted means calculated according to Topping [8].

- [1] SCHMORAK, M.R., Nucl. Data Sheets **40** (1983) 1.
- [2] VANINBROUKX, R., BORTELS, G., DENECKE, B., Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot. **35** (1984) 1081.
- [3] AHMAD, I., WAHLGREN, M., Nucl. Instrum. Methods **99** (1972) 333.
- [4] YUROVA, L.N., BUSHUEV, A.V., PETROV, V.I., INIKHOV, A.G., OZERKOV, V.N., CHACHIN, V.V., Sov. At. Energy (Engl. Transl.) **36** (1974) 52.
- [5] STAROZHUKOV, D.I., POPOV, Yu.S., PRIVALOVA, P.A., Sov. At. Energy (Engl. Transl.) **42** (1977) 355.
- [6] MOZHAEV, V.K., DULIN, V.A., KAZANSKII, Yu.A., Sov. At. Energy (Engl. Transl.) **47** (1979) 566.
- [7] AHMAD, I., Nucl. Instrum. Methods **193** (1982) 9.
- [8] TOPPING, J., Errors of Observation and their Treatment, 3rd edn, Chapman and Hall, London (1963) 87–93.

I. HALF-LIFE

^{238}Pu

Recommended value: 87.7 ± 0.3 a

This value was adopted from the 1984 review by N.E. Holden [1].

II. EMISSION PROBABILITIES OF SELECTED GAMMA RAYS

Evaluatd by F. Lagoutine (LMRI, Saclay, France).

A. Recommended values

E_γ (keV)	P_γ
43.498 ± 0.001	$(3.95 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-4}$
99.853 ± 0.003	$(7.35 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-5}$
152.720 ± 0.002	$(9.37 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-6}$

B. CRP measurements

E_γ (keV)	Bortels et al. (1984) [3]	Helmer and Reich (1984) [2]
43.498 1	$3.96 \ 10 \times 10^{-4}$	$3.82 \ 8 \times 10^{-4}$
99.853 3	$7.30 \ 11 \times 10^{-5}$	$7.43 \ 8 \times 10^{-5}$
152.720 2	$9.28 \ 14 \times 10^{-6}$	$9.36 \ 10 \times 10^{-6}$

C. Comparison with other measurements

E_γ (keV)	CRP measurements		Other measurements				
	Bortels et al. (1984) [3]	Helmer and Reich (1984) [2]	Gunnink et al. (1976) [4] ^a	Ovechkin et al. (1984) [5]	Umezava et al. (1976) [6]	Evaluated values ^b	Calculated values ^c
43.498	$3.96\ 10 \times 10^{-4}$	$3.82\ 8 \times 10^{-4}$	$3.93\ 8 \times 10^{-4}$		$4.11\ 8 \times 10^{-4}$	$3.95\ 8 \times 10^{-4}$	3.98×10^{-4}
99.853	$7.30\ 11 \times 10^{-5}$	$7.43\ 8 \times 10^{-5}$	$7.24\ 14 \times 10^{-5}$	$6.31\ 38 \times 10^{-5}$		$7.35\ 8 \times 10^{-5}$	7.48×10^{-5}
152.720	$9.28\ 14 \times 10^{-6}$	$9.36\ 10 \times 10^{-6}$	$9.56\ 20 \times 10^{-6}$	$8.57\ 40 \times 10^{-6}$		$9.37\ 10 \times 10^{-6}$	9.42×10^{-6}

Notes to Table C

^a Uncertainties include a 2% efficiency uncertainty.

^b The evaluated P_γ values represent the weighted mean of the data in Refs [2–4] and [6](i.e. those with the smallest quoted uncertainties).

^c The calculated P_γ values are obtained from the P_α values reported in this evaluation and the ICC values calculated from Rösel's table.

Calculated P_{γ} values

^{238}Pu

E_{γ} (keV)	Multipolarity	Transition probability	Total ICC [7]	P_{γ}
43.498	E2	0.291 1	731 15	$3.98 \cdot 10^{-4}$
99.853	E2	0.00110 3	13.7 3	$7.48 \cdot 10^{-5}$
152.720	E2	3.01×10^{-5}	2.185 40	$9.42 \cdot 10^{-6}$

III. SELECTED ALPHA EMISSION PROBABILITIES

Evaluating by F. Lagoutine (LMRI, Saclay, France).

A. Recommended values

E_{α} (keV)	P_{α}
5208.0	$(3.0 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-5}$
5358.1	0.00102 ± 0.00002
5456.3	0.2884 ± 0.0006
5499.1	0.7104 ± 0.0006

B. CRP measurements

E_{α} (keV)	Bortels et al. (1984) [3]	Ahmad (1984) ^a [8]
5208.0	3.01×10^{-5}	
5358.1	0.00105 5	0.00106 3
5456.3	0.2898 10	0.290 1
5499.1	0.7091 10	0.709 1

Note to Table B

^a Work performed in response to requests from the CRP.

^{238}Pu

C. Comparison with other measurements

E_α (keV)	CRP measurements		Other measurements				Evaluated values ^a
	Bortels et al. (1984) [3]	Ahmad (1984) [8]	Burns et al. (1984) [9]	Kondrat'ev et al. (1957) [10]	Soàres et al. (1971) [11]	Baranov et al. (1970) [12]	
5208.1	3.01×10^{-5}						3.01×10^{-5}
5358.1	0.00105 5	0.00106 3	0.001002 17	0.0013 1		0.00068	0.00102 2
5456.3	0.2898 10	0.290 1	0.2878 4	0.287 12	0.0293 2	0.278	0.2884 6
5499.1	0.7091 10	0.709 1	0.7111 4	0.711 12	0.707 2	0.722	0.7104 6

Note to Table C

^a The evaluated P_α values have been derived from the weighted mean of the data in Refs [3, 8-11].

REFERENCES

- [1] HOLDEN, N.E., Total and Spontaneous Fission Half-Lives of the Uranium and Plutonium Nuclides, Brookhaven Natl. Lab., Upton, NY, Rep. BNL-NCS-35514-R (1984).
- [2] HELMER, R.G., REICH, C.W., Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot. 35 (1984) 1067.
- [3] BORTELS, G., DENECKE, B., VANINBROUKX, R., Nucl. Instrum. Methods 233 (1984) 389.
- [4] GUNNINK, R., EVANS, J.E., PRINDLE, A.L., Re-evaluation of the Gamma Ray Energies and Absolute Branching Intensities of ^{237}U , 238 , 239 , 240 , ^{242}Pu and ^{241}Am , Univ. of California, Rep. UCRL-52139 (1976).
- [5] OVECHKIN, V.V., TCHESALIN, V.N., CHKABURA, I.A., Yadernye Konstanty (1984) 39.
- [6] UMEZAWA, U., SUSUKI, T., ICHIKAWA, I., J. Nucl. Sci. Technol. 13 (1976) 327.
- [7] RÖSEL, F., FRIES, H.M., ALDER, K., PAULI, H.C., At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 21 (1978) 91.
- [8] AHMAD, I., Nucl. Instrum. Methods 223 (1984) 319.
- [9] BURNS, P.A., JOHNSTON, P.N., MORONEY, J.R., ARL, private communication, 1984.
- [10] KONDRAT'EV, L.N., NOVIKOVA, G.I., DEDOV, V.B., GOLDIN, L.L., Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Fiz. 21 (1957) 907; Colombia Tech. Transl. 21 (1958) 909.
- [11] SOARES, J.C., RIBEIRO, J.P., GONÇALVES, A., GIL, F.B., FERREIRA, J.G., C.R. Acad. Sci. (Paris) 273B (1971) 985.
- [12] BARANOV, S.A., KULAKOV, V.M., SHATINSKII, V.M., GLADKIKH, Z.S., Yad. Fiz. 12 (1970) 1105; Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. (Engl. Transl.) 12 (1971) 604.

I. HALF-LIFE

^{239}Pu

1. Total half-life

Recommended value: $(2.411 \pm 0.003) \times 10^4$ a

Evaluated by R. Vaninbroukx (CBNM, Geel, Belgium) [1].

The number of significant digits was reduced to four.

2. Spontaneous fission half-life

Recommended value: 5.5×10^{15} a

Based on a single measurement reported in 1952 [2].

II. EMISSION PROBABILITIES OF SELECTED GAMMA RAYS

Evaluated by H. Okashita (JAERI, Tokai Mura, Japan)

A. Recommended values

E_γ (keV) ^a	Emission probability (P_γ) (photons/ 10^6 decays)
38.660 ± 0.002	105 ± 2
40.41 ± 0.05 ^b	1.62 ± 0.17
42.06 ± 0.03 ^b	1.65 ± 0.06
46.218 ± 0.010	7.37 ± 0.75
46.69 ^b	0.58 ± 0.04
51.624 ± 0.001	271 ± 5
56.825 ± 0.003	11.30 ± 0.25
123.62 ± 0.05 ^b	0.197 ± 0.021
124.51 ± 0.03 ^b	0.613 ± 0.022
125.21 ± 0.10 ^b	0.711 ± 0.020
129.296 ± 0.001	63.1 ± 0.6
141.657 ± 0.020 ^b	0.320 ± 0.0009
144.201 ± 0.003 ^f	3.035 ± 0.037 ^d
146.094 ± 0.006 ^b	1.190 ± 0.025

E_γ (keV) ^a	Emission probability (P_γ) (photons/ 10^6 decays)
161.482 ± 0.012	1.23 ± 0.02
171.393 ± 0.006	1.10 ± 0.02
179.220 ± 0.012	0.66 ± 0.01
188.23 ± 0.10 ^b	0.109 ± 0.011
189.360 ± 0.010	0.83 ± 0.01
195.679 ± 0.008	1.07 ± 0.01
203.550 ± 0.005	5.69 ± 0.03
255.384 ± 0.015	0.80 ± 0.01
297.46 ± 0.03	0.498 ± 0.008
311.78 ± 0.04	0.258 ± 0.007
332.845 ± 0.005	4.94 ± 0.03
341.502 ± 0.019	0.662 ± 0.014
345.013 ± 0.004	5.56 ± 0.05
375.054 ± 0.003	15.54 ± 0.09
380.191 ± 0.006	3.05 ± 0.06
382.698 ± 0.016	2.59 ± 0.05
392.914 ± 0.014 ^e	5.53 ± 0.12 ^e
413.713 ± 0.005	14.66 ± 0.11
422.598 ± 0.019	1.22 ± 0.02
445.81 ± 0.10	0.088 ± 0.006
451.481 ± 0.010	1.894 ± 0.016
481.78 ± 0.12	0.046 ± 0.002
639.99 ± 0.10	0.087 ± 0.002
645.98 ± 0.03	0.152 ± 0.003
651.79 ± 0.10	0.066 ± 0.002
658.63 ± 0.15	0.097 ± 0.002
718.0 ± 0.5	0.028 ± 0.002
769.19 ± 0.04 ^c	0.119 ± 0.002

Notes to Table A

^a Energy values from Ref. [3], except for those values marked by superscripts b and c.

^b Values reported in Ref. [7].

^c Values reported in Ref. [4].

^d This peak is a doublet according to Ref. [5].

^e This peak is a doublet according to Ref. [7].

^f The P_γ values at $E_\gamma = 143.35$ keV and $E_\gamma = 144.201$ keV of Ref. [5] are combined.

B. CRP measurements^b

²³⁹Pu

Recent measurements of the emission probabilities of the gamma ray transitions from ²³⁹Pu decay other than CRP-based ones are those carried out by Gunnink [5]. The data used for evaluation are given in II. C.

E_{γ} (keV) ^a	Helmer et al. (1982) [3]	Despres (1980) [4]	Iwara et al. (1984) [6]
129.296 1 ^c	64.1 5	62.3 4	64.8 10
143.350			
144.201 3 ^c	3.07 6 ^e	3.24 15	3.08 8
146.077			
161.482 21 ^c	1.25 3 ^e	1.25 7	1.24 6
171.396 6 ^c	1.11 3 ^e	1.05 6	1.10 6
179.220 12 ^c	0.665 20 ^e	0.65 5	0.65 3
189.360 10 ^c	0.831 15 ^e	0.830 ^f	0.827 19
195.679 8 ^c	1.07 2	1.02 7	1.088 25
203.550 5 ^c	5.68 4	5.57 26	5.80 9
255.384 15 ^c	0.812 20 ^e	0.79 6	0.794 18
297.46 3 ^c	0.498 13 ^e	0.48 5	0.496 13
332.845 3 ^c	4.92 4	4.76 20	4.95 7
345.013 4 ^c	5.54 10 ^e	5.45 19	5.58 7
375.054 3 ^c	15.47 12	15.5 5	15.65 18
413.713 5 ^c	14.55 9	14.8 5	15.00 18
422.598 19 ^c	1.200 25 ^e	1.19 9	1.254 18
445.81 10 ^c	0.115 13 ^e	0.0908	0.085 7
451.481 10 ^c	1.892 22 ^e	1.92 12	1.899 28
481.78 12 ^c	0.071 6 ^e	0.0477 ^g	0.0448 29
639.99 10	0.103 13 ^e	0.0867 ^g	0.092 3
645.98 3 ^c	0.134 13 ^e	0.155 ^g	0.153 4
651.79 10 ^c	0.079 10 ^e	0.0657 ^g	0.066 3
658.63 15 ^c	0.079 9 ^e	0.0991 ^g	0.097 3
718.0 5 ^c	--	0.0296 ^g	0.0274 23
769.19 4 ^d	--	0.125 ^g	0.121 3

C. Comparison with other measurements

²³⁹Pu

E_{γ} (keV) ^a	CRP measurements ^b			Other measurements ^b	
	Helmer et al. (1982) [3]	Despres (1980) [4]	Iwara et al. (1984) [6]	Gunnink et al. (1976) [5] ⁱ	Recommended values ^j
38.664				105 11	105 11
40.41				1.62 17	1.62 17
42.06				1.65 6	1.65 6
46.21				7.37 75	7.37 75
46.69				0.58 4	0.58 4
51.624 1 ^c	271 5			270 5	271 5
56.838				11.30 25	11.30 25
123.62				0.197 21	0.197 21
124.51				0.613 22	0.613 22
125.21				0.711 20	0.711 20
129.296 1 ^c	64.1 5	62.3 4	64.8 10	62.6 13	63.1 6
141.657				0.320 9	0.320 9
143.350	144.201 3 ^c			0.173 8	3.035 37 ^g
		3.07 6 ^e	3.24 15	2.83 6	
146.077				1.190 25	1.190 25
161.482 21 ^c	1.25 3 ^e	1.25 7	1.24 6	1.20 3	1.23 2
171.396 6 ^c	1.11 3 ^e	1.05 6	1.10 6	1.105 24	1.10 2
179.220 12 ^c	0.665 20 ^e	0.65 5	0.65 3	0.658 15	0.66 1

²³⁹Pu

188.23				0.109 11	0.109 11
189.360 10 ^c	0.831 15 ^e	0.830 ^f	0.827 19	0.830 23	0.83 1
195.679 8 ^c	1.07 2	1.02 7	1.088 25	1.064 22	1.07 1
203.550 5 ^c	5.68 4	5.57 26	5.80 9	5.60 11	5.69 3
255.384 15 ^c	0.812 20 ^e	0.79 6	0.794 18	0.805 23	0.80 1
297.46 3 ^c	0.498 13 ^c	0.48 5	0.496 13	0.502 14	0.498 8
311.74				0.258 7	0.258 7
332.845 3 ^c	4.92 4	4.76 20	4.95 7	5.06 10	4.94 3
341.510				0.662 14	0.662 14
345.013 4 ^c	5.54 10 ^e	5.45 19	5.58 7	5.59 11	5.56 5
375.054 3 ^c	15.47 12	15.5 5	15.65 18	15.70 31	15.54 9
380.166				3.05 6	3.05 6
382.751				2.59 5	2.59 5
392.53 ^h 393.14				5.527 12	5.53 12
413.713 5 ^c	14.55 9	14.8 5	15.00 18	14.89 30	14.66 11
422.598 19 ^c	1.200 25 ^e	1.19 9	1.254 18	1.193 24	1.22 2
445.81 10 ^c	0.115 13 ^e	0.0908	0.085 7	0.0870 25	0.088 6
451.481 10 ^c	1.892 22 ^e	1.92 12	1.899 28	1.89 4	1.894 16
481.78 12 ^c	0.071 6 ^e	0.0477 ^g	0.0448 29	0.0460 10	0.046 2
639.99 10	0.103 13 ^e	0.0867 ^g	0.092 3	0.0820 17	0.087 2

E_γ (keV) ^a	CRP measurements ^b			Other measurements ^b	
	Helmer et al. (1982) [3]	Despres (1980) [4]	Iwara et al. (1984) [6]	Gunnink et al. (1976) [5] ⁱ	Recommended values ^j
645.98 3 ^c	0.134 13 ^e	0.155 ^g	0.153 4	0.1489 30	0.152 3
651.79 10 ^c	0.079 10 ^e	0.0657 ^g	0.066 3	0.0655 14	0.066 2
658.63 15 ^c	0.079 9 ^e	0.0991 ^g	0.097 3	0.0969 21	0.097 2
718.0 5 ^c	—	0.0296 ^g	0.0274 23	0.0274 6	0.028 2
769.19 4 ^d	—	0.125 ^g	0.121 3	0.1120 23	0.119 2

Notes to Tables B and C

^a Values reported in Ref. [5] except for those marked by superscripts c and d.

^b All P_γ values are expressed in terms of photons per 10 decays.

^c Values reported in Ref. [3].

^d Value reported in Ref. [4].

^e Evaluated from the reported relative emission data.

^f Uncertainties are not reported but estimated to be equal to the highest uncertainty in the set.

^g The P_γ values at $E_\gamma = 143.35$ keV and $E_\gamma = 144.201$ keV of Ref. [5] are combined.

^h Average value of combined peaks taken as 392.914 ± 0.014 .

ⁱ Uncertainty in detector efficiency (2%) was added to the uncertainties listed.

^j Weighted mean method is applied to the sets of data recognized as one population and arithmetic mean method to the other sets after rejection of outlier.

REFERENCES

^{239}Pu

- [1] VANINBROUKX, R., "Half-life of ^{239}Pu : Present status", Summary Report: Second Co-ordinated Research Meeting on the Measurement and Evaluation of Transactinium Isotope Nuclear Data, Aix-en-Provence, 1979, IAEA, Rep. INDC(NDS)-105/N (1979).
- [2] SEGRE, E., Phys. Rev., **86** (1952) 21.
- [3] HELMER, R.G., REICH, C.W., GEHRKE, R.J., BAKER, J.D., Int. J. Radiat. Isot. **33** (1982) 23.
- [4] DESPRES, M., Determination of Plutonium Isotopic Ratios and Total Concentration by Gamma Ray Spectrometry, CEA, Centre d'études nucléaires de Saclay, Rep. CEA-R-5065 (1980).
- [5] GUNNINK, R., EVANS, J.E., PRINDLE, A.L., Re-evaluation of the Gamma Ray Energies and Absolute Branching Intensities of ^{237}U and $^{238,239,240,241}\text{Pu}$ and ^{241}Am , Univ. of California, Livermore, CA, Rep. UCRL-52139 (1976).
- [6] IWATA, Y., YOSHIZAWA, Y., SUZUKI, T., ICHIKAWA, S., OKAZAKI, S., Int. J. Radiat. Isot. **35** (1984) 1.
- [7] SCHMORAK, M.R., Nucl. Data Sheets **40** (1983) 118.
- [8] BARANOV, S.A., SHATINSKII, V.M., Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. (Engl. Transl.) **22** (1975) 346.
- [9] RYTZ, A., Atomic Masses and Fundamental Constants, Vol. 6, Plenum, New York (1980) 249.
- [10] AHMAD, I., Nucl. Instrum. Methods **223** (1984) 319.

I. HALF-LIFE

^{240}Pu

Recommended value: 6563 ± 7 a

Evaluated by R. Vaninbroukx (CBNM, Geel, Belgium) and N. Coursol (LMRI, Saclay, France).

Measured values

Value in years	Reference
6500 ± 45 ^a	Inghram et al. (1951) [1]
6600 ± 100	Butler et al. (1956) [2]
6620 ± 50	Dokuchaev (1959) [3]
6537 ± 15 ^b	Oetting (1968) [4]
6569 ± 7 ^c	Jaffey et al. (1978) [5]
6574 ± 7 ^{c,d}	Beckman et al. (1984) [6]
6571 ± 9 ^d	Steinkruger et al. (1984) [7]
6552.2 ± 6.6 ^{c,e}	Lucas and Noyce (1984) [8]
6552.4 ± 6.6 ^c	Rudy et al. (1984) [9]
6563 ± 5	Weighted mean and standard error ^f

Since a minimum uncertainty of 0.1% is assumed for all long lived nuclides^c the recommended value is (6563 ± 7) a.

Notes to Table

- ^a The value has been recalculated on the basis of a ^{239}Pu half-life of 2.411×10^4 a.
- ^b The quoted uncertainty has been increased by 5 a since apparently no measurements were made to demonstrate the absence of ^{238}Pu . The published value of 6524 a has been recalculated to 6537 a by Schmorak [10].
- ^c According to one of the criteria adopted by the members of the CRP, a minimum uncertainty of 0.1% on the half-life of long lived nuclides has been attributed to all measured values [11].
- ^d The quoted uncertainties, corresponding to a 95% confidence level, have been reduced by a factor of 2 in order to be comparable with the other quoted uncertainties.
- ^e The quoted systematic uncertainty limit of 13.8 a is the linear sum of the estimated uncertainty limits. According to the criteria adopted by the CRP and as suggested by Grinberg et al. [12] for a 68% confidence level this value should be divided by 3.
- ^f The weighted mean and its standard error has been calculated according to Topping [13]. The external error obtained for nine values, if they would be completely independent, is 4 a and the internal standard error 3 a. However, since all recent values from the USA are based on the same material, they are not completely independent. Hence a standard error of 5 a is assumed, being the 4 a (obtained external standard error) plus 1 a due to the uncertainty on the US sample mass determination, being 0.03% on the 95% confidence level [14] or 0.015% on a 68% confidence level.

II. EMISSION PROBABILITIES OF SELECTED GAMMA RAYS

^{240}Pu

Evaluatd by N. Coursol (LMRI, Saclay, France).

A. Recommended values

E_γ (keV)	P_γ per ^{240}Pu decay
45.244	0.000447 ± 0.000007
104.234	0.0000714 ± 0.0000006
160.308	$0.00000402 \pm 0.00000004$

B. CRP measurements

E_γ (keV) ^a	P_γ per ^{240}Pu decay	
	Helmer and Reich (1981) [15]	Morel et al. (1981) [16]
45.244 ± 0.002	0.000435 9	
104.234 ± 0.006	0.0000718 7	
160.308 ± 0.003	0.00000402 4	0.00000402 7

Note to Table B

^a E_γ values from Ref. [1].

^{240}Pu

C. Comparison with other measurements

E_γ (keV)	CRP measurements		Other measurements			Evaluated values
	Helmer and Reich (1981) [15]	Morel et al. (1981) [16]	Umezawa et al. (1967) [17] ^a	Ottmar et al. (1974) [18] ^b	Gunnink et al. (1976) [19] ^c	
45.244	0.000435 9		0.000461 14		0.000453 9	0.000447 7
104.234	0.0000718 7				0.0000698 14	0.0000714 6
160.308	0.00000402 4	0.00000402 7		0.00000408 10	0.00000402 8	0.00000402 4

Notes to Table C

- ^a The quoted uncertainty has been recalculated on the basis of a 2% detector efficiency uncertainty and 1% from the sample isotopic composition.
^b The P_γ value has been calculated from the measured relative intensity using P_γ values from Helmer.
^c The quoted uncertainties have been recalculated to include a 2% detector efficiency uncertainty.

III. SELECTED ALPHA EMISSION PROBABILITIES

^{240}Pu

Evaluuated by N. Coursol (LMRI, Saclay, France).

A. Recommended values

E_α (keV)	P_α per ^{240}Pu decay
4863.6	$(1.13 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-5}$
5021.5	0.00089 ± 0.00002
5123.62	0.270 ± 0.005
5168.30	0.729 ± 0.005

B. CRP measurements

E_α (keV)	Ahmad (1984) [20]
5021.5	0.00090 5
5123.62	0.271 1
5168.30	0.728 1

C. Comparison with other measurements

E_α (keV)	CRP measurements		Other measurements			Evaluated values ^a	Calculated values ^b
	Ahmad (1984) [20]	Kondrat'ev et al. (1957) [21]	Schmorak et al. (1972) [22]	Baranov and Shatinskii (1977) [23]			
4863.6	—	—	0.000012 3	0.00001	0.000012 3	0.0000113 3	
5021.5	0.00090 5	0.00096 5	0.00096 5	0.00096 5	0.00094 3	0.00087 3	
5123.62	0.271 1 ^a	(24.4)	(24)	0.2639 21	0.270 3 ^a	0.270 5	
5168.30	0.728 1 ^a	(75.5)		0.7351 36	0.729 3 ^a	0.729 5	

Notes to Table C

^a For consistency, the quoted uncertainties have been occasionally increased for the calculation of the weights.

^b These values were calculated from the recommended P_γ values and the theoretical total internal conversion coefficients (ICC), obtained by cubic spline interpolation of the values tabulated by Rösel et al. [24]. Details are given in the following table. These calculated values are also considered for the calculation of the recommended values of P_α given in Table A.

Table of ICC for Z = 92, interpolated by cubic spline from tables of Rösel et al. [24].

E_γ (keV)	Multipolarity	Total ICC [10]
45.244	E2	603 12
104.234	E2	11.23 24
160.308	E2	1.80 4

REFERENCES

- [1] INGHRAM, M.G., HESS, D.C., FIELDS, P.R., PYLE, G.L., Phys. Rev. **83** (1951) 1250.
- [2] BUTLER, J.P., EASTWOOD, T.A., COLLINS, T.L., JONES, M.E., ROURKE, F.M., SCHUMAN, R.P., Phys. Rev. **103** (1956) 634.
- [3] DOKUCHAEV, Ya.P., At. Ehnerg. **6** (1959) 74.
- [4] OETTING, F.L., "Recent calorimetric determinations of the specific powers and half-lives for certain long-lived alpha-emitting radionuclides", Thermodynamics of Nuclear Materials, 1967 (Proc. Symp. Vienna, 1967), IAEA, Vienna (1968) 55.
- [5] JAFFEY, A.H., DIAMOND, H., BENTLEY, W.C., GRACZYK, D.G., FLYNN, K.F., Phys. Rev. C **18** (1978) 969.
- [6] BECKMAN, R.J., MARSH, S.F., ABERNATHEY, R.M., REIN, J.E., Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot. **35** (1984) 163.
- [7] STEINKRUGER, F.J., MATLACK, G.M., BECKMAN, R.J., Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot. **35** (1984) 171.
- [8] LUCAS, L.R., NOYCE, J.R., Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot. **35** (1984) 173.
- [9] RUDY, C.R., JORDAN, K.C., TSUGAWA, R., Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot. **35** (1984) 177.
- [10] SCHMORAK, M.R., Nucl. Data Sheets **B6** (1971) 661.
- [11] LORENZ, A., (Ed.), Proposed Recommended List of Heavy Element Radionuclide Decay Data, IAEA Rep. INDC(NDS)-149/NE, IAEA, Vienna (1983).
- [12] GRINBERG, B., BRETHON, J.P., LAGOUTINE, F., Le GALIC, Y., LEGRAND, J., WAPSTRA, A.H., WEISS, H.M., BAMBYNEK, W., De ROOST, E., HANSEN, H.H., SPERNOL, A., At. Energy Rev. **11** (1973) 515.
- [13] TOPPING, J., Errors of Observation and their Treatment, 3rd edn, Chapman and Hall, London (1963) 87–93.
- [14] REIN, J.E., WATERBURY, G.R., Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot. **35** (1984) 159.
- [15] HELMER, R.G., REICH, C.W., Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot. **32** (1981) 829.

- [16] MOREL, M., et al., LMRI, Saclay, private communication, 1981.
- [17] UMEZAWA, M., SUZUKI, T., ICHIKAWA, S., J. Nucl. Sci. Technol. **13** (1967) 327.
- [18] OTTMAR, M., MATUSSEK, P., PIPER, I., paper presented at Int. Symp. on Neutron Capture Gamma-Ray Spectr., Petten, Netherlands, 1974.
- [19] GUNNINK, R., EVANS, J.E., PRINDLE, A.L., Re-evaluation of the Gamma-Ray Energies and Absolute Branching Intensities of ^{237}U , 238 , 239 , 240 , ^{241}Pu and ^{241}Am , Univ. of California, Rep. UCRL-52139 (1976).
- [20] AHMAD, I., Nucl. Instrum. Methods **233** (1984) 319.
- [21] KONDRAT'EV, L.N., NOVIKOV, G.I., SOBOLEV, Yu.P., GOLDIN, L.L., Sov. Phys. – JETP (Engl. Transl.) **4** 5 (1957) 645.
- [22] SCHMORAK, M.R., BENIS, C.E., Jr., ZENDER, M.J., GOVE, N.B., DITTLER, P.F., Nucl. Phys. **A178** (1972) 410.
- [23] BARANOV, S.A., SHATINSKII, V.M., Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **26** 3 (1977) 244.
- [24] RÖSEL, F., FRIES, H.M., ALDER, K., PAULI, M.C., At. Data Nucl. Data Tables **21** (1978) 91.

I. HALF-LIFE

^{241}Pu

Recommended value: 14.4 ± 0.1 a

This value was adopted from the 1984 review by N.E. Holden [1].

II. EMISSION PROBABILITIES OF SELECTED GAMMA RAYS

Evaluuated by F. Lagoutine (LMRI, Saclay, France).

A. Recommended values

E_γ (keV)	P_γ
59.54 ^a	$(8.29 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-6}$
64.83 ^a	$(0.315 \pm 0.003) \times 10^{-6}$
77.10	$(0.207 \pm 0.004) \times 10^{-6}$
103.68	$(1.02 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-6}$
148.57	$(1.859 \pm 0.016) \times 10^{-6}$
160.00	$(0.0658 \pm 0.0009) \times 10^{-6}$
164.61 ^a	$(0.454 \pm 0.004) \times 10^{-6}$
208.00 ^a	$(5.20 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-6}$
267.54 ^a	$(0.175 \pm 0.002) \times 10^{-6}$
332.36 ^a	$(0.292 \pm 0.003) \times 10^{-6}$
335.38 ^a	$(0.0235 \pm 0.0005) \times 10^{-6}$
368.59 ^a	$(0.0099 \pm 0.0004) \times 10^{-6}$
370.94 ^a	$(0.0264 \pm 0.0004) \times 10^{-6}$

Note to Table A

^a Gamma transition for the decay from the daughter ^{237}U in equilibrium with ^{241}Pu .

B. CRP measurements

^{241}Pu

E_γ (keV)	Helmer and Reich (1985) [2]	Willmes et al. (1985) [3]	Banham (1984) [4] ^a
51.00			$0.108\ 12 \times 10^{-6}$
59.54			$8.29\ 5 \times 10^{-6}$
64.83		$0.314\ 3 \times 10^{-6}$	$0.320\ 5 \times 10^{-6}$
77.10	$0.211\ 5 \times 10^{-6}$	$0.203\ 4 \times 10^{-6}$	
103.68	$1.02\ 3 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.032\ 12 \times 10^{-6}$	
148.57	$1.963\ 17 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.855\ 16 \times 10^{-6}$	
160.00	$0.0654\ 9 \times 10^{-6}$	$0.0651\ 14 \times 10^{-6}$	
164.61	$0.457\ 4 \times 10^{-6}$	$0.454\ 4 \times 10^{-6}$	$0.452\ 4 \times 10^{-6}$
208.00	$5.20\ 5 \times 10^{-6}$	$5.20\ 5 \times 10^{-6}$	
267.54	$0.175\ 5 \times 10^{-6}$	$0.1741\ 17 \times 10^{-6}$	$0.176\ 3 \times 10^{-6}$
332.36		$0.294\ 3 \times 10^{-6}$	$0.290\ 3 \times 10^{-6}$
335.38		$0.0233\ 5 \times 10^{-6}$	$0.0223\ 8 \times 10^{-6}$
368.59		$0.0096\ 4 \times 10^{-6}$	$0.0101\ 6 \times 10^{-6}$
370.94		$0.0263\ 4 \times 10^{-6}$	$0.0267\ 8 \times 10^{-6}$

C. Comparison with other measurements

E_{γ} (keV)	CRP measurements			Other measurements			
	Helmer and Reich (1985) [2]	Willmes et al. (1985) [3]	Banham (1984)[4] ^a	Ahmad et al. (1968) [5] ^b	Gunnink et al. (1976) [6] ^c	Umezawa et al. (1976) [7]	Evaluated values ^d
51.00			0.108 12 $\times 10^{-6}$		0.0820 35 $\times 10^{-6}$		
59.54			8.29 5 $\times 10^{-6}$		8.31 20 $\times 10^{-6}$		8.29 5 $\times 10^{-6}$
64.83		0.314 3 $\times 10^{-6}$	0.320 5 $\times 10^{-6}$		0.313 13 $\times 10^{-6}$		0.315 3 $\times 10^{-6}$
77.10	0.211 5 $\times 10^{-6}$	0.203 4 $\times 10^{-6}$		0.176 20 $\times 10^{-6}$	0.222 8 $\times 10^{-6}$		0.207 4 $\times 10^{-6}$
103.68	1.02 3 $\times 10^{-6}$	1.032 12 $\times 10^{-6}$		1.09 20 $\times 10^{-6}$	1.01 3 $\times 10^{-6}$		1.02 2 $\times 10^{-6}$
148.57	1.863 17 $\times 10^{-6}$	1.855 16 $\times 10^{-6}$		2.17 20 $\times 10^{-6}$	1.87 4 $\times 10^{-6}$	1.91 4 $\times 10^{-6}$	1.859 16 $\times 10^{-6}$
160.00	0.0654 9 $\times 10^{-6}$	0.0651 14 $\times 10^{-6}$		0.077 7 $\times 10^{-6}$	0.0674 13 $\times 10^{-6}$		0.0658 9 $\times 10^{-6}$
164.61	0.457 4 $\times 10^{-6}$	0.454 4 $\times 10^{-6}$	0.452 4 $\times 10^{-6}$		0.443 20 $\times 10^{-6}$		0.454 4 $\times 10^{-6}$
208.00	5.20 5 $\times 10^{-6}$	5.20 5 $\times 10^{-6}$			5.23 11 $\times 10^{-6}$		5.20 5 $\times 10^{-6}$
267.54	0.175 5 $\times 10^{-6}$	0.1741 17 $\times 10^{-6}$	0.176 3 $\times 10^{-6}$		0.178 8 $\times 10^{-6}$		0.175 2 $\times 10^{-6}$
332.36		0.294 3 $\times 10^{-6}$	0.290 3 $\times 10^{-6}$		0.292 9 $\times 10^{-6}$		0.292 3 $\times 10^{-6}$
335.38		0.0233 5 $\times 10^{-6}$	0.0223 8 $\times 10^{-6}$		0.0238 6 $\times 10^{-6}$		0.0235 5 $\times 10^{-6}$
368.59		0.0096 4 $\times 10^{-6}$	0.0101 6 $\times 10^{-6}$		0.0103 6 $\times 10^{-6}$		0.0099 4 $\times 10^{-6}$
370.94		0.0263 4 $\times 10^{-6}$	0.0267 8 $\times 10^{-6}$		0.0266 14 $\times 10^{-6}$		0.0264 4 $\times 10^{-6}$

Notes to Tables B and C on page 118.

- ^a The P_γ values have been calculated using the authors' values of the relative gamma ray intensities and $P_\gamma(208) = 5.205 \times 10^{-6}$ which represents the weighted mean of the data in Refs [2] and [3] (see table below).
- ^b The original values of gamma ray emission probabilities have been renormalized using 2.414×10^{-5} for ^{241}Pu alpha branching probability [8] (see table below).
- ^c The P_γ values have been calculated using 2.414×10^{-5} for ^{241}Pu alpha branching probability [8], and the original values of gamma ray emission probabilities associated with the ^{237}U β^- -decay (see table on page 119).
- ^d The evaluated values have been derived from the weighted mean of the data given in II.C.

Relative gamma ray intensities [4]

E_γ	I_γ
51.00	2.07 22
59.536	159.32 60
64.832	6.157 79
164.58	8.689 41
208.00	100.00 31
221.73	0.094 10
234.24	0.105 16
267.54	3.394 29
332.35	5.578 46
335.405	0.429 13
368.605	0.194 11
370.93	0.514 14

Gamma ray emission probabilities [5]

E_γ	Gamma ray per 100 ^{241}Pu alpha decays
76.9	0.73 7
103.5	4.5 5
148.5	9.0 9
160.0	0.32 3

Gamma ray emission probabilities [6]

 ^{241}Pu

E_γ	Gamma ray per ^{237}U β^- -decay*
51.00	0.00340 14
59.54	0.345 8
64.83	0.0130 3
164.58	0.0184 5
208.00	0.217 5
267.54	0.00740 19
332.35	0.0121 3
335.40	0.000970 29
368.60	0.000429 17
370.93	0.001103 38

* Uncertainties include a 2% detector efficiency uncertainty.

III. SELECTED ALPHA EMISSION PROBABILITIES

Evaluatd by F. Lagoutine (LMRI, Saclay, France).

A. Recommended values

E_α (keV)	P_α
4784	$(0.05 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-6}$
4799	$(0.29 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-6}$
4853.5	$(2.92 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-6}$
4896.5	$(2.01 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-5}$
4972	$(0.31 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-6}$
4998	$(0.10 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{-6}$
5042	2.5×10^{-7}
5054	0.84×10^{-7}

B. CRP measurements

^{241}Pu

None.

C. Tabulation of the data considered in the evaluation

E_α (keV)	Baranov et al. (1965) [9] ^a		Ahmad et al. (1968) [5] ^a		Evaluated values ^b
	$\alpha/100$ ^{241}Pu alpha decays	P_α	$\alpha/100$ ^{241}Pu alpha decays	P_α	
4784			0.2 1	0.052×10^{-6}	0.052×10^{-6}
4799			1.2 1	0.293×10^{-6}	0.293×10^{-6}
4853.5			12.1 2	2.925×10^{-4}	2.925×10^{-4}
4896.5			83.2 5	2.012×10^{-3}	2.012×10^{-3}
4972			1.3 1	0.316×10^{-6}	0.316×10^{-6}
4998			0.415	0.101×10^{-6}	0.101×10^{-6}
5042	1.02	2.5×10^{-6}			2.5×10^{-6}
5054	0.35	0.84×10^{-6}			0.84×10^{-6}

Notes to Table C

^a The P_α values have been calculated using 2.41×10^{-5} for the alpha decay branching of ^{241}Pu and the authors' values of α per 100 ^{241}Pu alpha decays.

^b Since only one measurement is reported for each transition, the P_α values obtained are taken as evaluated values.

REFERENCES

- [1] HOLDEN, N.E., Total and Spontaneous Fission Half-Lives of the Uranium and Plutonium Nuclides, Brookhaven Natl. Lab., Upton, NY, Rep. BNL-NCS-35514-R (1984).
- [2] HELMER, R.G., REICH, C.W., Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot. 36 (1985) 117.
- [3] WILLMES, H., ANDO, T., GEHRKE, R.J., Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot. 36 (1985) 123.
- [4] BANHAM, M.F., AERE Harwell, private communication, 1984.
- [5] AHMAD, I., FRIEDMAN, A.M., UNIK, J.P., Nucl. Phys. A119 (1968) 27.
- [6] GUNNINK, R., EVANS, J.E., PRINDLE, A.L., Re-evaluation of the Gamma Ray Energies and Absolute Branching Intensities of ^{237}U , 238 , 239 , 240 , ^{241}Pu and ^{241}Am , Univ. of California, Rep. UCRL-52139 (1976).
- [7] UMEZAWA, H., SUSUKI, T., ICHIKAWA, I., J. Nucl. Sci. Technol. 13 (1976) 327.
- [8] VANINBROUKX, R., JRC/CBNM Status Report 1983, IAEA Rep. INDC(NDS)-147/GE, IAEA, Vienna (1983) Appendix 6.
- [9] BARANOV, S.A., GADZHIEV, M.K., KULAKOV, V.M., MATINSKII, V.M., Yad. Fiz. 1 (1965) 557; Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. (Engl. Transl.) 1 (1965) 397.

I. HALF-LIFE

^{242}Pu

Recommended value: $(3.735 \pm 0.011) \times 10^5$ a

This value was adopted from the 1984 review by N.E. Holden [1].

The uncertainty quoted by the evaluator corresponds to a 2σ confidence level; it has been reduced by a factor of two to correspond to the 1σ level adopted in this report.

II. EMISSION PROBABILITIES OF SELECTED GAMMA RAYS

Evaluated by R. Vaninbroukx (CBNM, Geel, Belgium).

A. Recommended values

E_γ (keV)	P_γ
44.92	$(3.73 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-4}$
103.50	$(2.55 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-5}$
158.80	$(3.0 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-6}$

B. CRP measurements

E_γ (keV)	Vaninbroukx et al. (1984) ^a [2]
44.92	$(3.72 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-4}$
103.50	$(2.63 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-5}$
158.80	$(3.0 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-6}$

C. Comparison with other measurements

^{242}Pu

E_γ (keV)	Emission probabilities $\times 10^3$			
	CRP measurements Vaninbroukx et al. (1984) [2] ^a	Other measurements Schmorak et al. (1972) [3] ^b	Evaluated values ^c	Calculated values ^d
44.92	0.372 7		0.372 7	0.375 8
103.50	0.0263 9	0.081 9	0.0263 9	0.0237 12
158.80	0.0030 2	0.005 2	0.0030 2	

Notes to Tables B and C

^a Only the measured values are given here. The final values adopted are deduced from these measured figures and from values calculated from our measured alpha particle emission probabilities and theoretical total internal conversion coefficients.

^b The relative P_γ values deduced by Ellis and Haese [4] from the authors' unquoted I_γ measurements are normalized to $P_\gamma 45 = (3.73 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-4}$. No information at all is given about the I_γ measurements and therefore the results have not been considered in the evaluation.

^c Since only one measurement is considered, this column is only given for consistency.

^d These values are calculated from the transition probabilities P_{tr} and the theoretical total internal conversion coefficients (ICC), obtained by cubic spline interpolation of the values tabulated by Rösel et al. [5]. Because of the absence of cross-over gamma transitions, P_{tr} is equal to the sum of the P_α values feeding the considered level and all higher levels. The evaluated P_α values from Table III.C have been used for the calculations. The alpha particle emission probability to the 158.8 keV level, although not observed in the alpha particle spectra, has to be added for balance reasons. A value of $(8.6 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-6}$ was adopted from Table III.A. Details are given in the following table. These calculated values are considered too for the calculation of the recommended values of Table II.A. These values are based on weighted means calculated in accordance with Topping [6].

Calculated P_γ values

E_γ (keV)	Multipolarity	Transition probability (P_{tr})	Total ICC [5] (α)	$P_\gamma = P_{tr}/(1 + \alpha) \times 10^3$
44.92	E2	0.2353 17	626 13	0.375 8
103.50	E2	0.000299 14	11.61 23	0.0237 12
158.80	E2		1.86 4	

III. SELECTED ALPHA EMISSION PROBABILITIES

^{242}Pu

Evaluated by R. Vaninbroukx (CBNM, Geel, Belgium).

A. Recommended values

E_α (keV)	P_α
4598.5	$(8.6 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-6}$
4754.6	$(3.07 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-4}$
4856.0	0.2348 ± 0.0017
4900.6	0.7649 ± 0.0017

B. CRP measurements

E_α (keV)	Vaninbroukx et al. (1984) ^a [2]
4598.5	—
4754.6	0.000290 14
4856.0	0.2352 17
4900.6	0.7645 17

^{242}Pu

C. Comparison with other measurements

E_α (keV)	CRP measurements		Other measurements			Evaluated values ^d	Calculated values ^e
	Vaninbroukx et al. (1984) [2] ^a	Asaro (1953) [7] ^b	Hummel (1956) [8] ^b	Baranov et al. (1976) [9] ^c			
4598.5							$(8.6 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-6}$
4756.6	0.000290 14					0.000290 14	0.000323 13
4856.0	0.2352 17	0.20 6	0.26 4	0.202 20	0.2350 17	0.2329 60	
4900.6	0.7645 17	0.80 6	0.74 4	0.797 20	0.7647 17	0.7668 60	

Notes to Tables B and C

- ^a Only the measured values are given here. The final values adopted are deduced from these measured figures and from values calculated from our measured gamma ray emission probabilities and theoretical total internal conversion coefficients.
- ^b No uncertainties are quoted by the authors. The uncertainties adopted here are estimated by the evaluator from the spectra shown in the papers.
- ^c The uncertainties quoted by the authors for the P_α values of 0.202 and 0.797 are 0.011 and 0.027, respectively. Since for consistency both uncertainty figures should be the same, a mean uncertainty value of 0.020 was adopted.
- ^d The evaluated values and uncertainties are based on weighted means calculated in accordance with Topping [6].
- ^e These values are calculated from the gamma ray emission probabilities and the theoretical total ICC (see note d on p. 122). The experimental values of Table II.C are used for P_γ . The calculated emission value $P_\alpha = (1 + \alpha)P_\gamma$ minus the sum P_α to all higher levels. Details are given in the table opposite. These calculated values are also considered for the calculation of the recommended values of Table III.A.

Level in ^{238}U (keV)	Corresponding energies		Total ICC [5] α	Experimental $P_\gamma \times 10^3$	Calculated P_α
	E_α (keV)	E_γ (keV)			
307	4598.5	158.80	1.86 4	0.0030 2	$(8.6 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-6}$
148	4754.6	103.50	11.61 23	0.0263 9	$(3.22 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-4}$
45	4856.0	44.92	626.13	0.372 7	0.2329 ± 0.0060
0	4900.6				0.7668 ± 0.0060^a

Note to Table

^a 1—Sum P_α to higher levels.

REFERENCES

- [1] HOLDEN, N.E., Total and Spontaneous Fission Half-Lives of the Uranium and Plutonium Nuclides, Brookhaven Natl. Lab., Upton, NY, Rep. BNL-NCS-35514-R (1984).
- [2] VANINBROUKX, R., BORTELS, G., DENECKE, B., "Alpha-particle and gamma-ray emission probabilities in the decay of ^{242}Pu ", in VANINBROUKX, R., Status Report 1984 on the JRC-CBNM Participation in the IAEA Co-ordinated Research Programme, presented at the 7th IAEA-CRP on TDN, Vienna, 5–9 November 1984.
- [3] SCHMORAK, M., BEMIS, C.E., Jr., ZENDER, M.J., GOVE, N.B., DITTNER, P.F., Nucl. Phys. A178 (1972) 410.
- [4] ELLIS, Y.A., HAESE, E.L., Nucl. Data Sheets 21 (1977) 615.
- [5] RÖSEL, F., FRIES, H.M., ALDER, K., PAULI, H.C., At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 21 (1978) 91.
- [6] TOPPING, J., Errors of Observation and their Treatment, 3rd edn, Chapman and Hall, London (1963) 87–93.
- [7] ASARO, F., The Complex Alpha Spectra of the Heavy Elements, Thesis, Univ. of California, Livermore, CA, Rep. UCRL-2180 (1953).
- [8] HUMMEL, J.P., PhD Thesis, Univ. of California, Livermore, CA, Rep. UCRL-3456 (1956).
- [9] BARANOV, S.A., ZELENKOV, A.G., KULAKOV, V.M., Sov. At. Energy 41 (1976) 987.

I. HALF-LIFE

^{241}Am

Recommended value: 432.7 ± 0.5 a

Evaluated by R. Vaninbroukx (CBNM, Geel, Belgium).

Measured values

Value (in years) ^a	Reference
432.7 ± 0.7	Oetting and Gunn (1967) [1]
436.6 ± 3.0	Stone and Hulet (1968) [2]
433 ± 7	Brown and Propst (1968) [3]
426.3 ± 2.1	Jove and Robert (1972) [4]
432.8 ± 1.6 ^b	Polyukhov et al. (1974) [5]
432.5 ± 0.7 ^c	Strohm and Jordan (1974) [6]
435.0 ± 1.6 ^d	"
432.0 ± 0.7 ^e	Ramthun and Müller (1974) [7]
432.7 ± 0.5	Weighted mean ^f

Notes to Table

- ^a The values measured before 1960 by Hall and Markin [8] and by Wallmann et al. [9], deviating by about 6% from the values quoted above, have been omitted on the basis of statistical considerations.
- ^b The uncertainty quoted by the authors, corresponding to a 2σ confidence level, has been divided by two in order to be comparable to the other quoted uncertainties, all corresponding to a 1σ confidence level.
- ^c Determined by measuring the power output by calorimetry.
- ^d Determined by measuring the increase of the power output of a ^{241}Pu sample due to the ingrowth of ^{241}Am ; the uncertainty quoted by the authors has been increased slightly to take into account a somewhat larger uncertainty in the ^{241}Pu decay constant than adopted by the authors.
- ^e The uncertainty of 0.2 a quoted by the authors has been increased to 0.7 a, i.e. the uncertainty quoted by Oetting and Gunn for their measurements performed with the same method as used by Ramthun and Müller. The quoted individual uncertainties on the Am determination (weighing and impurities), on the power measurements, on $Q(\alpha)$ and on random effects are nearly the same for Refs [1] and [7]. The difference in the quoted overall uncertainties is only due to the different manner of summation of individual uncertainties: quadratic sum of one-third of the individual uncertainties by Ramthun and Müller and quadratic sum of the individual uncertainties by Oetting and Gunn. Furthermore, the result in Ref. [1] is based on 31 individual measurements on two large samples (6 and 15 g Am) using different calorimeters in two laboratories (Rocky Flats and Livermore), while Ramthun and Müller's result is based on 5 individual measurements on only one small sample (0.07 g), and the use of Student t-factors, depending on the degrees of freedom, would even make the result in [7] slightly less accurate than that of Ref. [1].
- ^f The weighted mean and its uncertainty is calculated according to Topping [10].

II. EMISSION PROBABILITIES OF SELECTED GAMMA RAYS

 ^{241}Am

Evaluated by W. Bambynek (CBNM, Geel, Belgium).

A. Recommended values

E(keV)	Emission probability	
	$10^2 P_\gamma$	$10^6 P_\gamma$
26.34	2.4 ± 0.1	
33.20	0.12 ± 0.01	
43.42	0.071 ± 0.010	
59.54	35.9 ± 0.4	
98.95	0.020 ± 0.001	
102.97	0.0196 ± 0.0010	
		$10^6 P_\gamma$
122.99		10 ± 1
125.29		41 ± 1
146.55		4.6 ± 0.2
164.60		0.72 ± 0.15
169.56		1.72 ± 0.15
208.00		7.9 ± 0.2
322.54		1.5 ± 0.1
332.35		1.5 ± 0.1
335.40		4.95 ± 0.10
368.60		2.2 ± 0.2
662.42		3.6 ± 0.2

B. CRP measurements

None.

^{241}Am

C. Other measurements

Emission probability^a

E ^b (keV)	P _γ ^c	Magnusson (1957) [14]	W. Michaelis ^g			Lederer et al. (1966) [43]	Günther and Parsignault (1967) [44] ^e	Kamoun et al. (1968) [31]	Péghaire (1969) [25]	Gehrke and Lokken (1971) [15] ^d	Faermann et al. (1971) [45] ^{d,h}	Watson and Li (1971) [16]	Campbell and McNelles (1974) [18] ^j
			(1965) [24]	(1966) [30]	(1966)								
26.35	10 ⁻²	2.5 2								2.2 2	2.5 2	2.4 1 ⁱ	2.4 1
33.20	10 ⁻³	1.1 1 ^d								1.0 1	1.29 10		
43.42	10 ⁻⁴	7.3 7								5.7 24	6.4 8		
59.54	10 ⁻²	35.9 6 ^h							35.3 6				
98.95	10 ⁻⁴	2.3 3 ^h	1.9 6	1.9 6	1.9 3		2.1 3						
102.97	10 ⁻⁴	1.9 3 ^h	1.4 5	1.5 5	1.9 3		2.1 3		1.9 9				
122.99	10 ⁻⁵		1.2 3	1.5 5			1.2 3		1.8 5				
125.29	10 ⁻⁵		3.9 9	2.6 6	5 2				4.5 5				
146.55	10 ⁻⁶			4.5 11	1.6 7 ^f		4.2 6		1.6 6 ^f				
164.60	10 ⁻⁶				1.1 4	0.41 23 ^f		0.85 6		0.25 12 ^f			
169.56	10 ⁻⁶		1.3 5	1.3 4	1.0 5 ^f		1.67 7		0.85 42 ^f				
208.00	10 ⁻⁶		5.4 10 ^f	5.7 11 ^f	5.6 16 ^f		7.6 4		5.61 10 ^f				
322.54	10 ⁻⁶			1.3 3	1.6 5		1.60 8		1.9 9 ^f				
332.35	10 ⁻⁶				1.8 6		2.2 3		1.4 7				
335.40	10 ⁻⁶		4.4 9	4.4 9	5.7 17		5.2 3		5.7 1				
368.60	10 ⁻⁶		2.7 7	1.9 4	2.8 9		3.2 2 ^f		2.8 14				
662.42	10 ⁻⁶		3.1 7	2.8 9	5.3 13		3.5 2		5.3 13 ^h				

²⁴¹Am

E ^b (keV)	P _γ ^c	Genoux-Lubain and Ardisson ^g						LMRI (1978) [32]	Ovechkin (1978) [47]	Hutchinson and Mullen (1983) [29]	Debertin and Pessara values ^{l,m} [21]
		Chauhan et al. (1974) [17] ^g	Legrand et al. (1975) [27]	Plch et al. (1976) [28]	Gunnink et al. (1976) [19] ^k	(1978) [20]	(1978) [46]				
26.35	10 ⁻²	2.1 1 ^h			2.45 6	2.54 26				2.41 5	2.4 1
33.20	10 ⁻³	1.3 1			1.26 8 ^h	1.06 11					1.2 1
43.42	10 ⁻⁴	7.1 10				7.29 73					7.1 1
59.54	10 ⁻²		36.3 4 ^j	35.5 3					35.82 12		35.9 4
98.95	10 ⁻⁴	2.5 2 ^h			2.03 4	2.9 2					2.0 1
102.97	10 ⁻⁴	2.3 3			1.95 4	2.5 3 ^h					1.96 10
122.99	10 ⁻⁵				1.00 2	2.4 4 ^f	1.4 4 ^h	1.08 9			1.0 1
125.29	10 ⁻⁵				4.08 8	6.4 7	4.28 50 ^h	4.17 32			4.1 1
146.55	10 ⁻⁶				4.61 10		6.02 ^f	5.10 32			4.6 2
164.60	10 ⁻⁶				0.67 4 ^h		1.0 4 ^{c,f}	0.71 6			0.72 15
169.56	10 ⁻⁶				1.73 4		3.18 ^f	1.85 11			1.72 15
208.00	10 ⁻⁶				7.91 16		15.8 ^f	7.95 38			7.9 2
322.54	10 ⁻⁶				1.518 32		3.09 ^f	1.47 7			1.5 1
332.35	10 ⁻⁶				1.49 3		3.35 ^f	1.48 9			1.5 1
335.40	10 ⁻⁶				4.96 10		10.0 ^f	4.75 21			4.95 10
368.60	10 ⁻⁶				2.17 4		4.41 ^f	2.08 10			2.2 2
662.42	10 ⁻⁶				3.64 7		7.34 ^f	3.50 17	3.41 25 ^g		3.6 2

Notes to Table C

- ^a The data sets of Prohaska [22], Beling et al. [11], Jaffe et al. [12], Day [13] and McIsaac [23] were omitted as outliers according to Dixon's criterion [42]. The data of Cline et al. [26] were omitted because it is not clear whether these values are measured or compiled data.
- ^b The energies are not evaluated. They are taken from the Nuclear Data Sheets [35].
- ^c The figures in this column indicate the power of ten by which the figures of the table have to be multiplied.
- ^d Deduced from relative intensity measurements using $P_\gamma(59.54) = 0.359\ 4$.
- ^e Deduced from relative intensity measurements using $P_\gamma(125.29) = 4.1\ 1 \times 10^{-4}$.
- ^f This value was rejected as an outlier according to Dixon's criterion [42].
- ^g Recalculated from the emission probability quoted using $P_\gamma(59.54) = 0.359\ 4$.
- ^h The uncertainty was (re)estimated by the evaluator.
- ⁱ Deduced from intensity measurements relative to the L_{β_1} X-ray line ($L_2 - M_4$) using $P_{L\beta} = 0.1946\ 46$ of Gallagher and Cipolla [41] for the total L_β emission probability.
- ^j The uncertainty quoted by Legrand et al. [27] corresponds to a 2σ level. We have divided it by 2 to be comparable to the other uncertainties quoted.
- ^k The uncertainties quoted by Gunnink et al. [19] are the statistical uncertainties in the measurement of the peak areas. They have been increased by 2% to allow for the uncertainty of the detector calibration.
- ^l The recommended values have been deduced from the weighted means of the measured results. As weights, the squared reciprocals of the adjusted uncertainties were used. Usually the maximum of the internal and external errors has been assigned as uncertainty of the weighted means according to Topping [10]. However, owing to the great spread of the various results of some transitions, in some cases the evaluator has assigned greater uncertainties.
- ^m Previous compilations or evaluations were published by Hansen et al. [33], Ellis [35], Kocher [34], Kholnov et al. [36], Blachot and Fiche [37], Reus and Westmeier [38] and Lorenz [39, 40].

- [1] OETTING, F.L., GUNN, S.R., J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. **29** (1967) 2659.
- [2] STONE, R.E., HULET, E.K., J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. **30** (1968) 2003.
- [3] BROWN, L.C., PROPST, R.C., J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. **30** (1968) 2591.
- [4] JOVE, J., ROBERT, R., Radiochem. Radioanal. Lett. **10** (1972) 139.
- [5] POLYUKHOV, V.G., TIMOFEEV, G.A., PRIVALOVA, V.Ya., BAKLANOVA, P.F., At. Ehnerg. **36** (1974) 319.
- [6] STROHM, W.W., JORDAN, K.C., Trans. Am. Nucl. Soc. **18** (1974) 185.
- [7] RAMTHUN, H., MÜLLER, W., Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot. **26** (1974) 589.
- [8] HALL, G.R., MARKIN, T.L., J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. **4** (1957) 137.
- [9] WALLMANN, J.C., GRAF, P., GODA, L., J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. **7** (1958) 199.
- [10] TOPPING, J., Errors of Observation and their Treatment, 3rd edn, Chapman and Hall, London (1969) 87–93.
- [11] BELING, J.K., NEWTON, J.O., ROSE, B., Phys. Rev. **86** (1952) 797.
- [12] JAFFE, H., PASSEL, T.O., BROWNE, C.L., PERLMAN, I., Phys. Rev. **97** (1955) 142.
- [13] DAY, P.P., Phys. Rev. **97** (1955) 689.
- [14] MAGNUSSON, L.B., Phys. Rev. **107** (1957) 161.
- [15] GEHRKE, R.J., LOKKEN, R.A., Nucl. Instrum. Methods **97** (1971) 219.
- [16] WATSON, R.L., LI, T.K., Nucl. Phys., A **178** (1971) 201.
- [17] CHAUHAN, S.D., SANYAL, S., GARG, R.K., PENCHOLI, S.C., GUPTA, S.L., Progress Report on Nuclear Data Activities in India, Bhabha At. Res. Centre, Bombay, Rep. BARC-770 (1974) 67.
- [18] CAMPBELL, J.L., McNELLES, L.A., Nucl. Instrum. Methods **117** (1974) 519.
- [19] GUNNINK, R., EVANS, J.A., PRINDLE, A.L., Re-evaluation of the Gamma Ray Energies and Absolute Branching Intensities of ^{237}U , 238 , 239 , 240 , ^{241}Pu and ^{241}Am , Univ. of California Rep. UCRL-52139 (1976).
- [20] GENOUX-LUBAIN, A., ARDISSON, G., Radiochem. Radioanal. Lett. **33** (1978) 59.
- [21] DEBERTIN, K., PESSARA, W., Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot. **34** (1983) 515.
- [22] PROHASKA, C.A., Univ. of California Rep. UCRL-1395 (1951).
- [23] MCISAAC, L.D., Quarterly Progress Report (1 July–30 Sept. 1964), US Dept. of Energy, Nuclear Technology Branch, Phillips Petroleum Co. (Feb. 1965) IDO-17052 31.
- [24] MICHAELIS, W., Z. Phys. **186** (1965) 42.
- [25] PEGHAIRE, A., Nucl. Instrum. Methods **75** (1969) 66.
- [26] CLINE, J.E., GEHRKE, R.J., MCISAAC, L.D., Gamma Rays Emitted by the Fissionable Nuclides and Associated Isotopes, Aerojet Nuclear Co., Idaho Falls, ID, Rep. ANCR-1069 (1972).
- [27] LEGRAND, J., PEROLAT, J.P., BAC, C., GORRY, J., Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot. **26** (1975) 179.
- [28] PLCH, J., ZDERICKA, J., KOKTA, L., Czech, J. Phys. **26** (1976) 1344.
- [29] HUTCHINSON, J.M.R., MULLEN, P.A., Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot. **34** (1983) 543.
- [30] MICHAELIS, E., Z. Phys. **194** (1966) 395.
- [31] KAMOUN, R., BALLINI, R., BERGSTROM-ROHLIN, S., KUCHLY, J.M., SIFFERT, P., C.R. Acad. Sci. (Paris) **226B** (1968) 1241.
- [32] LABORATOIRE DE METROLOGIE DES RAYONNEMENTS IONISANTS, Saclay, Rapport interne no. 56 (1978).
- [33] HANSEN, J.S., McGEORGE, J.C., NIX, D., SCHMIDT-OTT, W.D., UNUS, I., FINK, R.W., Nucl. Instrum. Methods **106** (1973) 365.
- [34] KOCHER, D.C., Radioactive Decay Data Tables, Rep. DOE/TIC-11026, Technical Information Service, Springfield (1981).
- [35] ELLIS, Y.A., Nucl. Data Sheets **23** (1978) 123.

- [36] KHOLNOV, Yu.V., CHECHEV, V.P., KALMYNOV, S.V., KUZNENKO, N.K., NEDOVESOV, V.G., Characteristics of Radiation from Radioactive Nuclides used in the National Economy: Evaluated Data (in Russian), Atomizdat, Moscow (1980) 200.
- [37] BLACHOT, J., FICHE, C., Ann. Phys. (Paris), Suppl. **6** (1981) 3.
- [38] REUS, U., WESTMEIER, W., Nucl. Data Tables **29** (1983) 1, 193.
- [39] LORENZ, A., Nuclear Data Standards for Nuclear Measurements, IAEA Technical Reports Series No. 227, IAEA, Vienna (1983) 87.
- [40] LORENZ, A., IAEA Rep. INDC(NDS)-149/NE, IAEA, Vienna (1983).
- [41] GALLAGHER, W.J., CIPOLLA, S.J., Nucl. Instrum. Methods **122** (1974) 405.
- [42] NATRELLA, M.G., Experimental Statistics, National Bureau of Standards Handbook 91, US Government Printing Office, Washington, DC (1963) 17–3.
- [43] LEDERER, C.M., POGGENBURG, J.K., ASARO, F., RASMUSSEN, J.O., PERLMAN, I., Nucl. Phys. **84** (1966) 481.
- [44] GÜNTHER, C., PARISNAULT, D.R., Nucl. Phys. **A104** (1967) 588.
- [45] FAERMANN, S., NOTEA, A., SEGAL, Y., Trans. Am. Nucl. Soc. **14** (1971) 500.
- [46] GENOUX-LUBAIN, A., ARDISSON, G., C.R. Acad. Sci. (Paris) **287B** (1978) 13.
- [47] OVECHKIN, V.V., Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Fiz. **42** (1978) 101; Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR, Phys. Sci. **42** (1978) 82.

I. HALF-LIFE

$^{242}\text{Am}^m$

Evaluated by A.L. Nichols (UKAEA, AEE Winfrith).

I.1. Total half-life

Recommended value: 141 ± 2 a

Weighted mean with 1σ standard deviation.

Value (years)	Reference ^e
152.7 ^{a,b}	Barnes et al. (1959) [1]
141.9 ^c 17 ^{a,c}	Zelenkov et al. (1979) [2]
139.7 ^{a,d} 18 ^a	Zelenkov et al. (1979) [2]

Notes to Table

^a Quoted uncertainty estimated to be 1σ standard deviation.

^b Derived from unpublished data and measured alpha half-life: recalculated, but includes erroneous identification of decay modes.

^c In-growth of ^{242}Cm via ^{242}Am .

^d Measurement of emission ratios of $^{242}\text{Am}^m$ and ^{242}Am .

^e Exclusive consideration of 1979 measurements (see note b) results in a weighted mean half-life of 141 1 years.

I.2. Alpha half-life

Recommended value: $(3.11 \pm 0.05) \times 10^4$ a

Weighted mean with 1σ standard deviation.

Value (years)	Reference
2.92×10^4 ^{a,b}	Barnes et al. (1959) [1]
3.125×10^4 ^a	Zelenkov et al. (1979) [2]

Notes to Table

^a Quoted uncertainty estimated to be 1σ standard deviation.

^b Recalculated from activity measurements using latest estimates of half-life data.

I.3. Spontaneous fission half-life

$^{242}\text{Am}^m$

Recommended value: $(8.8 \pm 3.2) \times 10^{11}$ a

Value (years)	Reference
$8.8 \ 32 \times 10^{11}$ a	Caldwell et al. (1967) [3]

Note to Table

^a Reported value of $9.5 \ 35 \times 10^{11}$ years has been recalculated using latest values for the half-life of $^{242}\text{Am}^m$ and the branching fraction to ^{242}Cm .

I.4. Branching fractions

Alpha branching fraction: 0.0045 ± 0.0003 .

Isomeric transition branching fraction: 0.9955 ± 0.0003 .

Spontaneous fission branching fraction: $(1.6 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-10}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] BARNES, R.F., HENDERSON, D.J., HARKNESS, A.L., DIAMOND, H., J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. **9** (1959) 105.
- [2] ZELENKOV, A.G., PCHELIN, V.A., RODIONOV, Y.F., CHISTYAKOV, L.V., SHUBKO, V.M., At. Ehnerg. **47** (1979) 405; Sov. At. Energy (Engl. Transl.) **47** (1980) 1024.
- [3] CALDWELL, J.T., FULTZ, S.C., BOWMAN, C.D., HOFF, R.W., Phys. Rev. **155** (1967) 1309.

I. HALF-LIFE

 ^{243}Am

Recommended value: 7370 ± 15 a

Evaluated by R. Vaninbroukx (CBNM, Geel, Belgium).

Measured values

Value in years ^a	Reference
7292 ± 160	Barnes et al. (1959) [1]
7226 ± 100 ^b	Beadle et al. (1960) [2]
7370 ± 40 ^c	Brown and Propst (1968) [3]
7380 ± 17 ^{c,d}	Polyukhov et al. (1974) [4]
7360 ± 42	Aggarwal et al. (1980) [5]
7370 ± 15	Weighted mean and standard error ^e

Notes to Table

- ^a The values measured before 1959 by Diamond et al. [6] and Wallmann et al. [7], deviating by about 9% from all other results, have been omitted. The values of Refs [1–5] are to a large extent based on measurements relative to the ^{241}Am half-life. When necessary, they have been recalculated on the basis that $T_{1/2}^{241}\text{Am}$ is (432.7 ± 0.5) a.
- ^b The uncertainty of 50 a quoted by the authors has been changed. The main quoted uncertainty of 1.4% is largely due to systematic effects and therefore division of this uncertainty by \sqrt{n} is questionable.
- ^c These results are the weighted mean values from measurements relative to $T_{1/2}^{241}\text{Am}$ and from specific ^{243}Am determinations.
- ^d The uncertainty quoted by the authors corresponds to the 2σ confidence level and has been divided by two in order to be comparable to the other quoted uncertainties.
- ^e The weighted mean and its uncertainty have been calculated according to Topping [8]. Since the results of the measurements relative to the ^{241}Am half-life are not independent, the number of independent results has been taken as three for the calculation of the standard error.

II. EMISSION PROBABILITIES OF SELECTED GAMMA RAYS

^{243}Am

Evaluatd by R. Vaninbroukx (CBNM, Geel, Belgium).

A. Recommended values

E_γ (keV)	P_γ
43.53	0.0593 ± 0.0013
74.67	0.682 ± 0.014
86.79	0.00338 ± 0.00007
142.18	0.0012 ± 0.0001

B. CRP measurements

E_γ (keV)	Vaninbroukx et al. (1984) [9]
43.53	0.0604 ± 0.0013
74.67	0.685 ± 0.015
86.79	0.0035 ± 0.0001
142.18	0.0013 ± 0.0001

C. Comparison with other measurements

E_γ (keV)	CRP measurements				Other measurements				Evaluated values ^c	
	Vaninbroukx et al. (1984) [9]	Asaro et al. (1960) [10]	Van Hise and Engeikemeir (1968) [11] ^a	Aleksandrov et al. (1970) [12]	Ahmad and Wanigren (1972) [13]	Starozhukov et al. (1977) [14]	Popov et al. (1979) [15]	Ahmad (1982) [16]	Holloway et al. (1983) [17] ^b	
43.53	0.0604 13	0.04 1	0.053 5	0.05 1	0.055 3		0.053 12	0.0620 30	0.0587 17	0.0593 13
74.67	0.685 15	0.69 3	0.61 6	0.73 1	0.660 30	0.591 40	0.60 4	0.680 20	0.670 12	0.682 14
86.79	0.0035 1		0.0037 4					0.00340 15	0.00334 6	0.00338 7
142.18	0.0013 1		0.0013 1					0.00128 6	0.00105 2	0.0012 1

Notes to Table C

- ^a The individual values are given by the authors without uncertainty quotation; from the explanations in the text, they can be estimated to be about 10%.
- ^b The P_γ values have been re-evaluated by one of the authors (T.D. MacMahon, private communication, December 1984) using the calibration standards decay data from INDC(NDS)-145/GEI [18] for the recalculation of the detector efficiencies.
- ^c The evaluated values and uncertainties are generally based on weighted means calculated according to Topping [8]. To the individual values given with one decimal place less than the others slightly smaller weights are attributed, since generally figures quoted with one digit more are the result of more careful error considerations. Further, as a general rule, minimum uncertainties of 2% were assumed for P_γ values from rays with energies below 100 keV.

- [1] BARNES, R.F., HENDERSON, D.J., HARKNESS, A.L., DIAMOND, H., J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. **9** (1959) 105.
- [2] BEADLE, A.B., DANCE, D.F., GLOVER, K.M., MILSTED, J., J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. **12** (1960) 359.
- [3] BROWN, L.C., PROPST, R.C., J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. **30** (1968) 2591.
- [4] POLYUKHOV, V.G., TIMOFEEV, G.A., PRIVALOVA, P.A., GABESKIRIYA, V.Ya., CHETVERIKOV, A.P., Sov. At. Energy (Engl. Transl.) **37** (1974) 1103.
- [5] AGGARWAL, S.K., PARAB, A.R., JAIN, H.C., Phys. Rev. **C22** (1980) 767.
- [6] DIAMOND, H., FIELDS, P.R., MECH, J.F., INGHRAM, M.G., HESS, D.C., Phys. Rev. **92** (1953) 1490.
- [7] WALLMANN, J.C., GRAF, P., GODA, L., J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. **7** (1958) 199.
- [8] TOPPING, J., Errors of Observation and their Treatment, 3rd edn, Chapman and Hall, London (1963) 87–93.
- [9] VANINBROUKX, R., BORTELS, G., DENECKE, B., Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot. **35** (1984) 1081.
- [10] ASARO, F., STEPHENS, F.S., HOLLANDER, J.M., PERLMAN, I., Phys. Rev. **117** (1960) 492.
- [11] Van HISE, J.R., ENGELKEMEIR, D., Phys. Rev. **171** (1968) 1325.
- [12] ALEKSANDROV, B.M., GRIGOR'EV, O.I., SHIMANSKAYA, N.S., Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. (Engl. Transl.) **10** (1970) 8.
- [13] AHMAD, I., WAHLGREN, M., Nucl. Instrum. Methods **99** (1972) 333.
- [14] STAROZHUKOV, D.I., POPOV, Yu.S., PRIVALOVA, P.A., Sov. At. Energy (Engl. Transl.) **42** (1977) 355.
- [15] POPOV, Yu.S., STAROZHUKOV, D.I., MISHENEV, V.B., PRIVALOVA, P.A., MISHENKO, A.I., Sov. At. Energy (Engl. Transl.) **46** (1979) 123.
- [16] AHMAD, I., Nucl. Instrum. Methods **193** (1982) 9.
- [17] HOLLOWAY, S.P., OLIMO, J.B., MacMAHON, T.D., HOOTON, B.W., in Nuclear Data for Science and Technology, (BÖCKHOFF, K.H., Ed.), Reidel, Dordrecht (1983) 287.
- [18] LORENZ, A., Nuclear Decay Data for Radionuclides used as Calibration Standards, IAEA Rep. INDC(NDS)-145/GEI, IAEA, Vienna (1983).

I. HALF-LIVES

^{242}Cm

Total half-life

Evaluated by H. Okashita (JAERI, Tokai Mura, Japan).

Recommended value: 162.94 ± 0.06 d

This value coincides with that evaluated by Vaninbroukx in 1982 [1].

Values (days) ^a	Period of observation (days)	Reference
162.5 2 ^b	300	Hanna et al. (1950) [2]
162.46 32 ^b	210	Glover et al. (1954) [3]
163.0 18 ^c	365	Hutchinson and White (1954) [4]
163.1 4 ^d	1187	Flynn et al. (1965) [5]
163.2 2 ^c	287	Kerrigan and Banick (1975) [6]
162.76 8 ^e	260	Diamond et al. (1977) [7]
163.02 18 ^b	308	Huan-Qiao et al. (1979) [8]
162.13 225 ^f	237	Jadhav et al. (1980) [9]
161.35 30 ^d	540	Usuda and Umezawa (1981) [10]
163.17 11 ^e	250	Aggarwal et al. (1982) [11]
162.82 26 ^f	208	Aggarwal et al. (1982) [11]
163.03 16 ^b	490	Wiltshire et al. (1982) [12]

Notes to Table

^a The uncertainties have been estimated when the authors have not made a systematic consideration of the error.

^b Alpha spectrometry with low geometry proportional counter.

^c Heat output by calorimetry.

^d Alpha counting with 2π proportional counter.

^e Alpha counting with intermediate geometry proportional counter.

^f Alpha spectrometry with solid state detector.

Comment: The weighted mean of all the results is 162.90 days with an external standard error of ± 0.10 and an internal one of ± 0.05 days, showing no consistency of the individual values with their attributed weights. The recommended value was calculated after rejecting the outlying value of Ref. [10].

Evaluated by H. Okashita (JAERI, Tokai Mura, Japan).

Recommended value: $(7.05 \pm 0.09) \times 10^6$ a

Values (10^6 a) ^a	Reference
7.2 2 ^b	Hanna et al. (1951) [13]
6.09 18 ^c	Armani et al. (1967) [14]
7.46 6 ^d	Huan-Qiao et al. (1979) [8]
7.15 15 ^d	Raghuraman et al. (1982) [15]
6.89 14 ^d	Usuda and Jaeri (1983) [16]

Notes to Table

- ^a The uncertainties are those quoted by the authors.
- ^b Fission fragment counting/ionization chamber.
- ^c Fission neutron counting/LiI detector.
- ^d Fission events recording/SSNTD.

Comment: The weighted mean of all results is 7.24×10^6 a with an external standard error of ± 0.19 and an internal one of $\pm 0.05 \times 10^6$ a.

The recommended value was calculated after rejecting the two outermost data points.

REFERENCES

- [1] VANINBROUKX, R., "Review of the half-lives of ^{237}Pu and ^{242}Cm ", Summary Report: Fifth Research Co-ordination Meeting on the Measurement and Evaluation of Transactinium Isotope Nuclear Data, Geel, September 1982, IAEA Rep. INDC(NDS)-138/GE, (1982) 41; Rep. GE/R/RB/10-82 (1982).
- [2] HANNA, G.C., HARVEY, B.G., MOSS, N., Phys. Rev. **78** (1950) 617.
- [3] GLOVER, K.M., MILSTED, J., Nature (London) **173** (1954) 1238.
- [4] HUTCHINSON, W.P., WHITE, A.G., Nature (London) **173** (1954) 1239.
- [5] FLYNN, K.F., GLENDEEN, L.E., STEINBERG, E.P., Nucl. Sci. Eng. **22** (1965) 416.
- [6] KERRIGAN, W.J., BANICK, C.J., J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. **37** (1975) 641.
- [7] DIAMOND, H., BENTLEY, W.C., JAFFEY, A.H., FLYNN, K.F., Phys. Rev. C **15** (1977) 1034.
- [8] HUAN-QIAO, Zhang, JIN-CHENG, Xu, TONG-QUING, Wen, Chin. J. Nucl. Phys. **1** (1979) 30.

- [9] JADHAV, A.V., MATHEW, K.A., RAGHURAMAN, K., SILVARAMAKRISHNAN, C.K., "Preparation and purification of plutonium-238", Nuclear Chemistry and Radiochemistry (Proc. Symp. Waltair, 1980), Dept. of Atomic Energy, Bombay (1981) 294.
- [10] USUDA, S., UMEZAWA, H., J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. **43** (1981) 3081.
- [11] AGGARWAL, S.K., JAHAV, A.V., CHITAMBAR, S.A., PARAB, A.R., SHAN, P.M., ALMAULA, A.I., RAGHURAMAN, K., SILVARAMAKRISHNAN, C.K., JAIN, H.C., Radiochem. Radioanal. Lett. **54** (1982) 99.
- [12] WILTSHERE, R.A.P., BROOKS, T.B., ECCLES, A.J., private communication from K.M. Glover, AERE-HARWELL, 1982.
- [13] HANNA, G.C., et al., Phys. Rev. **81** (1951) 466.
- [14] ARMANI, R.J., GOLD, R., "Spontaneous fission half-lives of ^{242}Cm and ^{244}Cm by absolute alpha and neutron counting", Standardization of Radionuclides (Proc. Symp. Vienna, 1966), IAEA, Vienna (1967) 621.
- [15] RAGHURAMAN, K., et al., Radiochem. Radioanal. Lett. **55** (1982) 1.
- [16] USUDA, S., JAERI, private communication, 1983.

I. HALF-LIFE

^{244}Cm

Recommended value: 18.10 ± 0.05 a

This value was adopted from the 1984 review of N.E. Holden [1].

The uncertainty quoted by the evaluator corresponds to a 2σ confidence level; it has been reduced by a factor of two to correspond to the 1σ level adopted in this report.

II. EMISSION PROBABILITIES OF SELECTED GAMMA RAYS

Evaluated by F. Lagoutine (LMRI, Saclay, France).

A. Recommended values

E_γ (keV)	P_γ
42.82	$(2.48 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-4}$
98.86	$(1.1 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-5}$
152.63	0.99×10^{-5}

B. Tabulation of data considered in the evaluation

No CRP or CRP-related measurements of the P_γ were carried out. The listed values are obtained as described in the notes overleaf.

E_γ (keV)	Calculated values ^a	Calculated values ^b	Evaluated values ^c
42.82	2.486×10^{-4}		2.486×10^{-4}
98.86	1.118×10^{-5}	1.6622×10^{-5}	1.11×10^{-5}
152.63	0.99×10^{-5}	1.025×10^{-5}	0.99×10^{-5}

Notes to Table B

- ^a The calculated P_γ values are obtained from the P_α values reported in this evaluation and the ICC values calculated from Rösel's table (see below).
- ^b The P_γ values have been calculated from the measured relative intensities using the adopted value of 2.48×10^{-4} for the 42.8 keV ray (see below).
- ^c The evaluated P_γ values are those derived from recommended P_α values.

E_γ (keV)	Multipolarity	Transition probability	Total ICC [2]	P_γ
42.82	E2	0.23005	928 18	2.486×10^{-4}
98.96	E2	0.00020010	17.0 3	1.118×10^{-5}
152.63	E2	3.5×10^{-5}	2.54 5	0.99×10^{-5}

E_γ (keV)	Rel. intensity [3]	P_γ
42.82	100	2.486×10^{-4}
98.86	6.77	1.6620×10^{-5}
152.63	4.11	1.025×10^{-5}

III. SELECTED ALPHA EMISSION PROBABILITIES

Evaluated by F. Lagoutine (LMRI, Saclay, France).

A. Recommended values

E_α (keV)	P_α
5515.5	3.5×10^{-5}
5665.7	0.000163 ± 0.000007
5762.84	0.2300 ± 0.0005
5804.96	0.7698 ± 0.0005

B. CRP measurement

None.

C. Comparison with other measurements

^{244}Cm

E_α (keV)	Other measurements					Evaluated values ^a
	Burns et al. (1984) [4]	Hummel (1956) [5]	Asaro and Perlman (1984) [6]	Dzhelepov et al. (1963) [7]	Baranov et al. (1966)[8]	
5515.5			3.63×10^{-5}	3.1×10^{-5}	3.4×10^{-5}	3.5×10^{-5}
5665.7	0.000163 7	0.00017 3	0.00023 2	0.00021 2	0.0002	0.000163 7
5762.84	0.2300 5	0.233 6		0.238 9	0.236	0.2300 5
5804.96	0.7698 5	0.767 6		0.762 20	0.764	0.7698 5

Note to Table C

^a The P_α value for the 5515.5 keV alpha transition simply represents the average of two values reported in Refs [6] and [8]. The uncertainties are not assigned because of the difficulty in the estimation of confidence level. For the other alpha transitions, the P_α values are those listed in III.B.

REFERENCES

- [1] HOLDEN, N.E., Total and Spontaneous Fission Half-Lives of the Americium and Curium Nuclides, Brookhaven Natl. Lab., Upton, NY. Rep. BNL-NCS-35521-R (1984).
- [2] RÖSEL, F., FRIES, H.M., ALDER, K., PAULI, H.C., At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 21 (1978) 91.
- [3] SCHMORAK, M., BEMIS, C.R., Jr., ZENDER, M.J., GOVE, N.B., DITTNER, P.F., Nucl. Phys., A 178 (1972) 410.
- [4] BURNS, P.A., JOHNSTON, P.N., MORONEJ, J.R., ARL, private communication, 1984.
- [5] HUMMEL, J.P., PhD Thesis, Univ. of California, Livermore, CA, Rep. UCRL-3456 (1956).
- [6] ASARO, F., PERLMAN, I., quoted by E.K. HYDE et al. in: The Nuclear Properties of the Heavy Elements, Vol. II, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ (1984) 880.
- [7] DZHELEPOV, B.S., IVANOV, R.B., NEDOVESOV, V.G., CHECHEV, V.P., Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 45 (1963) 1360; Sov. Phys.-JETP 18 (1964) 937.
- [8] BARANOV, S.A., ZELENKOV, A.G., KULAKOV, V.H., SHATINSKII, V.H., Yad. Fiz. 4 (1966) 1108; Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. (Engl. Transl. 4 (1967) 798.

I. HALF-LIFE

 ^{252}Cf

Recommended value: 2.645 ± 0.008 a

Evaluated by J.R. Smith (INEL, Idaho Falls, USA).

This value was adopted from Ref. [1].

A. Tabulation of data considered in the evaluation

Half-life (years)	Reference and year
2.646 ± 0.004	[2] (1965)
2.621 ± 0.006	[3] (1969)
2.659 ± 0.010	[4] (1973)
2.628 ± 0.010	[5] (1974)
$2.638 \pm 0.007^{\text{a}}$	[6] (1974)
2.637 ± 0.005	[7] (1976)
2.648 ± 0.002	[8] (1980)
2.653 ± 0.001	[8] (1980)
2.651 ± 0.003	[9] (1984)
2.639 ± 0.007	[10] (1982)
2.651 ± 0.003	[11] (1984)

Note to Table A

^a Value subsequently withdrawn by author.

Comment: It is pointed out in Ref. [1] that there appears to be substantial support for half-life values near both 2.638 a and 2.651 a and that there is no apparent way at present to identify the cause of this discrepancy. Until such time as the present problems regarding the ^{252}Cf half-life can be resolved, use of the recommended value above is suggested. This value has been chosen simply to represent an average of these two values, with a quoted error sufficiently large to cover the range of uncertainty.

REFERENCES

^{252}Cf

- [1] SMITH, J.R., Now It's the ^{252}Cf Half-Life Discrepancy, EG and G Idaho, Inc., Idaho Falls, ID, Rep. EGG-PBS-6406 (September 1983).
- [2] METTA, D., et al., J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. **27** (1965) 33.
- [3] DeVOLPI, A., PORGES, K.G., Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett. **5** (1969) 699.
- [4] MIJNHEER, B.J., Van den HAUTEN-ZUIDEMA, E., Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot. **24** (1973) 185.
- [5] SHCHEBOLEV, V.T., RAMENDIK, Z.A., SHLYAMIN, E.A., At. Ehnerg. **36** (1974) 399; Sov. At. Energy (Engl. Trans.) **36** (1974) 507.
- [6] SPIEGEL, V., Nucl. Sci. Eng. **53** (1974) 326.
- [7] MOZHAEV, V.K., At. Ehnerg. **40** (1976) 174; Sov. At. Energy (Engl. Transl.) **40** (1976) 200.
- [8] ALBERTS, W.G., SPIEGEL, V., private communication, 1980.
- [9] SMITH, J.R., REEDER, S.D., GEHRKE, R.J., Neutron Multiplicities of ^{252}Cf and the Fissile Nuclei, Electric Power Res. Inst., Palo Alto, CA, Rep. EPRI-NP-3436 (1984).
- [10] LAGOUTINE, F., LeGRAND, J., Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot. **33** (1982) 711.
- [11] AXTON, E.J., BARDELL, A.G., Metrologia (in press).

Part 3

DATA RECOMMENDED BY THE CRP

The third section of this Report lists the values of decay parameters of heavy element radionuclides recommended by the CRP. The radionuclides included in these tabulations reflect the requirements which were identified at the three IAEA Advisory Group meetings on transactinium isotope nuclear data (Karlsruhe 1975, Cadarache 1979, and Uppsala 1984). These tabulations comprise data which have been measured and/or evaluated in the context of the CRP activities (included in Part 2 of this Report), as well as data that have been adopted with little or no additional evaluation from existing national data files or publications. To complete the data set produced for this report, the data which have resulted from the CRP effort have been complemented with the most recently evaluated data published in the Nuclear Data Sheets.

In the course of the assessment of the non-CRP data, in particular half-life data, situations were encountered in which CRP members had cause to question the recommended values. In those instances where changes were felt to be justified, CRP members made appropriate adjustments on the basis of criteria described above, or performed a detailed evaluation (see Part 2). It is important to note that such revisions were not based on CRP measurements, but were derived from consideration of other existing data.

DESCRIPTION OF TABLE ENTRIES

<i>Decay mode</i>	A	Alpha decay	IT	Isomeric transition
	B-	Beta decay	SF	Spontaneous fission
	EC	Electron capture	T	Total half-life
<i>Units</i>	MS	millisecond	H	Hour
	S	second	D	day
	M	minute	Y	year (= 365.2422 days)

Half-life data: the following criteria were adopted for the representation of the half-lives:

- seconds are used if half-life is longer than 100 milliseconds
- minutes are used if half-life is longer than 100 seconds
- hours are used if half-life is longer than 100 minutes
- days are used if half-life is longer than 100 hours
- years are used if half-life is longer than 500 days

Comments in the tables (given in right-hand column):

- A — Half-life derived from branching fraction.
- B — Branching fraction derived from half-life.
- C — Total half-life as defined here equals partial half-life multiplied by branching fraction.
- D — Complex peak; listed energy is nominal value only.
- E — Peak contains contribution from member of the ^{229}Th decay chain.

Branching fractions: defined such that the total decay probability for all modes of decay is equal to 1.

Emission probabilities: all P_α and $P\gamma$ values are expressed as the absolute probability of an emission of an alpha particle or photon per decay.

Criteria used to include gamma lines in tabulations

- Lines with an uncertainty larger than 50% were omitted.
- In this tabulation of $E\gamma/P\gamma$, only gamma rays from parent nuclide decays are included. Gamma rays from radionuclides in equilibrium with their daughters are included in the individual evaluations given in Part 2.

References: list given at the end of Part 3.

**RECOMMENDED HEAVY RADIONUCLIDE HALF-LIVES
AND
BRANCHING FRACTIONS**

RECOMMENDED HEAVY RADIONUCLIDE HALF-LIVES AND BRANCHING FRACTIONS

NUCLIDE	DECAY MODE	UNITS	DATA	HALF-LIFE UNCERTAINTY	REFERENCE	DATA	BRANCHING FRACTION		REFERENCE	COMMENT
							UNCERTAINTY	REFERENCE		
80-HG-206	B-	M	(8.15	+ - 0.10)	WE7901	*				*
81-TL-206	B-	M	(4.20	+ - 0.02)	WE7901	*				*
81-TL-206M1	IT	M	(3.76	+ - 0.04)	WE7901	*				*
81-TL-207	B-	M	(4.77	+ - 0.03)	NI8101	*				*
81-TL-207M1	IT	S	(1.33	+ - 0.11)	NI8101	*				*
81-TL-208	B-	M	(3.053	+ - 0.004)	NI8101	*				*
81-TL-209	B-	M	(2.20	+ - 0.07)	NI8101	*				*
81-TL-210	B-	M	(1.30	+ - 0.03)	HA8103	*				*
82-PB-205	EC	Y	(1.52	+ - 0.07)E+7	SC8501	*				*
82-PB-209	B-	H	(3.253	+ - 0.014)	NI8101	*				*
82-PB-210	A	Y	{1.17	+ - 0.18 }E+9	HA8103	*	(1.9	+ - 0.3)E-8	HA8103	A
82-PB-210	B-	Y	{22.3	+ - 0.2 }	HA8103	*				*
82-PB-211	B-	M	(36.1	+ - 0.2)	NI8101	*				*
82-PB-212	B-	H	(10.64	+ - 0.01)	MA7901	*				*
82-PB-214	B-	M	26.8		T07703	*				*
83-BI-210	A	Y	{1.04	+ - 0.08 }E+4	HA8103	*	(1.32	+ - 0.10)E-6	HA8103	A
83-BI-210	B-	D	{5.013	+ - 0.005 }E+4	HA8103	*				*
83-BI-210M1	A	Y	(3.0	+ - 0.1)E+6	HA8103	*				*
83-BI-211	A	M	{2.17	+ - 0.04 }	NI8101	*	{0.99727	+ - 0.00004 }	NI8101	*
83-BI-211	B-	H	{13.25	+ - 0.28 }	NI8101	*	{0.00273	+ - 0.00004 }	NI8101	A
83-BI-212	T	M	{60.55	+ - 0.06 }	MA7901	*				C
83-BI-212	A	H	{2.808	+ - 0.005 }	MA7901	*	{0.3594	+ - 0.0006 }	MA7901	A
83-BI-212	B-	M	{94.52	+ - 0.13 }	MA7901	*	{0.6406	+ - 0.0006 }	MA7901	A
83-BI-212M1	T	M	25.		MA7901	*				C
83-BI-212M1	A	M	26.9		MA7901	*	0.93		MA7901	A
83-BI-212M1	B-	H	5.95		MA7901	*	0.07		MA7901	A
83-BI-212M2	B-	M	9.		MA7901	*				*
83-BI-213	T	M	{45.59	+ - 0.06 }	EL7902	*				C
83-BI-213	A	H	{35.2	+ - 1.8 }	EL7902	*	{0.0216	+ - 0.0011 }	EL7902	A
83-BI-213	B-	M	{46.60	+ - 0.08 }	EL7902	*	{0.9784	+ - 0.0011 }	EL7902	A
83-BI-214	A	D	{65.8	+ - 3.4 }	NI8101	*	{0.00021	+ - 0.00001 }	NI8101	A
83-BI-214	B-	M	{19.9	+ - 0.4 }	NI8101	*	{0.99979	+ - 0.00001 }	NI8101	A

RECOMMENDED HEAVY RADIONUCLIDE HALF-LIVES AND BRANCHING FRACTIONS

NUCLIDE	DECAY* MODE	UNITS	DATA	HALF-LIFE UNCERTAINTY	REFERENCE	DATA	BRANCHING FRACTION UNCERTAINTY	REFERENCE	* COMMENT
									* *
83-BI-215	B-	M	(7.4	+- 0.6)	NI8101	*	*	*
84-PO-209	A	Y	{102.	+- 5.)	NI8101	* { 0.9974	+- 0.0001	}
84-PO-209	EC	Y	{3.92	+- 0.24)E+4	NI8101	* { 0.0026	+- 0.0001	} NI8101 NI8101 A
84-PO-210	A	D	(138.4	+- 0.2)	NI8101	*	*	*
84-PO-211	A	S	(0.516	+- 0.003)	NI8101	*	*	*
84-PO-211M1	A	S	(25.5	+- 0.3)	NI8101	*	*	*
84-PO-212	A	MS	(0.298	+- 0.003)E-3	MA7901	*	*	*
84-PO-212M1	A	S	(45.1	+- 0.6)	MA7901	* (1.000	+- 0.015) MA7901
84-PO-213	A	MS	(4.2	+- 0.8)E-3	EL7902	*	*	*
84-PO-214	A	MS	(0.165	+- 0.003)	NI8101	*	*	*
84-PO-215	A	MS	{1.78	+- 0.01)	NI8101	*	*	*
84-PO-215	B-	M	{7.	+- 4.)	NI8101	* (4.	+- 2.)E-6 NI8101 A
84-PO-216	A	S	(0.15	+- 0.01)	NI8101	*	*	*
84-PO-218	A	M	3.05			NI8101	* 0.9998		NI8101
84-PO-218	B-	D	10.6			NI8101	* 0.0002		NI8101 A
85-AT-215	A	MS	(0.10	+- 0.02)	NI8101	*	*	*
85-AT-217	A	MS	{32.3	+- 0.4)	EL7903	* { 0.99988	+- 0.00004) EL7903
85-AT-217	B-	M	{4.5	+- 1.5)	EL7903	* { 0.00012	+- 0.00004) EL7903 A
85-AT-218	A	S	{1.6	+- 0.4)	NI8101	* { 0.999	+- 0.001) NI8101
85-AT-218	B-	M	{30.	+- 30.)	NI8101	* { 0.001	+- 0.001) NI8101 A
85-AT-219	T	S	{54.	+- 6.)	NI8101	*	*	*
85-AT-219	A	S	{56.	+- 6.)	NI8101	* { 0.97	+- 0.01) NI8101
85-AT-219	B-	M	{30.	+- 10.)	NI8101	* { 0.03	+- 0.01) NI8101 A
86-RN-217	A	MS	(0.54	+- 0.05)	EL7903	*	*	*
86-RN-218	A	MS	(35.	+- 6.)	NI8101	*	*	*
86-RN-219	A	S	(3.96	+- 0.05)	NI8101	*	*	*
86-RN-220	A	S	(55.6	+- 0.1)	NI8101	*	*	*
86-RN-222	A	D	(3.8235	+- 0.0003)	T07704	*	*	*
87-FR-221	A	M	(4.9	+- 0.2)	T07902	*	*	*
87-FR-223	A	D	{252.	+- 42.)	NI8101	* { 0.00006	+- 0.00001) NI8101
87-FR-223	B-	M	{21.8	+- 0.4)	NI8101	* { 0.99994	+- 0.00001) NI8101 A
88-RA-223	A	D	(11.43	+- 0.02)	NI8101	*	*	*

RECOMMENDED HEAVY RADIONUCLIDE HALF-LIVES AND BRANCHING FRACTIONS

NUCLIDE	DECAY MODE	UNITS	DATA	HALF-LIFE UNCERTAINTY	REFERENCE	DATA	BRANCHING FRACTION UNCERTAINTY	REFERENCE	COMMENT
88-RA-224	A	D	(3.66	+ - 0.04)	NI8101	*	*	*
88-RA-225	B-	D	(14.8	+ - 0.2)	T07902	*	*	*
88-RA-226	A	Y	(1600.	+ - 7.)	NI8101	*	*	*
88-RA-228	B-	Y	(5.75	+ - 0.03)	NI8101	*	*	*
89-AC-225	A	D	(10.0	+ - 0.1)	T07902	*	*	*
89-AC-227	T	Y	(21.77	+ - 0.03)	NI8101	*	*	C
89-AC-227	A	Y	(1578.	+ - 11.)	NI8101	*	{ 0.0138 + - 0.0001 }	*
89-AC-227	B-	Y	(22.07	+ - 0.03)	NI8101	*	{ 0.9862 + - 0.0001 }	NI8101
89-AC-228	B-	H	(6.15	+ - 0.02)	SK8501	*	*	*
90-TH-227	A	D	(18.72	+ - 0.02)	NI8101	*	*	*
90-TH-228	A	Y	(1.913	+ - 0.002)	VA8401	*	*	*
90-TH-229	A	Y	(7340.	+ - 160.)	T07801	*	*	*
90-TH-230	A	Y	(7.538	+ - 0.030	E+4	EL8301	*	*	*
90-TH-230	SF	Y	1.5E+17			EL8301	*	5.0E-13	B
90-TH-231	B-	H	(25.52	+ - 0.01)	SC8301	*	*	*
90-TH-232	A	Y	(1.405	+ - 0.006	E+10	SC8201	*	*	*
90-TH-232	SF	Y	1.E+21			LE7801	*	1.4E-11	B
90-TH-233	B-	M	(22.3	+ - 0.1)	EL7802	*	*	*
90-TH-234	B-	D	(24.10	+ - 0.03)	EL8302	*	*	*
90-TH-235	B-	M	(6.9	+ - 0.2)	SC8301	*	*	*
91-PA-231	A	Y	(3.276	+ - 0.011	E+4	SC8301	*	*	*
91-PA-231	SF	Y	1.1E+16			SC8301	*	3.0E-12	B
91-PA-232	B-	D	{ 1.31	+ - 0.02	}	SC8201	*	1.000	*
91-PA-232	EC	Y	{ 120.	+ - 40.		NI8401	*	{ 3. + - 1. }	E-5 NI8401
91-PA-233	B-	D	(27.0	+ - 0.1)	EL7801	*	*	*
91-PA-234	B-	H	(6.70	+ - 0.05)	EL8302	*	*	*
91-PA-234M1	B-	M	{ 1.17	+ - 0.03	}	EL8302	*	{ 0.9987 + - 0.0002 }	EL8302
91-PA-234M1	IT	H	{ 15.	+ - 2.		EL8302	*	{ 0.0013 + - 0.0002 }	EL8302
91-PA-235	B-	M	(24.1	+ - 0.2)	SC8301	*	*	*

RECOMMENDED HEAVY RADIONUCLIDE HALF-LIVES AND BRANCHING FRACTIONS

NUCLIDE	DECAY* MODE	* UNITS	DATA	HALF-LIFE UNCERTAINTY	REFERENCE	* DATA	BRANCHING FRACTION UNCERTAINTY	REFERENCE	* COMMENT	
92-U -232	A *	Y {69.8	+ - 0.5		HO8401	*			*	
92-U -232	SF *	Y {8.	+ - 6.	}E+13 HO8401	*	(0.9	+ - 0.7)E-12	* B	
92-U -233	A *	Y {1.592	+ - 0.002)E+5 HO8401	*				*	
92-U -233	SF *	Y > 2.7e+17			HO8401	*	< 5.9E-13		*	
92-U -234	A *	Y {2.457	+ - 0.003)E+5 NI8401	*				*	
92-U -234	SF *	Y {1.42	+ - 0.08	}E+16 HO8401	*	(1.73	+ - 0.10)E-11	* B	
92-U -235	A *	Y {7.037	+ - 0.007)E+8 HO8401	*				*	
92-U -235	SF *	Y {9.8	+ - 2.8	}E+18 HO8401	*	(7.2	+ - 2.1)E-11	* B	
92-U -235M1	IT *	M {26.	+ - 2.)	NI8101	*			*	
92-U -236	A *	Y {2.342	+ - 0.003)E+7 HO8401	*				*	
92-U -236	SF *	Y {2.43	+ - 0.07)E+16 HO8401	*	(9.64	+ - 0.03)E-10	* B	
92-U -237	B- *	D {6.75	+ - 0.01)	EL7803	*			*	
92-U -238	A *	Y {4.468	+ - 0.005)E+9 HO8401	*				*	
92-U -238	SF *	Y {8.2	+ - 0.1)E+15 HO8401	*	(5.45	+ - 0.07)E-7	* B	
92-U -239	B- *	M {23.47	+ - 0.05)	NI8401	*			*	
92-U -240	B- *	H {14.1	+ - 0.2)	NI8101	*			*	
93-NP-236	T *	Y {1.15	+ - 0.12)E+5 GR8401	*				C	
93-NP-236	B- *	Y {1.3	+ - 0.3)E+6 SC8201	*	{(0.089	+ - 0.020		A	
93-NP-236	EC *	Y {1.26	+ - 0.03)E+5 SC8201	*	{(0.911	+ - 0.020	} SC8201	SC8201	
93-NP-236M1	T *	H {22.5	+ - 0.4		SC8201	*			C	
93-NP-236M1	B- *	H {46.9	+ - 1.3		SC8201	*	{(0.48	+ - 0.01		A
93-NP-236M1	EC *	H {43.3	+ - 1.1		SC8201	*	{(0.52	+ - 0.01	} SC8201	SC8201
93-NP-237	A *	Y {2.14	+ - 0.01)E+6 BR6001	*				*	
93-NP-237	SF *	Y > 1.E+18)E+6 EL7803	*	< 2.E-12			B	
93-NP-238	B- *	H {50.81	+ - 0.05)	SH8301	*			*	
93-NP-239	B- *	D {2.355	+ - 0.004)	SC8301	*			*	
93-NP-240	B- *	M {65.	+ - 3.)	NI8101	*			*	
93-NP-240M1	B- *	M {7.4	+ - 0.2		NI8101	*	{(0.9989	+ - 0.0003		
93-NP-240M1	IT *	D {4.7	+ - 1.3		NI8101	*	{(0.0011	+ - 0.0003	} NI8101	NI8101
93-NP-241	B- *	M {13.9	+ - 0.2)	EL8501	*			*	
94-PU-236	A *	Y {2.9	+ - 0.1		HO8401	*			*	
94-PU-236	SF *	Y {3.4	+ - 0.6)E+9 HO8401	*	(8.5	+ - 1.5)E-10	* B	
94-PU-237	A *	Y {2.9	+ - 0.3)E+3 EL7904	*	{(0.000042	+ - 0.000004) EL7904	* A	
94-PU-237	EC *	D {45.17	+ - 0.06) VA8201	*	0.999958			*	

RECOMMENDED HEAVY RADIONUCLIDE HALF-LIVES AND BRANCHING FRACTIONS

NUCLIDE	DECAY MODE	UNITS	DATA	HALF-LIFE UNCERTAINTY	REFERENCE	*	DATA	BRANCHING FRACTION UNCERTAINTY	REFERENCE	*	COMMENT
94-PU-238	A	*	Y {87.7	+- 0.3	}	HO8401	*				*
94-PU-238	SF	*	Y {4.7	+- 0.2)E+10	HO8401	*	(1.9	+- 0.1)E-9	*
94-PU-239	A	*	Y {2.411	+- 0.003)E+4	VA8401	*				*
94-PU-239	SF	*	Y {5.5E+15			HO8401	*	4.4E-12			B
94-PU-240	A	*	Y {6563.	+- 7.	}	VA8402	*				*
94-PU-240	SF	*	Y {1.16	+- 0.04)E+11	HO8401	*	(5.7	+- 0.1)E-8	*
94-PU-241	A	*	Y {6.00	+- 0.05)E+5	VA8301	*	(2.39	+- 0.04)E-5	*
94-PU-241	B-	*	Y {14.35	+- 0.10)	EL8501	*				*
94-PU-242	A	*	Y {3.735	+- 0.011)E+5	HO8401	*				*
94-PU-242	SF	*	Y {6.8	+- 0.1)E+10	HO8401	*	(5.49	+- 0.08)E-6	*
94-PU-243	B-	*	H {4.956	+- 0.003)	EL8101	*				*
94-PU-244	A	*	Y {8.00	+- 0.09)E+7	HO8401	*	0.998806			*
94-PU-244	SF	*	Y {6.7	+- 0.3)E+10	HO8401	*	(0.0012	+- 0.0001)	*
94-PU-245	B-	*	H {10.5	+- 0.1)	EL8102	*				*
94-PU-246	B-	*	D {10.85	+- 0.02)	SC8101	*				*
95-AM-240	A	*	Y {3.0	+- 1.1)E+3	NI8101	*	(1.9	+- 0.7)E-6	NI8101
95-AM-240	EC	*	H {50.8	+- 0.3)	NI8101	*				A
95-AM-241	A	*	Y {432.7	+- 0.5)	VA8401	*				*
95-AM-241	SF	*	Y {1.147	+- 0.024)E+14	EL8501	*	(3.77	+- 0.08)E-12	*
95-AM-242	T	*	H {16.02	+- 0.02	}	SH8501	*				C
95-AM-242	B-	*	H {19.37	+- 0.07)	SH8501	*	{0.827	+- 0.003)	SH8501
95-AM-242	EC	*	H {92.6	+- 1.6)	SH8501	*	{0.173	+- 0.003)	SH8501
95-AM-242M1	A	*	Y {3.11	+- 0.05)E+4	NI8401	*	{0.0045	+- 0.0003)	*
95-AM-242M1	SF	*	Y {8.8	+- 3.2)E+11	NI8401	*	{1.6	+- 0.6)	B
95-AM-242M1	IT	*	Y {141.	+- 2.)	NI8401	*	{0.9955	+- 0.0003)	B
95-AM-243	A	*	Y {7370.	+- 15.)	VA8401	*				*
95-AM-243	SF	*	Y {2.0	+- 0.5)E+14	HO8402	*	(3.7	+- 0.9)E-11	*
95-AM-244	B-	*	H {10.1	+- 0.1)	CH8101	*				*
95-AM-244M1	B-	*	M {26.			CH8101	*				*
95-AM-244M1	EC	*	D {50.			CH8101	*	{0.999639	+- 0.000007)	CH8101
95-AM-245	B-	*	H {2.05	+- 0.01)	EL8102	*				*
95-AM-246	B-	*	M {39.	+- 3.)	SC8101	*				*
95-AM-246M1	B-	*	M {25.0	+- 0.2)	SC8101	*				*

RECOMMENDED HEAVY RADIONUCLIDE HALF-LIVES AND BRANCHING FRACTIONS

NUCLIDE	DECAY MODE	UNITS	DATA	HALF-LIFE UNCERTAINTY	REFERENCE	*	DATA	BRANCHING FRACTION UNCERTAINTY	REFERENCE	*	COMMENT	
96-CM-241	T	D	(32.8	+- 0.2)	EL7801	*				*	
96-CM-241	A	Y	(8.98	+- 0.90)	EL7801	*	{ 0.010	+- 0.001	}	EL7801	* A
96-CM-241	EC	D	{33.1	+- 0.2)	EL7801	*	{ 0.990	+- 0.001	}	EL7801	* A
96-CM-242	A	D	{162.94	+- 0.06)	OK8401	*				*	
96-CM-242	SF	Y	(7.05	+- 0.14)E+6	OK8401	*	(6.33	+- 0.13)E-8	OK8401	*
96-CM-243	T	Y	{28.5	+- 0.2)	EL8101	*				*	
96-CM-243	A	Y	{28.6	+- 0.2)	EL8101	*	{ 0.9976	+- 0.0003)	EL8101	* C
96-CM-243	EC	Y	{1.19	+- 0.15)E+4	EL8101	*	{ 0.0024	+- 0.0003)	EL8101	* B
96-CM-244	A	Y	(18.10	+- 0.02)	CHB101	*				*	
96-CM-244	SF	Y	(1.344	+- 0.007)E+7	CHB101	*	(1.347	+- 0.007)E-6	CHB101	* B
96-CM-245	A	Y	(8500.	+- 200.)	H08402	*				*	
96-CM-246	A	Y	{4.73	+- 0.15)E+3	SC8102	*	0.9997			SC8102	*
96-CM-246	SF	Y	(1.81	+- 0.06)E+7	SC8102	*	(2.614	+- 0.005)E-4	SC8102	* B
96-CM-247	A	Y	(1.60	+- 0.05)E+7	H08402	*				*	
96-CM-248	T	Y	{3.40	+- 0.04)E+5	SC8102	*				*	
96-CM-248	A	Y	{3.71	+- 0.04)E+5	SC8102	*	{ 0.9174	+- 0.0003)	SC8102	* A
96-CM-248	SF	Y	{4.12	+- 0.05)E+6	SC8102	*	{ 0.0826	+- 0.0003)	SC8102	* B
96-CM-249	B-	M	(64.15	+- 0.03)	SC8102	*				*	
97-BK-249	A	Y	{6.04	+- 0.35)E+4	SC8102	*	(1.45	+- 0.08)E-5	SC8102	* A
97-BK-249	B-	D	{320.	+- 6.)	SC8102	*				*	
97-BK-249	SF	Y	{1.87	+- 0.09)E+9	SC8102	*	(4.69	+- 0.24)E-10	SC8102	* B
97-BK-250	B-	H	(3.217	+- 0.005)	SC8101	*				*	
98-CF-249	A	Y	{351.	+- 2.)	SC8102	*				*	
98-CF-249	SF	Y	{6.8	+- 0.5)E+10	SC8102	*	(5.2	+- 0.2)E-9	SC8102	* A
98-CF-250	A	Y	{13.08	+- 0.09)	SC8101	*	{ 0.99923	+- 0.00003)	SC8101	*
98-CF-250	SF	Y	{1.70	+- 0.07)E+4	SC8101	*	{ 0.00077	+- 0.00003)	SC8101	* A
98-CF-251	A	Y	(898.	+- 44.)	SC8102	*				*	
98-CF-252	T	Y	{2.645	+- 0.008)	SM8301	*				*	
98-CF-252	A	Y	{2.73	+- 0.01)	RE8401	*	{ 0.96908	+- 0.00008)	RE8401	* A
98-CF-252	SF	Y	{85.5	+- 0.3)	RE8401	*	{ 0.03092	+- 0.00008)	RE8401	* A
98-CF-253	T	D	{17.81	+- 0.08)	SC8102	*				*	
98-CF-253	A	Y	{15.7	+- 2.0)	SC8102	*	{ 0.0031	+- 0.0004)	SC8102	* A
98-CF-253	B-	D	{17.86	+- 0.08)	SC8102	*	{ 0.9969	+- 0.0004)	SC8102	* A
99-ES-253	A	D	(20.47	+- 0.03)	SC8102	*				*	
99-ES-253	SF	Y	(6.4	+- 0.2)E+5	SC8102	*	(8.7	+- 0.3)E-8	SC8102	* A

**RECOMMENDED GAMMA RAY ENERGIES
AND
EMISSION PROBABILITIES**

RECOMMENDED GAMMA RAY ENERGIES AND EMISSION PROBABILITIES

NUCLEUS	EMISSION PROBABILITY (PHOTONS/DECAY)			COMMENT	
	DATA	UNCERTAINTY	REFERENCE		
⁸¹ Tl-208	2.11±1.5	±0.6	LET101	0.00184	+ 0.00014
⁸¹ Tl-208	2.33±1.2	±0.5	LET101	0.00029	+ 0.00006
⁸¹ Tl-208	2.52±1.6	±0.3	LET101	0.00807	+ 0.00011
⁸¹ Tl-208	277.35	±0.06	LET101	0.00424	+ 0.00006
⁸¹ Tl-208	510.80	±0.08	LET101	0.028	+ 0.0003
⁸¹ Tl-208	583.191	±0.002	LET101	0.851	+ 0.006
⁸¹ Tl-208	722.3	±0.01	LET101	0.01289	+ 0.0003
⁸¹ Tl-208	763.13	±0.08	LET101	0.0189	+ 0.0006
⁸¹ Tl-208	860.37	±0.08	LET101	0.125	+ 0.0002
⁸¹ Tl-208	1093.1	±0.1	LET101	0.045	+ 0.0006
⁸¹ Tl-208	2614.6	±0.1	LET101	0.9883	+ 0.0017
⁸² Pb-212	115.176	±0.007	LET901	0.00650	+ 0.0002
⁸² Pb-212	176.66	±0.05	LET901	0.00502	+ 0.0006
⁸² Pb-212	238.632	±0.002	LET901	0.035	+ 0.0004
⁸² Pb-212	300.087	±0.010	LET901	0.0325	+ 0.0004
⁸³ S-212	39.958	±0.004	LET901	0.0103	+ 0.0005
⁸³ S-212	327.96	±0.00	LET901	0.00320	+ 0.0008
⁸³ S-212	452.83	±0.10	LET901	0.0036	+ 0.0003
⁸³ S-212	727.320	±0.09	LET901	0.0094	+ 0.0003
⁸³ S-212	785.42	±0.06	LET901	0.0130	+ 0.0004
⁸³ S-212	893.408	±0.05	LET901	0.01381	+ 0.0014
⁸³ S-212	1076.62	±0.10	LET901	0.0574	+ 0.0005
⁸³ S-212	1620.735	±0.10	LET901	0.0149	+ 0.0006
⁸⁶ Rn-220	549.7	±0.5	EL7602	0.00114	+ 0.00017
⁸⁸ Ra-224	241.0	±0.1	EL7603	0.00405	+ 0.0004
⁹⁰ Tt-228	84.40	±0.05	HCT60	0.0122	+ 0.0003
⁹⁰ Tt-228	131.62	±0.20	HCT60	0.01127	+ 0.0007
⁹⁰ Tt-228	166.37	±0.20	HCT60	0.01017	+ 0.0004
⁹⁰ Tt-228	215.94	±0.08	HCT60	0.0026	+ 0.0001
⁹⁰ Tt-229	31.4	±0.2	RE8401	0.0245	+ 0.0006
⁹⁰ Tt-229	43.89	±0.01	RE8401	0.00199	+ 0.0006
⁹⁰ Tt-229	59.473	±0.01	RE8401	0.00762	+ 0.0007
⁹⁰ Tt-229	107.11	±0.01	RE8401	0.01312	+ 0.0007
⁹⁰ Tt-229	123.19	±0.05	RE8401	0.00465	+ 0.0008
⁹⁰ Tt-229	131.93	±0.01	RE8401	0.00809	+ 0.0003
⁹⁰ Tt-229	136.90	±0.04	RE8401	0.00128	+ 0.0003
⁹⁰ Tt-229	142.96	±0.01	RE8401	0.00197	+ 0.0003
⁹⁰ Tt-229	148.96	±0.02	RE8401	0.00149	+ 0.0020
⁹⁰ Tt-229	156.41	±0.01	RE8401	0.00327	+ 0.0006
⁹⁰ Tt-229	179.76	±0.01	RE8401	0.01171	+ 0.0016
⁹⁰ Tt-229	193.509	±0.04	RE8401	0.00401	+ 0.0006
⁹⁰ Tt-229	204.509	±0.01	RE8401	0.01237	+ 0.0008
⁹⁰ Tt-229	210.8	±0.1	RE8401	0.00215	+ 0.0004
⁹⁰ Tt-229	67.672	±0.002	RE8401	0.00451	+ 0.0006
⁹⁰ Tt-230	143.872	±0.004	RE8401	0.00595	+ 0.0009
⁹⁰ Tt-230	186.573	±0.004	RE8401	0.0318	+ 0.0004
⁹⁰ Tt-230	255.729	±0.010	RE8401	0.000111	+ 0.00012
⁹⁰ Tt-231	25.64	±0.02	SC8301	0.146	+ 0.003
⁹⁰ Tt-231	58.770	±0.03	SC8301	0.0050	+ 0.0005
⁹⁰ Tt-231	72.51	±0.03	SC8301	0.0026	+ 0.0002
⁹⁰ Tt-231	81.228	±0.03	SC8301	0.0085	+ 0.0003
⁹⁰ Tt-231	82.087	±0.03	SC8301	0.0037	+ 0.0002
⁹⁰ Tt-231	84.24	±0.03	SC8301	0.0871	+ 0.010
⁹⁰ Tt-231	102.270	±0.03	SC8301	0.004	+ 0.002
⁹⁰ Tt-231	124.914	±0.07	SC8301	0.00605	+ 0.0003
⁹⁰ Tt-231	134.03	±0.12	SC8301	0.00025	+ 0.0005
⁹⁰ Tt-231	135.664	±0.1	SC8301	0.00084	+ 0.0007
⁹⁰ Tt-231	217.94	±0.03	SC8301	0.00037	+ 0.0001
⁹⁰ Tt-232	59.	±1.	SC8201	0.0019	+ 0.0043
⁹⁰ Tt-232	126.	±1.	SC8201	0.00043	+ 0.0001
⁹⁰ Tt-233	29.6	±0.94	EL7802	0.055	+ 0.005
⁹⁰ Tt-233	86.50	±0.95	EL7802	0.037	+ 0.003
⁹⁰ Tt-233	98.08	±0.95	EL7802	0.0039	+ 0.002
⁹⁰ Tt-233	124.55	±0.97	EL7802	0.023	+ 0.003
⁹⁰ Tt-233	149.7	±0.97	EL7802	0.0023	+ 0.003
⁹⁰ Tt-233	194.54	±0.98	EL7802	0.0015	+ 0.001
⁹⁰ Tt-233	244.90	±0.98	EL7802	0.0017	+ 0.001
⁹⁰ Tt-233	347.92	±0.98	EL7802	0.0016	+ 0.0016
⁹⁰ Tt-233	459.26	±0.98	EL7802	0.0018	+ 0.0018
⁹⁰ Tt-233	569.8	±0.98	EL7802	0.0012	+ 0.0014
⁹⁰ Tt-233	690.44	±0.98	EL7802	0.0014	+ 0.0014

RECOMMENDED GAMMA RAY ENERGIES AND EMISSION PROBABILITIES

RECOMMENDED DRIVING DISTANCES AND TIME SCALES FOR ROAD TRIPS

NUCLEIDE	DATA			ENERGY UNCERTAINTY			REFERENCE			EMISSION PROBABILITY			PHOTON DECAY			COMMENT		
	MEV	KEV	KEV	MEV	KEV	KEV	MEV	KEV	KEV	MEV	KEV	KEV	MEV	KEV	KEV	MEV	KEV	KEV
92-U	92-U	-237		26.348	33.195		0.010	EL7803		0.0224	+-	0.0033	EL7803		0.00112	+-	0.0048	EL7803
92-U	92-U	-237		53.011	55.543		0.011	EL7803		0.0020	+-	0.0049	EL7803		0.00112	+-	0.0048	EL7803
92-U	92-U	-237		59.015	64.833		0.015	EL7803		0.0020	+-	0.0049	EL7803		0.00112	+-	0.0048	EL7803
92-U	92-U	-237		164.61	208.005		0.023	EL7803		0.0131	+-	0.005	EL7803		0.00205	+-	0.005	EL7803
92-U	92-U	-237		221.80	224.40		0.023	EL7803		0.0131	+-	0.005	EL7803		0.00205	+-	0.005	EL7803
92-U	92-U	-237		234.40	236.54		0.024	EL7803		0.0131	+-	0.005	EL7803		0.00205	+-	0.005	EL7803
92-U	92-U	-237		332.36	335.38		0.024	EL7803		0.0131	+-	0.005	EL7803		0.00205	+-	0.005	EL7803
92-U	92-U	-237		335.38	370.94		0.024	EL7803		0.0131	+-	0.005	EL7803		0.00205	+-	0.005	EL7803
92-U	92-U	-237		370.94	49.55		0.024	EL7803		0.0131	+-	0.005	EL7803		0.00205	+-	0.005	EL7803
92-U	92-U	-238		49.55	+-	0.06	SH8301		0.0064	+-	0.0008	SH8301		0.00010	+-	0.00010	SH8301	
92-U	92-U	-239		31.131	43.533		0.002	N18401		0.0068	+-	0.00010	N18401		0.00111	+-	0.00111	N18401
92-U	92-U	-239		43.533	74.664		0.002	N18401		0.0016	+-	0.00010	N18401		0.00111	+-	0.00111	N18401
92-U	92-U	-239		74.664	86.72		0.001	N18401		0.481	+-	0.0010	N18401		0.00052	+-	0.00056	N18401
92-U	92-U	-239		86.72	117.72		0.001	N18401		0.0013	+-	0.0004	N18401		0.00052	+-	0.00056	N18401
92-U	92-U	-239		117.72	+-	0.1	SC8201		0.005	+-	0.001	SC8201		0.00052	+-	0.00056	SC8201	
92-U	92-U	-236		100.	104.		0.6	SC8201		0.005	+-	0.001	SC8201		0.00052	+-	0.00056	SC8201
92-U	92-U	-236		104.	160.2		0.6	SC8201		0.005	+-	0.001	SC8201		0.00052	+-	0.00056	SC8201
93-NP	93-NP	-236		44.6	53.8		0.15	SC8201		0.00110	+-	0.00002	SC8201		0.00052	+-	0.00056	SC8201
93-NP	93-NP	-236		53.8	68.2		0.15	SC8201		0.00110	+-	0.00002	SC8201		0.00052	+-	0.00056	SC8201
93-NP	93-NP	-236		68.2	68.7		0.15	SC8201		0.00110	+-	0.00002	SC8201		0.00052	+-	0.00056	SC8201
93-NP	93-NP	-237		29.37	46.9		0.024	SC8201		0.153	+-	0.003	SC8201		0.00052	+-	0.00056	SC8201
93-NP	93-NP	-237		46.9	59.03		0.024	SC8201		0.0016	+-	0.0006	SC8201		0.00052	+-	0.00056	SC8201
93-NP	93-NP	-237		59.03	86.75		0.024	SC8201		0.0016	+-	0.0006	SC8201		0.00052	+-	0.00056	SC8201
93-NP	93-NP	-237		86.75	131.981		0.024	SC8201		0.0016	+-	0.0006	SC8201		0.00052	+-	0.00056	SC8201
93-NP	93-NP	-237		131.981	131.981		0.024	SC8201		0.0016	+-	0.0006	SC8201		0.00052	+-	0.00056	SC8201
93-NP	93-NP	-237		131.981	143.208		0.024	SC8201		0.0016	+-	0.0006	SC8201		0.00052	+-	0.00056	SC8201
93-NP	93-NP	-237		143.208	151.379		0.024	SC8201		0.0016	+-	0.0006	SC8201		0.00052	+-	0.00056	SC8201
93-NP	93-NP	-237		151.379	168.72		0.024	SC8201		0.0016	+-	0.0006	SC8201		0.00052	+-	0.00056	SC8201
93-NP	93-NP	-237		168.72	195.096		0.024	SC8201		0.0016	+-	0.0006	SC8201		0.00052	+-	0.00056	SC8201
93-NP	93-NP	-237		195.096	212.415		0.024	SC8201		0.0016	+-	0.0006	SC8201		0.00052	+-	0.00056	SC8201
93-NP	93-NP	-237		212.415	236.0		0.024	RE8401		0.0059	+-	0.001	RE8401		0.00151	+-	0.0002	RE8401
93-NP	93-NP	-237		236.0	617.36		0.024	RE8401		0.0059	+-	0.001	RE8401		0.00151	+-	0.0002	RE8401
93-NP	93-NP	-238		617.36	650.13		0.024	RE8401		0.0059	+-	0.001	RE8401		0.00151	+-	0.0002	RE8401
93-NP	93-NP	-238		650.13	688.69		0.024	RE8401		0.0059	+-	0.001	RE8401		0.00151	+-	0.0002	RE8401
93-NP	93-NP	-238		688.69	918.69		0.024	RE8401		0.0059	+-	0.001	RE8401		0.00151	+-	0.0002	RE8401
93-NP	93-NP	-238		918.69	936.61		0.024	RE8401		0.0059	+-	0.001	RE8401		0.00151	+-	0.0002	RE8401
93-NP	93-NP	-238		936.61	941.38		0.024	RE8401		0.0059	+-	0.001	RE8401		0.00151	+-	0.0002	RE8401
93-NP	93-NP	-238		941.38	984.45		0.024	RE8401		0.0059	+-	0.001	RE8401		0.00151	+-	0.0002	RE8401
93-NP	93-NP	-238		984.45	1026.54		0.024	RE8401		0.0059	+-	0.001	RE8401		0.00151	+-	0.0002	RE8401
93-NP	93-NP	-238		1026.54	1026.54		0.024	RE8401		0.0059	+-	0.001	RE8401		0.00151	+-	0.0002	RE8401
93-NP	93-NP	-239		1026.54	106.123		0.024	SC8301		0.0129	+-	0.002	SC8301		0.0016	+-	0.001	SC8301
93-NP	93-NP	-239		106.123	118.719		0.024	SC8301		0.0129	+-	0.002	SC8301		0.0016	+-	0.001	SC8301
93-NP	93-NP	-239		118.719	121.723		0.024	SC8301		0.0129	+-	0.002	SC8301		0.0016	+-	0.001	SC8301
93-NP	93-NP	-239		121.723	126.422		0.024	SC8301		0.0129	+-	0.002	SC8301		0.0016	+-	0.001	SC8301
93-NP	93-NP	-239		126.422	228.183		0.024	SC8301		0.0129	+-	0.002	SC8301		0.0016	+-	0.001	SC8301
93-NP	93-NP	-239		228.183	254.411		0.024	SC8301		0.0129	+-	0.002	SC8301		0.0016	+-	0.001	SC8301
93-NP	93-NP	-239		254.411	272.84		0.024	SC8301		0.0129	+-	0.002	SC8301		0.0016	+-	0.001	SC8301
93-NP	93-NP	-239		272.84	277.599		0.024	SC8301		0.0129	+-	0.002	SC8301		0.0016	+-	0.001	SC8301
93-NP	93-NP	-239		277.599	285.460		0.024	SC8301		0.0129	+-	0.002	SC8301		0.0016	+-	0.001	SC8301
93-NP	93-NP	-239		285.460	315.880		0.024	SC8301		0.0129	+-	0.002	SC8301		0.0016	+-	0.001	SC8301
93-NP	93-NP	-239		315.880	334.310		0.024	SC8301		0.0129	+-	0.002	SC8301		0.0016	+-	0.001	SC8301
94-PU	94-PU	-236		47.6	49.7		0.002	SC8201		0.0069	+-	0.002	SC8201		0.00112	+-	0.0048	SC8201
94-PU	94-PU	-236		49.7	109.0		0.002	SC8201		0.0069	+-	0.002	SC8201		0.00112	+-	0.0048	SC8201
94-PU	94-PU	-236		109.0	165.6		0.002	SC8201		0.0069	+-	0.002	SC8201		0.00112	+-	0.0048	SC8201
94-PU	94-PU	-236		165.6	215.6		0.002	SC8201		0.0069	+-	0.002	SC8201		0.00112	+-	0.0048	SC8201
94-PU	94-PU	-236		215.6	263.2		0.002	SC8201		0.0069	+-	0.002	SC8201		0.00112	+-	0.0048	SC8201
94-PU	94-PU	-236		263.2	563.2		0.002	SC8201		0.0069	+-	0.002	SC8201		0.00112	+-	0.0048	SC8201

RECOMMENDED GAMMA RAY ENERGIES AND EMISSION PROBABILITIES

NUCLIDE	ENERGY (KEV)		EMISSION PROBABILITY (PHOTONS/DECAY)				
	DATA	UNCERTAINTY	REFERENCE	DATA	UNCERTAINTY	REFERENCE	COMMENT
95-AM-241	* 26.345	+- 0.001	EL8501	* (0.024	+- 0.001)	BA8401 *
95-AM-241	* 33.205	+- 0.010	EL8501	* (0.0012	+- 0.0001)	BA8401 *
95-AM-241	* 43.423	+- 0.010	EL8501	* (0.0071	+- 0.00010)	BA8401 *
95-AM-241	* 59.001	+- 0.001	EL8501	* (0.359	+- 0.004)	BA8401 *
95-AM-241	* 98.97	+- 0.02	EL8501	* (0.00020	+- 0.00001)	BA8401 *
95-AM-241	* 102.98	+- 0.02	EL8501	* (0.000196	+- 0.000010)	BA8401 *
95-AM-241	* 123.01	+- 0.02	EL8501	* (1.	+- 1.	E-6	BA8401 *
95-AM-241	* 125.30	+- 0.02	EL8501	* (41.	+- 1.	E-6	BA8401 *
95-AM-241	* 146.55	+- 0.03	EL8501	* (4.6	+- 0.2	E-6	BA8401 *
95-AM-241	* 164.69	+- 0.04	EL8501	* (0.72	+- 0.15	E-6	BA8401 *
95-AM-241	* 169.56	+- 0.03	EL8501	* (1.72	+- 0.15	E-6	BA8401 *
95-AM-241	* 208.01	+- 0.03	EL8501	* (7.9	+- 0.2	E-6	BA8401 *
95-AM-241	* 322.52	+- 0.03	EL8501	* (1.5	+- 0.1	E-6	BA8401 *
95-AM-241	* 332.35	+- 0.03	EL8501	* (1.5	+- 0.1	E-6	BA8401 *
95-AM-241	* 335.37	+- 0.03	EL8501	* (4.95	+- 0.10	E-6	BA8401 *
95-AM-241	* 368.65	+- 0.03	EL8501	* (2.2	+- 0.2	E-6	BA8401 *
95-AM-241	* 662.40	+- 0.02	EL8501	* (3.6	+- 0.2	E-6	BA8401 *
95-AM-242	* 42.13	+- 0.01	SH8501	* (0.456	+- 0.002)	SH8501 *
95-AM-242	* 44.52	+- 0.02	SH8501	* (0.106	+- 0.004)	SH8501 *
95-AM-242M1*	* 49.367	+- 0.004	SH8501	* (0.0019	+- 0.0001)	SH8501 *
95-AM-242M1*	* 66.898	+- 0.020	SH8501	* (0.00021	+- 0.00001)	SH8501 *
95-AM-242M1*	* 67.9		SH8501	* (0.00073	+- 0.00002)	SH8501 *
95-AM-242M1*	* 86.68	+- 0.03	SH8501	* (0.0004	+- 0.0001)	SH8501 *
95-AM-242M1*	* 92.5		SH8501	* (0.00004	+- 0.00001)	SH8501 *
95-AM-242M1*	* 109.6		SH8501	* (0.00024	+- 0.00001)	SH8501 *
95-AM-242M1*	* 121.8		SH8501	* (0.00003	+- 0.00001)	SH8501 *
95-AM-242M1*	* 135.17	+- 0.06	SH8501	* (0.00060	+- 0.00002)	SH8501 *
95-AM-242M1*	* 136.5		SH8501	* (0.0011	+- 0.00001)	SH8501 *
95-AM-242M1*	* 152.75	+- 0.06	SH8501	* (0.00096	+- 0.00003)	SH8501 *
95-AM-242M1*	* 153.84	+- 0.06	SH8501	* (0.00044	+- 0.00003)	SH8501 *
95-AM-242M1*	* 163.24	+- 0.04	SH8501	* (0.00046	+- 0.00001)	SH8501 *
95-AM-242M1*	* 163.24	+- 0.04	SH8501	* (0.00024	+- 0.00001)	SH8501 *
95-AM-243	* 43.35	+- 0.15	EL8101	* (0.0593	+- 0.0013)	VA8401 *
95-AM-243	* 74.67	+- 0.15	EL8101	* (0.682	+- 0.014)	VA8401 *
95-AM-243	* 86.79	+- 0.15	EL8101	* (0.00338	+- 0.00007)	VA8401 *
95-AM-243	* 142.18	+- 0.15	EL8101	* (0.0012	+- 0.0001)	VA8401 *
95-AM-244	* 42.9	+- 0.1	CH8101	* 0.00093			CH8101 *
95-AM-244	* 99.4	+- 0.1	CH8101	* 0.0483			CH8101 *
95-AM-244	* 154.	+- 1.	CH8101	* 0.180			CH8101 *
95-AM-244	* 206.	+- 4.	CH8101	* 0.0026			CH8101 *
95-AM-244	* 540.	+- 2.	CH8101	* 0.004			CH8101 *
95-AM-244	* 746.	+- 1.	CH8101	* 0.655			CH8101 *
95-AM-244	* 900.	+- 1.	CH8101	* 0.275			CH8101 *
96-CM-242	* 44.08	+- 0.03	SH8501	* (0.000325	+- 0.000012)	SH8501 *
96-CM-242	* 101.93	+- 0.04	SH8501	* (0.00025	+- 0.00004)	SH8501 *
96-CM-242	* 157.42	+- 0.05	SH8501	* (0.00014	+- 0.00002)	SH8501 *
96-CM-242	* 561.02	+- 0.10	SH8501	* (0.000015	+- 0.000004)	SH8501 *
96-CM-242	* 605.04	+- 0.10	SH8501	* (0.000011	+- 0.000003)	SH8501 *
96-CM-243	* 44.663	+- 0.005	EL8101	* (0.0012	+- 0.0002)	EL8101 *
96-CM-243	* 209.753	+- 0.002	EL8101	* (0.0329	+- 0.0010)	EL8101 *
96-CM-243	* 228.184	+- 0.002	EL8101	* (0.106	+- 0.003)	EL8101 *
96-CM-243	* 254.41	+- 0.08	EL8101	* (0.0011	+- 0.0001)	EL8101 *
96-CM-243	* 272.87	+- 0.09	EL8101	* (0.0008	+- 0.0001)	EL8101 *
96-CM-243	* 277.599	+- 0.002	EL8101	* (0.140	+- 0.004)	EL8101 *
96-CM-243	* 285.460	+- 0.002	EL8101	* (0.0073	+- 0.0002)	EL8101 *
96-CM-243	* 311.7	+- 0.2	EL8101	* (0.0017	+- 0.00002)	EL8101 *
96-CM-243	* 315.880	+- 0.003	EL8101	* (0.00018	+- 0.00002)	EL8101 *
96-CM-243	* 322.3	+- 0.2	EL8101	* (0.00007	+- 0.00001)	EL8101 *
96-CM-243	* 334.310	+- 0.003	EL8101	* (0.00024	+- 0.00002)	EL8101 *
96-CM-244	* 42.824	+- 0.008	CH8101	* (0.000248	+- 0.000006)	LA8401 *
96-CM-244	* 98.860	+- 0.013	CH8101	* (0.000011	+- 0.000001)	LA8401 *
96-CM-244	* 152.630	+- 0.020	CH8101	* 0.000099			LA8401 *
96-CM-245	* 41.95	+- 0.03	EL8501	* (0.0035	+- 0.0004)	EL8501 *
96-CM-245	* 53.74	+- 0.06	EL8501	* (0.0067	+- 0.0009)	EL8501 *
96-CM-245	* 56.81	+- 0.06	EL8501	* (0.0036	+- 0.0005)	EL8501 *
96-CM-245	* 68.36	+- 0.06	EL8501	* (0.0011	+- 0.0005)	EL8501 *
96-CM-245	* 70.25	+- 0.06	EL8501	* (0.00150	+- 0.00020)	EL8501 *
96-CM-245	* 132.99	+- 0.03	EL8501	* (0.0277	+- 0.0035)	EL8501 *
96-CM-245	* 136.06	+- 0.06	EL8501	* (0.0012	+- 0.00015)	EL8501 *
96-CM-245	* 174.94	+- 0.04	EL8501	* (0.095	+- 0.007)	EL8501 *
96-CM-245	* 189.82	+- 0.06	EL8501	* (0.0019	+- 0.0003)	EL8501 *
96-CM-246	* 44.545	+- 0.009	SC8101	* 0.000276			SC8101 *
98-CF-250	* 42.852	+- 0.005	SC8101	* 0.00014			SC8101 *
98-CF-252	* 43.399	+- 0.025	SC8101	* (0.000148	+- 0.000009)	SC8101 *
98-CF-252	* 100.2		SC8101	* 0.00013			SC8101 *
98-CF-252	* 160.	+- 15.	SC8101	* 0.000019			SC8101 *

**RECOMMENDED ALPHA RADIATION ENERGIES
AND
EMISSION PROBABILITIES**

RECOMMENDED ALPHA RADIATION ENERGIES AND EMISSION PROBABILITIES

NUCLEIDE	DATA SOURCE	ENERGY (KEV)	UNCERTAINTY	REFERENCE	EMISSION PROBABILITY	(ALPHAS/DECAY)	REFERENCE	COMMENT
90-TH-228	*	530.0	54	+- 0.15	H07601	+- 0.267	+- 0.002	H07601
90-TH-228	*	5423.3	33	+- 0.22	H07601	+- 0.727	+- 0.010	H07601
90-TH-229	*	4777.8	6	+- 1.2	T07601	+- 0.027	+- 0.008	T07601
90-TH-229	*	4814.6	6	+- 1.2	T07601	+- 0.048	+- 0.008	T07601
90-TH-229	*	4857.3	3	+- 1.2	T07601	+- 0.056	+- 0.008	T07601
90-TH-229	*	4901.0	5	+- 1.2	T07601	+- 0.062	+- 0.008	T07601
90-TH-229	*	4967.5	5	+- 1.2	T07601	+- 0.0597	+- 0.008	T07601
90-TH-229	*	4978.5	5	+- 1.2	T07601	+- 0.0317	+- 0.008	T07601
90-TH-229	*	5050.0	2	+- 1.2	T07601	+- 0.0512	+- 0.008	T07601
90-TH-229	*	5052.7	1	+- 1.2	T07601	+- 0.016	+- 0.008	T07601
90-TH-230	*	4478.4	4	+- 1.6	EL8301	+- 0.0030	+- 0.0015	EL8301
90-TH-230	*	4479.8	4	+- 1.6	EL8301	+- 0.0012	+- 0.0015	EL8301
90-TH-230	*	4631.2	4	+- 1.5	EL8301	+- 0.234	+- 0.001	EL8301
90-TH-230	*	4687.7	7	+- 1.5	EL8301	+- 0.763	+- 0.003	EL8301
90-TH-232	*	3839.0	2	+- 3.0	SC8201	+- 0.0020	+- 0.0008	SC8201
90-TH-232	*	3934.7	3	+- 3.0	SC8201	+- 0.0013	+- 0.0008	SC8201
90-TH-232	*	4013.4	3	+- 3.0	SC8201	+- 0.0017	+- 0.0008	SC8201
91-PA-231	*	4516.0	4	+- 1.1	N18401	+- 0.00021	+- 0.00003	N18401
91-PA-231	*	4557.4	4	+- 1.1	N18401	+- 0.000024	+- 0.000005	N18401
91-PA-231	*	4631.4	4	+- 1.1	N18401	+- 0.00020	+- 0.00003	N18401
91-PA-231	*	4650.7	4	+- 1.1	N18401	+- 0.000572	+- 0.00003	N18401
91-PA-231	*	4676.6	4	+- 1.1	N18401	+- 0.000005	+- 0.00003	N18401
91-PA-231	*	4704.4	4	+- 1.1	N18401	+- 0.000007	+- 0.00003	N18401
91-PA-231	*	4714.7	4	+- 1.1	N18401	+- 0.000007	+- 0.00003	N18401
91-PA-231	*	4747.4	4	+- 1.1	N18401	+- 0.000007	+- 0.00003	N18401
91-PA-231	*	4774.4	4	+- 1.1	N18401	+- 0.000007	+- 0.00003	N18401
91-PA-231	*	4805.1	4	+- 1.1	N18401	+- 0.000007	+- 0.00003	N18401
91-PA-231	*	4823.1	4	+- 1.1	N18401	+- 0.000007	+- 0.00003	N18401
91-PA-231	*	4856.6	4	+- 1.1	N18401	+- 0.000007	+- 0.00003	N18401
91-PA-231	*	4896.0	4	+- 1.1	N18401	+- 0.000007	+- 0.00003	N18401
91-PA-231	*	4936.6	4	+- 1.1	N18401	+- 0.000007	+- 0.00003	N18401
91-PA-231	*	4974.9	4	+- 1.1	N18401	+- 0.000007	+- 0.00003	N18401
92-U-232	*	519.0	2	+- 0.09	SC8201	+- 0.0030	+- 0.0002	SC8201
92-U-232	*	5239.4	1	+- 0.09	SC8201	+- 0.0037	+- 0.0002	SC8201
92-U-232	*	5300.17	14	+- 0.09	SC8201	+- 0.0060	+- 0.0002	SC8201
92-U-233	*	4779.5	5	+- 1.2	EL77602	+- 0.0095	+- 0.0005	RE8401
92-U-233	*	4773.5	5	+- 1.2	EL77602	+- 0.0095	+- 0.0005	RE8401
92-U-233	*	4823.2	5	+- 1.2	EL77602	+- 0.0095	+- 0.0005	RE8401
92-U-233	*	4854.2	5	+- 1.2	EL77602	+- 0.0095	+- 0.0005	RE8401
92-U-234	*	4613.8	9	+- 0.9	N18401	+- 0.00199	+- 0.0002	N18401
92-U-234	*	4672.6	9	+- 0.9	N18401	+- 0.00427	+- 0.0002	N18401
92-U-234	*	4734.9	9	+- 0.9	N18401	+- 0.0137	+- 0.0002	N18401
92-U-235	*	4150.0	5	+- 5.0	SC8201	+- 0.0019	+- 0.0002	SC8201
92-U-235	*	4235.0	5	+- 5.0	SC8201	+- 0.0021	+- 0.0002	SC8201
92-U-235	*	4321.8	5	+- 5.0	SC8201	+- 0.0024	+- 0.0002	SC8201
92-U-235	*	4336.6	5	+- 5.0	SC8201	+- 0.0024	+- 0.0002	SC8201
92-U-235	*	4356.4	6	+- 5.0	SC8201	+- 0.0024	+- 0.0002	SC8201
92-U-235	*	4400.6	6	+- 5.0	SC8201	+- 0.0024	+- 0.0002	SC8201
92-U-235	*	4414.4	6	+- 5.0	SC8201	+- 0.0024	+- 0.0002	SC8201
92-U-235	*	4435.4	6	+- 5.0	SC8201	+- 0.0024	+- 0.0002	SC8201
92-U-235	*	4466.1	5	+- 5.0	SC8201	+- 0.0024	+- 0.0002	SC8201
92-U-235	*	4502.5	5	+- 5.0	SC8201	+- 0.0024	+- 0.0002	SC8201
92-U-235	*	4556.7	2	+- 2.0	SC8201	+- 0.0017	+- 0.0002	SC8201
92-U-235	*	4588.7	2	+- 2.0	SC8201	+- 0.0017	+- 0.0002	SC8201
92-U-235	*	4629.5	2	+- 2.0	SC8201	+- 0.0017	+- 0.0002	SC8201
92-U-236	*	4326.8	8	+- 8.0	SC8201	+- 0.0026	+- 0.0001	SC8201
92-U-236	*	4445.4	3	+- 8.0	SC8201	+- 0.0029	+- 0.0001	SC8201
92-U-236	*	4484.4	3	+- 8.0	SC8201	+- 0.0038	+- 0.0001	SC8201
92-U-238	*	4039.4	5	+- 5.0	SH8301	+- 0.0023	+- 0.0007	SH8301
92-U-238	*	4147.9	5	+- 5.0	SH8301	+- 0.0023	+- 0.0007	SH8301
92-U-238	*	4196.5	5	+- 5.0	SH8301	+- 0.0023	+- 0.0007	SH8301
93-NP-237	*	4581.7	2	+- 2.0	EL7801	+- 0.0040	+- 0.0004	EL7801
93-NP-237	*	4588.7	2	+- 2.0	EL7801	+- 0.0034	+- 0.0004	EL7801
93-NP-237	*	4629.5	2	+- 2.0	EL7801	+- 0.0018	+- 0.0004	EL7801
93-NP-237	*	4666.1	2	+- 2.0	EL7801	+- 0.0018	+- 0.0004	EL7801
93-NP-237	*	4711.1	1.5	+- 1.5	EL7801	+- 0.0018	+- 0.0004	EL7801
93-NP-237	*	4771.1	1.5	+- 1.5	EL7801	+- 0.0018	+- 0.0004	EL7801
93-NP-237	*	4776.1	2.0	+- 2.0	EL7801	+- 0.0018	+- 0.0004	EL7801
93-NP-237	*	4803.4	2.0	+- 2.0	EL7801	+- 0.0018	+- 0.0004	EL7801
93-NP-237	*	4817.7	2.0	+- 2.0	EL7801	+- 0.0018	+- 0.0004	EL7801
93-NP-237	*	4837.4	2.0	+- 2.0	EL7801	+- 0.0018	+- 0.0004	EL7801
93-NP-237	*	4873.1	2.0	+- 2.0	EL7801	+- 0.0018	+- 0.0004	EL7801
94-PU-236	*	564.1	1.0	+- 1.0	SC8201	+- 0.0018	+- 0.0009	SC8201
94-PU-236	*	572.0	1.0	+- 1.0	SC8201	+- 0.0018	+- 0.0009	SC8201
94-PU-236	*	5765.7	1.0	+- 1.0	SC8201	+- 0.0018	+- 0.0009	SC8201
94-PU-238	*	5209.6	0.3	+- 0.3	SH8301	+- 0.0003	+- 0.0001	LA801
94-PU-238	*	5307.7	0.3	+- 0.3	SH8301	+- 0.0003	+- 0.0001	LA801
94-PU-238	*	5466.5	0.4	+- 0.4	SH8301	+- 0.0012	+- 0.0002	LA801
94-PU-238	*	5469.2	0.4	+- 0.4	SH8301	+- 0.0012	+- 0.0002	LA801

RECOMMENDED ALPHABETIC LIST OF ENERGIES AND EMISSION PROBABILITIES

RECOMMENDED ALPHA RADIATION ENERGIES AND EMISSION PROBABILITIES

NUCLIDE	ENERGY (KEV)		EMISSION PROBABILITY (ALPHAS/DECAY)*			COMMENT
	DATA	UNCERTAINTY	REFERENCE	DATA	UNCERTAINTY	
96-CM-244	* 5515.5		LA8401	* 0.000035		LA8401 *
96-CM-244	* 5665.7		LA8401	* 0.000163	+- 0.000007	LA8401 *
96-CM-244	* 5762.84	+- 0.03	CH8101	* 0.2300	+- 0.0005	LA8401 *
96-CM-244	* 5804.96	+- 0.05	CH8101	* 0.7698	+- 0.0005	LA8401 *
96-CM-245	* 5234.6	+- 1.2	EL8501	* 0.0032		EL8501 *
96-CM-245	* 5273.0	+- 1.2	EL8501	* 0.0007		EL8501 *
96-CM-245	* 5303.8	+- 1.0	EL8501	* 0.050	+- 0.001	EL8501 *
96-CM-245	* 5362.0	+- 0.7	EL8501	* 0.932	+- 0.005	EL8501 *
96-CM-245	* 5436.3	+- 0.5	EL8501	* 0.0004	-	EL8501 *
96-CM-245	* 5488.7	+- 0.5	EL8501	* 0.0083		EL8501 *
96-CM-245	* 5529.2	+- 0.5	EL8501	* 0.0058		EL8501 *
96-CM-246	* 5343.	+- 3.	SC8101	* 0.21	+- 0.01	SC8101 *
96-CM-246	* 5386.	+- 3.	SC8101	* 0.79	+- 0.01	SC8101 *
96-CM-248	* 4931.1	+- 0.5	SC8101	* 0.00070	+- 0.00011	SC8101 *
96-CM-248	* 5034.93	+- 0.25	SC8101	* 0.1654	+- 0.0017	SC8101 *
96-CM-248	* 5078.45	+- 0.25	SC8101	* 0.7514	+- 0.0037	SC8101 *
98-CF-250	* 5890.0		SC8101	* 0.003		SC8101 *
98-CF-250	* 5989.1	+- 0.6	SC8101	* 0.151	+- 0.012	SC8101 *
98-CF-250	* 6030.8	+- 0.6	SC8101	* 0.845	+- 0.012	SC8101 *
98-CF-252	* 5976.6		SC8101	* 0.0023	+- 0.0004	SC8101 *
98-CF-252	* 6075.7	+- 0.5	SC8101	* 0.152	+- 0.003	SC8101 *
98-CF-252	* 6118.3	+- 0.5	SC8101	* 0.816	+- 0.003	SC8101 *

REFERENCES

- BA8401 BAMBYNEK,W., work performed in context of the IAEA Coordinated Research Programme on the Measurement and Evaluation of Transactinium Isotope Decay Data (1978-1985).
- BR6001 BRAUER,F.P. et al., J.Inorg.Nucl.Chem.12(1960)234.
- CH8101 CHUKREEV,F.E., et al., Mass Chain Evaluation A=244. Nucl.Data Sheets 34(1981)619.
- CO8401 COURSOL,N., work performed in context of the IAEA Coordinated Research Programme on the Measurement and Evaluation of Transactinium Isotope Decay Data (1978-1985).
- CO8402 COURSOL,N., VANINBROUKX,R., work performed in context of the IAEA Coordinated Research Programme on the Measurement and Evaluation of Transactinium Isotope Decay Data (1978-1985).
- DE8001 DESPRES,M., Determination of Plutonium Isotopic Ratios and Total Concentration by Gamma Ray Spectrometry, CEA/CEN Saclay, Rep.CEA-R-5065(1980).
- EL7602 ELLIS,Y.A., Mass Chain Evaluation A=220. Nucl.Data Sheets 17(1976)341.
- EL7603 ELLIS,Y.A., Mass Chain Evaluation A=224. Nucl.Data Sheets 17(1976)351.
- EL7801 ELLIS,Y.A., Mass Chain Evaluation A=241. Nucl.Data Sheets 23(1978)123.
- EL7802 ELLIS,Y.A., Mass Chain Evaluation A=233. Nucl.Data Sheets 24(1978)289.
- EL7803 ELLIS,Y.A., Mass Chain Evaluation A=237. Nucl.Data Sheets 23(1978)71.
- EL7902 ELLIS,Y.A., Mass Chain Evaluation A=213. Nucl.Data Sheets 28(1979)619.
- EL7903 ELLIS,Y.A., Mass Chain Evaluation A=217. Nucl.Data Sheets 28(1979)639.
- EL7904 ELLIS,Y.A.,EMERY,J.F.,TOTH,K.S., U233 Levels Populated in the Alpha Decay of TH237. Phys.Rev.C20(1979)799.
- EL8101 ELLIS-AKOVALI,Y.A., Mass Chain Evaluation A=243. Nucl.Data Sheets 33(1981)79.
- EL8102 ELLIS-AKOVALI,Y.A., Mass Chain Evaluation A=245. Nucl.Data Sheets 33(1981)119.
- EL8301 ELLIS-AKOVALI,Y.A., Mass Chain Evaluation A=230. Nucl.Data Sheets 40(1983)385.
- EL8302 ELLIS-AKOVALI,Y.A., Mass Chain Evaluation A=234. Nucl.Data Sheets 40(1983)523.
- EL8501 ELLIS-AKOVALI,Y.A., Mass Chain Evaluation A=241. Nucl.Data Sheets 44(1985)407.

- GR8401 GRUNDL,P.C., United States National Bureau of Standards, private communication (1984).
- HA8103 HARMATZ,B., Mass Chain Evaluation A=210. Nucl.Data Sheets 34(1981)735.
- HE7801 HELMER,R.G.,GREENWOOD,R.C.,GEHRKE,R.J., Nucl.Instrum.Meth. 155(1978)169.
- HE7903 R.G.Helmer, Nucl.Instr.Methods 164(1979)355.
- HE8201 HELMER,R.G.,et al., Int.J.Appl.Radiat.Isot.33(1982)23.
- HO7601 HOREN,D.J., Mass Chain Evaluation A=228. Nucl.Data Sheets 17(1976)367.
- HO8401 HOLDEN,N.E., Total and Spontaneous Fission Half-lives of the Uranium and Plutonium Nuclides (Proc. IAEA Advisory Group Meeting on Nuclear Standard Reference Data, Geel, Belgium, 1984). IAEA Rep.TECDOC-335(1985)p.396.
- HO8402 HOLDEN,N.E., Total and Spontaneous Fission Half-lives of the Americium and Curium Nuclides (Proc. IAEA Advisory Group Meeting on Nuclear Standard Reference Data, Geel, Belgium, 1984). IAEA Rep.TECDOC-335(1985)p.400.
- LA8401 LAGOUTINE,F., work performed in context of the IAEA Coordinated Research Programme on the Measurement and Evaluation of Transactinium Isotope Decay Data (1978-1985).
- LE7101 LEWIS,M.B., Mass Chain Evaluation A=208. Nucl.Data Sheets B5(1971)243.
- LE7801 LEDERER,C.M.,SHIRLEY,V.S. (Eds), Table of Isotopes, 7th edn, John Wiley and Sons, New York(1978).
- MA7901 MARTIN,M.J., Mass Chain Evaluation A=212. Nucl.Data Sheets 27(1979)637.
- NI8101 NICHOLS,A.L.,JAMES,M.F., Radioactive Heavy Element Decay Data for Reactor Calculations. UKAEA, Winfrith Estab. Rep.AEEW-R 1407(1981).
- NI8401 NICHOLS,A.L., work performed in context of the IAEA Coordinated Research Programme on the Measurement and Evaluation of Transactinium Isotope Decay Data (1978-1985).
- OK8401 OKASHITA,H., work performed in context of the IAEA Coordinated Research Programme on the Measurement and Evaluation of Transactinium Isotope Decay Data (1978-1985).
- RE8401 REICH,C.W., work performed in context of the IAEA Coordinated Research Programme on the Measurement and Evaluation of Transactinium Isotope Decay Data (1978-1985).
- RO8401 ROY,J.C.,et al., Int.J.Appl.Radiat.Isot.35(1984)899.
- SC8101 SCHMORAK,M.R., Mass Chain Evaluations A=246-262 (even A). Nucl.Data Sheets 32(1981)87.
- SC8102 SCHMORAK,M.R., Mass Chain Evaluation A=249-263 (odd A). Nucl.Data Sheets 34(1981)1.

- SC8201 SCHMORAK,M.R., Mass Chain Evaluation A=232,236. Nucl.Data Sheets 36(1982)367.
- SC8301 SCHMORAK,M.R., Mass Chain Evaluation A=231, 235, 239. Nucl.Data Sheets 40(1983)1.
- SC8501 SCHMORAK,M.R., Mass Chain Evaluation A=205. Nucl.Data Sheets 45(1985)145.
- SH8301 SHURSHIKOV,E.N.,et al., Mass Chain Evaluation A=238. Nucl.Data Sheets 38(1983)277.
- SH8401 SHURSHIKOV,E.N.,et al., Mass Chain Evaluation A=240. Nucl.Data Sheets 43(1984)245.
- SH8501 SHURSHIKOV,E.N.,et al., Mass Chain Evaluation A=242. Nucl.Data Sheets 45(1985)509.
- SK8501 SKARNEMARK,G.,SKALBERG,M., Int.J.Appl.Radiat. Isot.36(1985)439.
- SM8301 SMITH,J.R., United States Dept. of Energy, Washington, DC, Rep. EGG-PBS-6406(1983).
- TO7703 TOTH,K.S., Mass Chain Evaluation A=214. Nucl.Data Sheets 21(1977)437.
- TO7704 TOTH,K.S., Mass Chain Evaluation A=222. Nucl.Data Sheets 21(1977)479.
- TO7801 TOTH,K.S., Mass Chain Evaluation A=229. Nucl.Data Sheets 24(1978)263.
- TO7902 TOTH,K.S., Mass Chain Evaluation A=225. Nucl.Data Sheets 27(1979)701.
- VA8201 VANINBROUKX,R., CEC JRC/CBNM, Geel Establ., Review of the Half-lives of Pu-237 and Cm-242, private communication(1982).
- VA8301 VANINBROUKX,R., JRC/CBNM Status Report, IAEA Rep.INDC(NDS)-147/GE Appendix 6 (1983).
- VA8401 VANINBROUKX,R., work performed in context of the IAEA Coordinated Research Programme on the Measurement and Evaluation of Transactinium Isotope Decay Data (1978-1985).
- VA8402 VANINBROUKX,R., Emission Probabilities of Selected Gamma Rays for Radionuclides used as Detector-Calibration Standards (Proc. IAEA Advisory Group Meeting on Nuclear Standard Reference Data,Geel, Belgium, 1984). IAEA Rep.TECDOC-335(1985)p.403.
- WE7901 WEBB,M.P., Mass Chain Evaluation A=206. Nucl.Data Sheets 26(1979)145.

HOW TO ORDER IAEA PUBLICATIONS

An exclusive sales agent for IAEA publications, to whom all orders and inquiries should be addressed, has been appointed in the following country:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Bernan Associates – UNIPUB, 10033-F King Highway, Lanham, MD 20706

In the following countries IAEA publications may be purchased from the sales agents or booksellers listed or through your major local booksellers. Payment can be made in local currency or with UNESCO coupons.

ARGENTINA	Comisión Nacional de Energía Atómica, Avenida del Libertador 8250, RA-1429 Buenos Aires
AUSTRALIA	Hunter Publications, 58 A Gipps Street, Collingwood, Victoria 3066
BELGIUM	Service Courrier UNESCO, 202, Avenue du Roi, B-1060 Brussels
CHILE	Comisión Chilena de Energía Nuclear, Venta de Publicaciones, Arunategui 95, Casilla 188-D, Santiago
CHINA	IAEA Publications in Chinese: China Nuclear Energy Industry Corporation, Translation Section, P.O. Box 2103, Beijing IAEA Publications other than in Chinese: China National Publications Import & Export Corporation, Deutsche Abteilung, P.O. Box 88, Beijing
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	S.N.T.L., Mikulandska 4, CS-116 86 Prague 1 Alfa, Publishers, Hurbanovo námestie 3, CS-815 89 Bratislava
FRANCE	Office International de Documentation et Librairie, 48, rue Gay-Lussac, F-75240 Paris Cedex 05
HUNGARY	Kultura, Hungarian Foreign Trading Company, P.O. Box 149, H-1389 Budapest 62
INDIA	Oxford Book and Stationery Co., 17, Park Street, Calcutta-700 016 Oxford Book and Stationery Co., Scindia House, New Delhi-110 001
ISRAEL	Heiliger and Co., Ltd, Scientific and Medical Books, 3, Nathan Strauss Street, Jerusalem 94227
ITALY	Libreria Scientifica, Dott. Lucio de Biasio "aeiou", Via Meravigli 16, I-20123 Milan
JAPAN	Maruzen Company, Ltd, P.O. Box 5050, 100-31 Tokyo International
NETHERLANDS	Martinus Nijhoff B.V., Booksellers, Lange Voorhout 9-11, P.O. Box 269, NL-2501 The Hague
PAKISTAN	Mirza Book Agency, 65, Shahrah Quaid-e-Azam, P.O. Box 729, Lahore 3
POLAND	Ars Polona-Ruch, Centralna Handlu Zagranicznego, Krakowskie Przedmieście 7, PL-00-068 Warsaw
ROMANIA	Ilexim, P.O. Box 136-137, Bucharest
SOUTH AFRICA	Van Schaik Bookstore (Pty) Ltd, P.O. Box 724, Pretoria 0001
SPAIN	Díaz de Santos, Lagasca 95, E-28006 Madrid Díaz de Santos, Balmes 417, E-08022 Barcelona
SWEDEN	AB Fritzén Kungl. Hovbokhandel, Fredsgatan 2, P.O. Box 16356, S-103 27 Stockholm
UNITED KINGDOM	Her Majesty's Stationery Office, Publications Centre, Agency Section, 51 Nine Elms Lane, London SW8 5DR
USSR	Mezhdunarodnaya Kniga, Smolenskaya-Sennaya 32-34, Moscow G-200
YUGOSLAVIA	Jugoslovenska Knjiga, Terazije 27, P.O. Box 36, YU-11001 Belgrade

Orders from countries where sales agents have not yet been appointed and requests for information should be addressed directly to:



**Division of Publications
International Atomic Energy Agency
Wagramerstrasse 5, P.O. Box 100, A-1400 Vienna, Austria**

86-02164

INTERNATIONAL
ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY
VIENNA, 1986

SUBJECT GROUP: III
Physics/Nuclear Data
PRICE: Austrian Schillings 400,-